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The City of St. John's has engaged the consulting firms: Hatch (formerly Hatch Mott MacDonald) and Tract Consulting to prepare in cooperation, a Comprehensive Land Use Development Plan for the undeveloped, vacant lands located in the Kenmount Valley above the 190 metre contour elevation. The Study Area includes lands to the North of Kenmount Road bounded by the Watershed boundary, the Town of Paradise boundary, Kenmount Road to the South and the Kenmount Terrace residential development to the East. It also includes the lands South of Kenmount Road bounded by the City of Mount Pearl municipal boundary to the West and the Team Gushue Highway to the East.

The lands located in the Study Area were rezoned by the City in 2012 to the new Comprehensive Development Area-Kenmount (CDA-Kenmount) Zone in anticipation of the potential future development of these lands on the basis of piped municipal water and sewer services. Given the magnitude of the Study Area, approximately 365 hectares, and the number of private and public land owners holding properties in the area, the City determined that it would be appropriate to engage consultants with expertise in the area of integrated land use planning and engineering for the purpose of creating a Comprehensive Land Use Development Plan to recommend a development layout for various types of land uses with appropriate planning and zoning designations; a road layout with appropriate access and egress points; determine municipal infrastructure requirements; and to identify and reserve out environmentally important and sensitive areas located in the study area.

The City's terms of reference for this planning project indicate a number of factors that were to be considered by the consultants in the preparation of the Development Plan with a view to creating future complete community neighbourhoods in this area of the city. These factors included suitable connections to the municipal and regional road systems; access to public transit; internal connectedness of the land uses; public open spaces, parks and trails; the integration of traffic calming measures into road system design; maintenance and enhancement of green infrastructure; having a suitable variety of residential building forms and a mixture of residential and nonresidential land uses.
An important component of the project's terms of reference is the requirement for the consulting team to consult the various parties owning lands in the Study Area, collect their ideas for potential development of their properties, evaluate them in light of the City's overall planning objectives for the Study Area and to create a Comprehensive Land Use Development Plan which balances the interests of individual property owners with the wider interests and objectives of the whole community.

Planning for the development of the lands above the 190 metre contour elevation in the Study Area is based upon requirements set out in the project terms of reference and existing Provincial and City planning policies affecting land use and development in St. John's. A site assessment of the Study Area by the consulting team determined areas suitable for development, and identified limitations to development that may affect the use of these lands. Consultation with owners of properties in the Study Area was undertaken by the consulting team to understand the development objectives of their respective properties. Seven (7) substantial contiguous pockets of lands suited for development were identified, with their limits shaped by the Study Area boundary, significant areas of steeply sloping grounds and a network of wetlands, ponds and streams with their buffers.

Together, the City's aims for land development, the capacity of the land to support development and the property owners' aspirations informed the preparation of a Land Use Development Plan that outlines the proposed land use pattern, open space system and street network recommended for the Study Area. This Plan was provided for detailed review by the City's project steering committee and applicable major land owners. Revisions to the plan
were made based upon feedback received and the design of site services proceeded by the consulting team based upon the revised plan. Planning policy recommendations governing land use development, including proposed zoning designations, were then developed by the consulting team.

The Comprehensive Land Use Development Plan as prepared by the consulting team for the Lands above the 190 m Contour is shown in executive summary Figure A.

The design of the Comprehensive Land Use Development Plan is based upon the concept of "smart growth". This planning theory proposes that new communities contain a diversity of land uses and provide residents with diverse options in housing. Also, smart growth communities reduce reliance on private automobiles for transportation by facilitating transit use, active transportation and creating walkable neighbourhoods. This report elaborates on the key tenets of smart growth as they relate to the Study Area.

The City's Terms of Reference for this project specify that the Comprehensive Land Use Development Plan shall make provision for residential uses, accommodating single detached homes through to higher density housing types such as semi-detached houses, townhouses and apartment buildings for rental or for condominium ownership.

This Land Use Development Plan proposes a varied mix of residential housing forms, from single-detached houses on standard sized building lots ( 15 metres frontage and minimum 450 square metres lot area), to single-detached houses on smaller building lots ( 12 metres lot frontage and minimum 350 square metres lot area), semi-detached houses, townhouses and apartment buildings. The Plan's proposed mix of residential land uses can potentially yield a total of approximately 4520 new residential units at a residential density of 31.2 units per net hectare for an estimated residential full build-out population of approximately 10,850 persons.

As part of the goal of achieving a more complete community for the Study Area, the proposed land use mix under the Comprehensive Land Use Development Plan has been extended beyond only residential developments. The Plan makes provision for a combined potential total of approximately 18,700 square metres of retail floor space, and approximately 37,400 square metres of potential office space. These designated areas will be within easy access of many of the areas proposed for residential development. Additionally, the Plan makes provision for neighbourhood commercial service sites, and generous amounts of acreage are proposed for the park and open space development along with an extensive trail system for connections both within and to areas outside the Study Area. It is important to note that areas which have been identified as environmentally important areas and prominent hill tops are proposed to be reserved out from future urban development. As part of the community planning exercise, the Plan identifies potential sites for the future development of new school buildings, a neighbourhood park/recreational complex and a fire station.


Figure A - Draft Comprehensive Land Use Development Plan

## Traffic

As part of the overall development of the comprehensive land use plan for the lands above the 190 metre contour in the Kenmount Road area Hatch completed a number of different traffic related tasks that were required under the studies terms of reference. These tasks included:

- Determination of access points and the internal road network layout
- Collection of any required traffic count data
- The Traffic Impact Analysis
- Transit Review
- Regional Fire Department - Coverage Review


## B. 1 Access points and the internal road network layout.

On the north side of Kenmount Road the access options considered included connections to Kenmount Road, the Outer Ring Road, internal connections to Kenmount and connections to Thorburn Road; all of which were utilized to formulate the road network in this portion of the study area. On the south side of Kenmount Road the access options included connections to Kenmount Road, Wyatt Boulevard, Old Pennywell Road and to Captain Whelan Drive. All but the Wyatt Boulevard connection were used in creating the road network on the south side of Kenmount Road. However, further feasibility review is recommended for providing connections to Wyatt Boulevard and aligning the internal road system with Mount Carson Avenue/Wyatt Boulevard.

The preliminary land use data were used to develop the anticipated trip generation for each of the 7 development clusters. The City of St. John's travel demand model was used for the analysis. For the existing condition the model was calibrated at the screenline level using the actual 2013 traffic volumes. The number of external trips to/from the area surrounding the study area were estimated based on a land inventory study that identified future land uses within the study area and the anticipated growth in external areas that was already incorporated into the model. The estimated number of Home-Based Work (HBW), Home-Based Non-Work (HBO), and Non-Home-Based (NHB) trips were then estimated and further adjusted in the model based on household size and income index. A capacity constrained traffic assignment was prepared for each existing and horizon year. These assignments provided weekday peak hour link volumes that were subsequently converted to turning movements based on existing traffic patterns and manual adjustment in locations where the model assignment appeared to vary significantly from existing patterns or would be affected by new development.
With the exception of two roadways on the north side of Kenmount Road all other roadways require one lane in each direction to accommodate the projected traffic demands associated with the development. Promising connections to the Outer Ring Road (Roadway 1) and a collector road connection to Kenmount Road (Roadway 2) to accommodate the projected traffic volumes should be examined further in subsequent more detailed studies of the development servicing requirements for full build out.

The vertical and horizontal alignments of all the main collector and arterial routes in the study area were reviewed to ensure they could actually be built within an acceptable standard in the locations proposed. None of the grades on any of the main routes throughout the study area exceeds $8 \%$. The construction costs of roads serving the lands north and south of Kenmount Road (Roads 1-9) are estimated at 46 million dollars. Plan and profile sheets of the all the major routes in the study are contained in Appendix B-3.

## B. 2 Traffic Data

The majority of the traffic count data used in this study was collected by the City of St. John's and provided to Hatch. There were a number of other intersections where traffic count data was required for the purposes of the analysis but that had not been collected by the City of St. John's. These locations were counted by Hatch staff. All traffic count data provided by the City of St. John's and collected by Hatch for this study are contained in Appendix B-1.
B. 3 Transportation Analysis

There were 7 different development areas/ clusters proposed for development under this comprehensive land use plan. It is estimated that approximately 4500 dwelling units will be created on the full build out of areas 1-4 and $5-7$. In total $55 \%$ of the developable lands will evolve into some form of residential housing. The remaining $45 \%$ will consist of a mixture of retail, office, commercial, industrial and institutional type land uses.

The City's 2025 VISUM transportation planning model was modified in the study area and refined for the purposes of completing the analysis for this report. Existing traffic analysis zones (TAZ's) were modified and split into new zones to accommodate the land uses proposed under the proposed land use development plan for this project.

The traffic analysis for this project consisted of four different modeling scenario's including:

- Scenario SO-Existing conditions
- Scenario S1-2025 projection of normal growth with no development in the study area.
- Scenario S2-2025 projection of normal growth and development in the study area with no improvements to the road network.
- Scenario S3-2025 projection of normal growth and development in the study area with improvements in place to the road network.

It is noted that the volumes used for the analyses of these scenarios were derived from the City's Transportation Travel Demand Model. Since the model calibration and validation has not been completed, these volumes should only be considered as a rough estimate of the projected volumes across screen lines and not as link-by-link detailed volumes.
For scenario SO the Synchro and SimTraffic analysis conducted for both the AM and PM peak periods were based on existing traffic count data. AM and PM peak hour volumes for each of the remaining scenarios were extracted from the 2025 VISUM models created for this project.

The results of analysis for scenario SO show, for the most part, that most of the intersections within the study area perform well during both the AM and PM peak traffic periods. There are some noted exceptions.

The results of the analysis for scenario S1 show that by 2025, and without development of the Study Area lands, congestion will be occurring on the major arterial routes in the afternoon peak hour as some intersections reach their effective capacity.

The results of the analysis for scenario S2 show that by 2025 and with development of the lands, conditions will deteriorate from those under scenario S1, with more intersections reaching their effective capacity in the afternoon peak hour.

The results of scenario S3 show that in 2025, with some improvement to the road system described in Section 2.2.3.5, there is improvement in the level of service at some of the intersections within the study area, but congested conditions remain on several of the key intersections throughout the study area.

The full build out of the study area is expected to generate approximately 110,000 two-way daily trips in the study area; 55,000 trips in and 55,000 trips out over a 24 -hour period. These volumes are significant and the effects on the level of service at many of the study area intersections are quite pronounced.

It was concluded from the transportation analysis that to accommodate the anticipated growth in travel demand in the Study Area and in the City in general, a balanced transportation strategy is needed that encourages less reliance on the private automobile and more on using transit, cycling and walking.

## B. 4 Balanced Transportation Strategy

To facilitate improvement of transit service to the development area a number of recommendations are made with respect to improving the community development and road concept to provide continuous collector roads internal to the development, with appropriate connections to the key surrounding roads and intersections. Additional study is needed to confirm the feasibility of some of these road alignments and connections. The study recommends achieving an aggressive transit catchment service standard of locating transit routes such that at least 90 percent of residents are within a 400 m walk of this route. Transit will play a major role in ensuring the development plans for the lands above the 190 metre contour are successful from a transportation perspective. In addition to planning an internal transit network, it will be important to ensure that appropriate facilities including shelters, layby areas, and cycling racks/lockers are located in the focal areas of each of the development areas.

A comprehensive and supporting active transportation network is proposed within the community to encourage greater cycling and walking. Consideration for some refinement of the planned road alignments and property lot arrangement are suggested to improve accessibility and safety.

It is recommended that the City proceed with additional more detailed assessment of the key network improvements suggested under scenario S3 using refined traffic volume forecasts and land use information to confirm the development phasing, need and timing for these improvements. In conjunction with refinements to the land use and transportation network in the Study Area and improved local transit service, it is recommended that the City work with neighboring municipalities and the Provincial Government to collectively change the policies on sustainable development. Part of this approach will be to put in place equitable cost sharing agreements that will allow the successful implementation of a transit system serving St. John's, Paradise and Mount Pearl as a cornerstone of a balanced and integrated transportation plan. The overall goal is to reduce the reliance on single passenger automobiles.

## B. 5 Fire Protection Services

As these new areas of land open up for development there will be a need to construct a new fire station on the lands on the north side of Kenmount Road. Hatch used the isochrones parameters feature of the VISUM software and the 2025 planning model to determine the response times from existing and planned St. John's Regional Fire Department facilities in the area. In order to keep response times in the majority of the study area to 4 minutes or less, a new fire station in area 1 of the planned development will be required.

## Municipal Services

C. 1 Sanitary Sewer

Design of the sanitary sewer system was carried out following the requirements of the St. Johns Subdivision Design Manual, latest edition. The ArcGIS system was utilized in the delineation of the eight (8) sanitary sewer subcatchment areas and assisted in determining the flow estimates and establishing the connection points to the existing sanitary sewer system. The sub-catchments were designed to maximize the use of gravity flow toward the existing sanitary sewer connection points however, the use of three (3) lift stations are required to pump the sewage flows form lower elevations where gravity flow was not possible.
To accommodate the full build out of the 190 m contour the existing infrastructure has to be upgraded. The sanitary sewer from sub-catchment $E$ on the south side of Kenmount Road will be directed to the existing system at the intersection of Blackmarsh Road / Canada Drive. In order to accommodate the additional flow there are seven (7) sections of 200 mm diameter sanitary sewer between Fair Haven Place and Burgeo Street will have to be upgraded to a 300 mm diameter line. The sanitary sewer flow from sub-catchments $A$ and $F$ are proposed to connect to the existing sanitary sewer mains on the north and south side Kenmount Road. In order to use these two lines a 71 m section of existing 300 mm sanitary sewer main on Parrells Lane would have to be upgraded to 375 mm diameter thereby increasing the capacity to approximately $105 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$. The sanitary sewer from subcatchments from B and G proposed new 3000 m long 300 mm diameter sanitary sewer line from the 190 m development to behind Canadian Tire on Kelsey Drive. The flows from the remaining sub-catchments C, D and H would be directed to the Southwest Development Area Trunk Main via connections to the existing infrastructure.
C. 2 Storm Sewer

The CDA Kenmount area is subject to the City of St. John's Stormwater Management Plan which requires a zero-net-increase in runoff between predevelopment and post development. The City of St. John's Subdivision Design Manual specifies that the Soil Conservation Service (SCS) method shall be used to estimate pre and post development runoff. The major watercourses located within the study area are Yellow Marsh Brook, Leary's Brook, and Kitty Gaul Brook, which drain the three largest basins. The stormwater analysis lumped parameter runoff models of the proposed development area were generated with a number of different configurations using the computer program XPSWMM.

The model results indicated an increased post development peak flow of approximately $1.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$, an increase of $0.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ from the "Regional Stormwater Detention Study" (CBCL, 2013). The increase is a result of additional impervious areas in the current proposed land development. A review of the assumed municipal zoning in the 2013 study indicates that a large part of the basin was expected to remain zoned as rural. However, the current development plans proposed in this report show this area as mostly low and medium density residential. The available storage of $14,300 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ at Yellow Marsh may be used for stormwater detention initially, supplemented by local storm water detention for subsequent development once the Yellow Marsh storage capacity is exceeded.

The analysis also delineated a total of 22 sub-catchments that will potentially require local stormwater detention within the study area. Small scale stormwater detention options will have to be considered by the land developers within each of the above sub-catchments.

## C. 3 Water System

Design of the water service system was carried out following the requirements of the St. Johns Subdivision Design Manual, latest edition. In order to accurately size the proposed system components and calculate the varying pressures throughout the system, it was necessary to develop a computerized model of the water network. Input
parameters into the Bentley WaterGEMS model were selected based on various criteria from the Subdivision Design Guide and the Atlantic Canada Guidelines for Water Systems.

The water model analysis results indicated the need for a new storage above the 261m elevation in order to meet 190 m system demands. It is proposed to lift the water from the existing Kenmount tanks to the active storage elevation, between elevation 261 m and 267 m , via a new booster pumping station is required. The existing Kenmount tanks operate in the range of approximately 224 m to 226.7 m , therefore the new pumping system will be required to deliver the maximum daily flow of $162 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ at approximately 40 m of lift.
It is proposed to construct two (2) storage tanks each of approximately $4300 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ storage above the minimum water elevation 261 m . The tanks would be located at an approximate elevation of 252 m to 255 m . Ideally the tanks would be located at the crest of the of hill which is at elevation 261 m as this would eliminate the dead storage and enable the tanks be smaller. However, given the close proximity of communication towers is unclear what effects the storage tanks would have on the communications.

Due to the range of topography and the resulting pressure range, pipe sizes were selected which resulted in flow velocities well below the maximum permissible. In addition, a number of PRV were proposed in order to attempt to maintain maximum pressures during minimum demands.
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This study was commissioned by the City of St. John's to set out a plan for the future development of lands above the 190m elevation, adjacent to Kenmount Road, within the City. This Comprehensive Land Use Development Plan provides the basis for the revision of City policy required to permit development of the lands within the

The 190m elevation was the traditional limit employed by the City when considering the future expansion of municipal water and sewer services. No urban development was possible on the lands within the study site due to the lack of municipal services. In the summer of 2012, the City of St. John's adopted amendments (St. John's Urban Region Regional Plan, the St. John's Municipal Plan, and the St. John's Development Regulations) to permit future servicing and development of lands above 190 m elevation. This report sets out a vision and detailed plan of how urban

The terms of reference for the project requires the preparation of a detailed plan for the development of vacant lands within the study area to serve as a basis for continuing policy development that will guide development. The plan is to balance the wishes of the of property owners in the area with the needs and aspirations of
he urban form created should provide a complete community, providing residents with places to live, work, shop and play at all stages of life. The planned community is to be walkable, have convenient commercial services and amenities, and provide a variety of forms of housing to meet the needs of a diverse population at various stages of life. The open space system is to provide great public parks, walking trail connections and respond to the opportunity for great public views overlooking the city, and to protect environmentally sensitive lands.

Figure 1-Draft of the Kenmount Site Location of Lands Above the 190 Contour
The plan will establish a development layout for a variety of land uses and with appropriate zoning designations; establish a street layout with appropriate traffic functionality for private vehicles and municipal transit, and access
points and connections to the municipal road network. The plan will determine the municipal infrastructure requirements needed to service the planned development

### 1.1.2 Kenmount Site Location

The focus of the study is undeveloped land in the Kenmount Valley above the 190m elevation, as shown in Figure 1. The land under consideration lays to the north and south of Kenmount Road, to the east of the municipa boundaries win Mount Pearl and Paradise. This largely forested land forms a topographic separation between the three regional watersheds, and is visually prominent from many areas in the urban core of the city. To the south of Kenmount Road, the study site is an elongated steep ridge covered with dense evergreen forest and crowned with several significant wireless facilities towers. To the north of Kenmount Road, the site has more gentle relief and sparser forest cover, and abuts streams and large wetlands. The land within the study area is owned by a number of private individuals and the province, and is sparsely populated.

### 1.1.3 Land Ownership Patterns

A number of large land parcels within the study site are owned by private firms with an interest in land development. It is assumed that the Crown owns several large parcels, while two wireless facilities companies and the heirs of a private land owner each own substantial tracts. Many small parcel owners have also been identified.
Many parcels have somewhat irregular shapes; some parcels appear not to have direct road frontage on existing public streets. To provide a coherent street network and make efficient and coordinated use of the land available, some sort of land consolidation may be required at some stage in the development process.
1.1.4 Civic Policy Context

The Government of Newfoundland and Labrador turns over much of the functional responsibility for land use planning to municipalities under the Urban and Rural Planning Act, (2000). At the broadest level, land use in St John's is controlled by St. John's Urban Region Regional Plan. The municipality sets out its intent for planning, use and development of land in the St. John's Municipal Plan. The St. John's Development Regulations provide the specific guidance required to implement the municipal plan by regulating land use and setting out developmen
 developmentand lase, such as Plan Master Plan, and St. John's Cycling Plan.
1.1.5 Infrastructure and Servicing Challenges

As currently built-out, the distribution system for household water is unable to service new development on high ground. The effect of increased demand and elevation of the terrain do not permit water to be supplied in the quantity and pressure required for effective service. Upgrades to the system will be required to meet the needs of increased development above the 190 m elevation.

The City's Stormwater Management Policy mandates a zero-net-increase in runoff between pre-development and post-development storm flows, and the employment of detention measures as part of new development in this part of the city. The rationale for this stringent requirement is to protect downstream property and the stream environment from flooding and degradation caused by the more rapid drainage of stormwater that could result from new development without proper planning. After exploring a number of options for stormwater detention the area north of Kenmount Road, the Regional Stormwater Detention Feasibility Study (CBCL, 2013) recommends construction of a dry pond with an earth embankment berm at Yellow Marsh as the best solution to achieve the required control of stormwater flows. Yellow Marsh is an existing wetland lying to the north of the northern section of the study site.

Full development of the large land mass contained within the study area could result in thousands of new home and a significant increase in automobile traffic, as well as the need to service new communities with transit and active transportation infrastructure (trails and bicycle routes). New streets and trails can be built, but these must also be linked into the existing transportation infrastructure in a way that is safe and does not create excessive
traffic congestion. Property ownership patterns and the steep terrain within the study are major factors in the design of streets and trails.

### 1.1.6 Planning Methodology

Planning for the development of lands above the 190 m contour is based upon requirements set out in the project terms of reference and existing provincial and municipal policy affecting land use and development. A site assessment of the landscape determined areas suitable for development, and identified limitations to development that may affect the use of these lands. Consultation with owners of larger land holdings was undertaken by the consulting team to understand their aims for land development.

Together the city's aims for land development, the capacity of the land to withstand development and the property owners' aspirations informed the preparation of a draft land use plan that outlined proposed land use pattern, open space system and street network recommended for the site. This plan was provided for detailed review by the project committee at the City of St. John's and land owners. Revisions to the plan were made based upon the feedback received and design of site services proceeded based upon the revised plan. Policy recommendations governing land use were then developed for the project site.

### 1.2 Understanding the Land Base

This section reviews key landscape characteristics of the study site to understand which lands are suited for urban development, and which lands should be conserved as open space to protect the ecological and visual quality of the landscape.
1.2.1 Identifying Lands Suitable for Development

Limiting characteristics of the site are considered and brought together to provide a comprehensive planning context highlighting areas suited for urban development. Many characteristics of the site may not pose an absolute limit to development, but provide useful information to help shape the final land use plan. A visual description of the land characteristics described in the following text is shown in Figure 2 - Land Characteristics of the Kenmount Site.
1.2.2 Topography and Geology

The landform of the study area including Kenmount Hill reflects the bedrock geology of the region. Resistant bedrock knobs and ridges oriented southeast - northeast give the landscape its present day form, which has been only subtly smoothed by glacial processes.
At 261 m elevation Kenmount Hill is the highest of the peaks surrounding the historic centre of St. John's. Signal Hill (154m), the White Hills (160m), Mount Scio (224m) and the Southside Hills ( 239 m ) are its nearest neighbours in elevation and symbolic importance in defining the skyline of city (King, 1990). The land southeast of Kenmount Hill drains to the Waterford River, while water on the northwest side of the hill flows to Leary's Brook, Long Pond and Rennies River. The terrain north of Kenmount Road rises to a high point of 225 m , to the northwest of Kenmount Terrace. This high land separates the watershed of the Broad Cove River that flows to the northwest from that of the Leary's Brook, Long Pond, Rennies River system that flows to the northeast.
The sometimes steep topography and shallow depth of till and soil over the bedrock will necessitate rock breaking or blasting operations to construct underground services, foundations and streets. The low moisture holding capacity of soils are a limit to plant forest development and a challenge for stormwater management - speeding the rates at which runoff will depart the site The conservation of soil and till for re-use in site reinstatement will be an important factor in permitting the reestablishment of forest cover in disturbed areas of the site. Although the topography of the Kenmount Terrace development was more subdued, conditions for excavation on the study site may be somewhat similar.

References:
Heringa, P.K. Soils of the Avalon Peninsula, Newfoundland. Report No. 3 Newfoundland Soil Survey. N.P. Research Branch Agriculture Canada, 1981.
King, Arthur F. Geology of the St. John's Area. St. John's: NL Department of Mines and Energy, 1990.

### 1.2.3 Vegetation

Land cover within the study area is composed of forest, shrub land, farmland, barrens and developed land. The native forest of the region is part of the Boreal forest biome which is characterized by a cool climate, a short growing season and young relatively poor soils, and dominated by coniferous trees
The forest is composed of Balsam fir, mixed with some black spruce, white spruce, white birch, yellow birch, trembling aspen and red maple. Juniper would also be found on poorly drained ground. Where growing conditions are good, balsam fir would make up to $75 \%$ of the trees on a site, and possibly grow to 15 m height at maturity, pine was once an important part of the forest mix but disease and over cutting have eliminated it from forests on the Avalon Peninsula. Shrubs and herbs commonly found lower in the forest structure include bunchberry, bristly club-moss, raspberry, gooseberry, northern twinflower, blueberry, sheep-laurel, dogberry, goldenrod, pin cherry and serviceberry

The ability of forest to succeed on a given site is dependent upon the depth of soils and till over the bedrock, microclimate and seasonal soil moisture deficits. The inability of trees to anchor roots in the earth limits the potential for their growth in height. Often trees in the forest provide mutual support allowing them to grow tall together where individually they would not succeed.

Where existing tree stands are opened to the wind by clearing, subsequent wind throw can lead to the gradua collapse of the entire remnant stand. Efforts to counter this challenge should strive to reduce wind impact by avoiding straight line forest edges where cut back, allowing windfall trees to remain as a form of shelter for the trees which remain standing, employing other techniques to protect remnant trees from the wind, and avoid unnecessary damage to tree roots that provide stand resilience by twining together. Stands with greater width are likely to be more resilient to wind throw then narrow strips of trees left with little support.

On very exposed locations forest reestablishment may be limited by exposure to wind. Caution should be exercised in the decision to remove forest cover in exposed locations if the expectation is that remnant stands will survive, or that new growth can be established.
Shallow growing media over bedrock are prone to moisture shortage which can limit tree growth. Heringa reports that the best forest growth may occur on lower slopes where soil moisture and soil depth are likely to be in greater supply. Site reinstatement intended to permit forest regrowth must provide sufficient depth of growing media if trees are to be established. Where trees must be removed, the best opportunity for natural forest regeneration occurs when the forest root mat remains undisturbed and seeds and young trees are left intact to restock the forest. A second-best solution would be the conservation and reuse of forest soil and duff as the surface for restored forest areas. Changes to site grading and drainage that cause soils to dry out more quickly may limit future potential for future forest growth.
1.2.4 Wetlands, Streams and Waterbodies

Despite the relatively high terrain occupied by the study site, Georges Pond and other significant wetlands, streams are located within the study area. These were identified in a 1993 study: Significant Waterways and Wetlands of St. John's Newfoundland, and along with an appropriate Environmentally Valuable Area buffers, were mapped by the City of St. John's. The study area is also bounded by the watershed of the Broad Cove River, which is protected as the drinking water supply for the Town of Paradise.

The Newfoundland and Labrador Water Resources Act restricts development with wetlands, stream and water bodies and requires the preservation of a 15 m buffer adjacent to them. This policy aims to preserve fragile habitat
from destruction, allow for the storage and filtering of storm waters, and to mitigate impacts on property that may be caused by flooding. The City of St. John's stormwater detention policy requires a zero net increase in runoff caused by development occurring anywhere on the study site. These policies are particularly important for the mitigation of development impacts that harm the hydrological and ecological functioning of the Rennies River and Waterford River systems downstream.

The mapped wetlands, stream, Georges Pond and buffers must be protected from intensive development. Parkland developments of trails and boardwalks and may be permitted within wetland areas. Suitable land adjacent to the wetlands, streams and ponds should be retained to permit construction of more intensive park infrastructure that may be required to support public enjoyment of the protected spaces. Intensive land development outside the buffer areas should strive to support policy objectives by employing best practices for enhanced stormwater flows. Given Georges Pond location on high ground with little developed land contributing runoff, storm water management should strive to maintain high quality water within the pond by ensuring storm drainage released into the pond is treated in natural or constructed wetlands before it reaches the pond itself.


Figure 2 - Draft of Land Characteristics of the Kenmount Site
1.2.5 Slope

The Kenmount site is characterized by areas of steep slopes and high ground. These areas are more exposed to the elements, which can create environments less comfortable for human habitation, increase the damage to structures caused by high winds and wind driven rain, and a severely limit the opportunity for vegetation to become re-established once cleared from the site. The City has responded to these challenges in the St. John's Municipal Plan and St. John's Development Regulations by permitting steep slopes in excess of $15 \%$ to be designated as Environmentally Valuable Areas and preserved from development as such.

The strong southeast - northwest ridge form of the landscape south of Kenmount Road creates extensive areas of steep ( $>15 \%$ ) terrain on the northwest and southeast sides of the hill. These are readily visible in the form of the long, steep treed slope at the rear of the businesses to the side of Kenmount Road and the treed slopes above Blackmarsh Road and Team Gushue Highway. These significant land areas containing slopes of $15 \%$ or greate should be retained as part of the public open space network, and not intensively developed. The slope analysis is shown in Figure 3 below.


Figure 3 - Draft of Slope Analysis of Kenmount Site and Surrounding Lands
1.2.6 Landscape Character

The green hills surrounding St. John's are a defining characteristic of the city. The ring of hills (Mount Scio, White Hills, Signal Hill, Southside Hills, Kenmount Hill) defines a green enclosure for the city that makes the rugge environment and hardy spirit of the place highly visible and accessible for residents and visitors of the city. Each hilltop is a landmark in its own right and all have become a focal point for human contact with the environment and for recreation. That each is named, and that local residents generally know the names and the hills, attests to their power as physical and mental landmarks in the city.

Viewed from Signal Hill and high points within the city, Kenmount Hill and the surrounding forest and farmland form a prominent green wedge in the landscape that separates the Waterford River valley from Leary's Brook valley. The ridge defined by Kenmount separates Mount Pearl from St. John's and for all who approach the city from the west, forms an important gateway to the city. The cluster of communications towers on the highest ground emphasizes the height and prominence of the hill and make it visible by night. Large water tanks developed on the hillside have a different character and would benefit from screening to reduce their visibility, though this may be a challenge to achieve

The steep green hillsides, hilltops and wireless facilities towers bring the hill into sharp contrast with adjacent developed areas. Where the flanks of the hill have been developed for housing, the loss of forest cover has caused the hill to lose definition in contrast to its surroundings.
in St. John's, the urban network of green spaces and trails are centered on the streams and ponds that extend from the hills through the city to the ocean. Green hilltop spaces have become the setting for cross country skiing, hiking, mountain biking and as refuges to enjoy the natural environment and the views. This pattern has extended to Kenmount Hill and the surrounding lands in a limited way. The hill is well used for mountain biking, though no formal public facilities for recreation have been developed here. The Team Gushue highway and Kenmount Road form substantial barriers for pedestrian access to the hill, though opportunities exist to create trail connections.
Development should strive to preserve high ground as a visual amenity and for use as public open space where compatible with communications infrastructure. For Kenmount Hill to retain significance within the urban andscape the scale of land to be preserved should be substantial and vegetation on the hilltops retained. Steep hillside slopes provide an opportunity for preservation of green space for use as public open space, forest
 a owers would not necessarly detret may be equired to service development should be examined for their pote their visibility.
1.2.7 Wireless Facilities

Several significant wireless facilities towers are located on high ground south of Kenmount Road. The westernmost towers occupy steep terrain not well suited to development, the eastern most three towers restrict land development opportunities due to the potential impacts of Radio Frequency (RF) exposure, which the City has recognized by implementing a 200 m buffer around the towers where residential and recreational land uses are prohibited. The significant wireless facility towers and the associated 200 m buffer are shown below in Figure 4.

Radio frequency interference (RFI) is also a concern associated with the operation of the towers. In a wider area near Kenmount Hill, the operation of radio and electronic devices can be impaired by the interference generated by the towers. Any development in the general area should incorporate measures to prevent or minimize RFI within any developments.

Increasing urbanization in the region, public sensitivity to possible impacts of radio frequency (RF) and RFI exposure, and the wide setbacks to be maintained between dwellings and the towers now makes relocation of the towers a challenge. It is expected the towers will remain in place for the foreseeable future; future technological advances may bring changes that would remove these restrictions on development.


Figure 4 - Draft of Significant Wireless Facility Towers and Associated 200m Land Use Buffer
1.2.8 Conclusion

Seven substantial contiguous pockets of lands suited for development have been identified, with their limits shaped by the study site boundary, significant areas of steeply sloping ground and a network of wetlands pond and streams with their buffers. Land otherwise suited to urban development in the southeast part of the site is limited by the presence of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields; the City of St. John's therefore restricts residential and parks development on these lands. Much of the site is affected by radio frequency interference and precautions to prevent nuisance to developed land uses should be required as a condition of development.

A green framework of lands not suitable for urban development provides an excellent opportunity to provide communities with access to open space and to retain valued wetlands and streams, and views from high ground. The need to limit development on steep slopes provides a convenient secondary benefit of preserving forest cover on prominent high lands.
1.3 Landscape Management Framework

This section describes the rationale for managing the landscape so that valued functions of the land are optimized in the context of the development planned.

### 1.3.1 Preserving Valued Ecosystems

The landscape functions associated with water (hydrology and habitat) provide a useful measure for assessing the impacts of human activity on the land, and a valuable guide for management of the landscape to sustain ecological quality. When runoff, wetlands, stream and water bodies are maintained in good health, ecologica aspects of the landscape are considered to be well managed. Provincial legislation requires wetlands and stream habitats to be preserved. City policy requires development of the site to result in no net increase in storm flows
for the 100-year storm event; adherence to these policies will make a significant step toward good water management. Ideally, impacts on the rate and quality of storm water runoff would be altered as little as possible by site development.
Natural landscape areas should be maintained with native vegetation in most areas so that storm water flows are minimized and water quality is enhanced. Major landscape interventions in natural areas should include efforts to mitigate development impacts on storm water. As well as retaining water to avoid downstream flooding, water should be infiltrated or treated in manmade or natural wetlands to remove added nutrients and sediment before it is introduced into ponds or streams.
In the urban environment, forest cover should be promoted where possible to intercept rainfall, permeable surfaces used, where possible, to reduce runoff, and runoff waters treated to remove nutrients and sediment before being introduced into natural waterways. Large parking areas should incorporate onsite treatment of storm water.

Preservation of native vegetation helps to provide habitat for plants and animals, and also contributes water management, microclimatic and aesthetic landscape qualities. Natural landscape areas should be maintained with native vegetation where consistent with human use of the landscape for recreation. Disturbed areas should have vegetation restored. Non-native plants should not be introduced to natural landscape areas

In urbanized areas, woodland cover should be maximized where consistent with human use of the land. All streets and neighbourhood parks should be treed with the aim of developing a substantial tree canopy over time. Where options are practical, permeable green surfaces should be used in place of impermeable surfaces.
1.3.2 Development Clusters

Development clusters make sense from servicing and social perspectives, but also facilitate preservation of better quality habitats for plants and animals.

Wildlife movement is enhanced when the natural landscape is less fragmented, and species do not need to negotiate travel through developed areas. Retaining substantial well connected habitat clusters, as opposed to a larger number of smaller habitat patches, can accommodate species with larger habitat requirements. Larger blocks of landscape can permit the development of interior habitats which may host less adaptable species that do not thrive in edge conditions.
Management of the open space should strive to promote the maintenance of a diversity of valued habitats, to permit sensitive human use of the landscape, and facilitate the recovery of impacted landscapes that can be mproved in ecological function. Parking areas, service buildings, picnic sites and trails should be located to minimize disruption of natural environments caused by habitat loss or fragmentation. Interventions to accommodate human activity within the natural areas should be designed to provide valued habitat diversity that mitigates damage to the landscape. Interpretation and educational programs can increase public understanding of the landscape qualities being protected.

Landscape corridors, linear habitats that connect separate natural landscape patches, should be enhanced with plantings to provide habitat and enhance opportunities for wildlife movement. The linear wetland area adjacent to Kenmount Road, and hydro corridors within the developed areas will be maintained during development and the habitat they provide should be managed for optimal habitat function and connectivity (consistent with the needs of the utility). Street crossings of wetland areas should be designed to mitigate the barrier they pose to wildlife mobility.

The proposed district park space, and the park defined by Kenmount Hill and Georges Pond will effectively become small habitat islands within the wider developed urban landscape. The challenge to maintain wildlife habitat here mill be greater as wildife and plant movement between islands and the broader natural envionme we and the
largest contiguous landscape areas should be preserved. Thin corridors connecting these islands to adjacen patches should be carefully guarded

Intensively developed areas of the site should also be managed to enhance landscape connectivity and habitat value. The use of native plants, plants with high habitat value and the creation of viable habitats within the urban landscape can enhance the ecological value of the entire site. Clustering of native vegetation may be a more effective way to establish plants in exposed environments following development. Streetscapes, park spaces and backyards may also be managed to provide habitat and enhance landscape connectivity.

### 1.3.3 Microclimate

The high terrain and challenging weather emphasize the importance of managing the microclimatic impacts of development on site. The high ground can be expected to endure very strong winds that amplify the impacts of rain and snow, and reduce the warming influence of the sun. The resulting cooler conditions and wind can damage property, impede the plant growth and affect human comfort in the landscape.
In areas to be retained as open space, natural vegetation cover should generally be retained, particularly on high ground. Landscape works to accommodate human needs on high grounds should be carefully considered to prevent the creation of overly exposed conditions. Naturally sheltered areas should be exploited where practical for site developments.

In the urban areas to be developed, architecture and landscape planting should be strategically employed to create more comfortable public places. Building height, roof forms, indentations, awnings, and canopies may be used to create sheltered spaces. The usual methods of establishing street tree plantings may need to be modified to promote plant survival and growth in more exposed urban environments. Mass plantings of clustered trees and shrubs may help create conditions where in time a second generation of plants can be established in mor conventional manner. While it is difficult to establish plants in the landscape, it is of increased importance the be provided to moderate conditions for people.
1.3.4 Retaining Landscape Character

In St. John's, the green hilltops, lakes and streams provide the context around which urban development has taken place for more than 400 years. This pattern of traditional development and land use has resulted in a visual landscape pattern that has meaning and value for the community, even as times have changed and the land use needs have dramatically altered. As the landscape assessment has shown, preserving the rugged and harsh higher ground is extremely important for climatic, cultural, and environmental reasons.

In the natural environment, native vegetation should be maintained and used exclusively to retain green cover on the hilltops, steep slopes, and near Georges Pond and local streams. Vegetation should be managed to permi human enjoyment from key views on high ground and but also to provide sheltered places with year-round comfor for recreation to allow contact with a diversity of natural plant communities. Human access for utilitarian or recreational use should be carefully managed to avoid the creation of unwanted scars that tend to be long lasting in the local landscape.

Structures and clearings in the landscape should be carefully sited to minimize adverse visual impacts, and follow up earth forming and planting should be completed to mitigate those impacts. A plan for landscape restoration a the existing water towers should be prepared, and any necessary towers in the future should be subject to a visua analysis that considers their siting, colouring, and recommended mitigation measures. Site improvements in the open spaces should reflect the rugged quality of the landscape and past agricultural use of the land. Simple, robust, rustic treatments and furnishings should be used to reinforce the local identity. Remnants of past use (foundations, field clearings, garden trees and shrubs) may be preserved to help tell the story of the area through the landscape.
A strong connection to the local character should also be built into the urban landscape. Building form, materials and colours can all echo distinctive Newfoundland architectural themes while allowing considerable latitude for
individuality in meeting resident needs. The grid street system will provide a degree of urbanity but will require successful street tree plantings to develop a more intimate human scale over time. Detailing of urban spaces can use accent materials, local vegetation and furnishings to create streetscapes that have some individuality and that cannot be mistaken for relatively anonymous suburban landscape to be found in many Canadian communities. Main street buildings housing retail, commercial, and multi-unit residence should exhibit a high standard of design and sensitivity to the local context.
1.4 Recreation, Open Space and Active Transportation Plan

The recreation, open space and active transportation (AT) plan sets out a framework for the conservation of natural spaces as a means to protect valued natural functions and to allow compatible human use. It also sets out a plan for a network active transportation linkages through the development and to the wider community.

The protection of the natural landscape in the headwaters of the Waterford and Rennies River systems helps mitigate detrimental downstream impacts from development that could further compromise the ecological integrity of these urban streams. Lands recommended for conservation as open space will permit key ecological processes to be maintained, by buffering stormwater flows, cleansing stormwater, and preserving substantial habitat patches and corridors necessary to sustain native flora and fauna.
Conservation of the natural landscape also provides benefits for residents of the proposed development area and the broader community. Conservation of forest cover on high ground will mitigate the potential negative visual mpacts of development on the urban landscape and the local climate, and increase human comfort and the appeal of the landscape. The network of green spaces permits development of an active transportation trail system and recreation spaces that provide a valuable alternative to use of the private automobile for transportation, and promotes physical activity and restorative contact with the natural environment.
The opportunity provided by the natural environment to bring members of the community together face to face strengthens the social ties that build community. Development of the open space network must meet the needs of all for access and safety as a way to build an inclusive community.
1.4.1 Active Transportation Network

A primary goal of the open space network is to build-in a viable transportation alternative to the private automobile, and to complement the regional transit network. The success of the AT network is dependent upon the strength of connections beyond the study site itself. Recommendations presented below should be read in conjunction with the City of St. John's Cycling Master Plan, 2009.

The AT trail network should consist of trails and multi-use paths that are at least 3 m wide up to 4 m in width (where possible) to safely accommodate a variety of non-motorized trail users, and two-way trail traffic. The trail itself should have a paved surface to facilitate snow and ice control, illumination for night time use, periodic benches, waste containers, way-finding information, and plantings to help provide shelter and improved visual character. Key destinations (mixed use neighbourhood core areas, district recreation facility and the Avalon Mall) should provide transit shelters, shower facilities and bike lockers to increase the feasibility of using active transportation for commuting.

The proposed new arterial streets connecting Kenmount Road with the Trans-Canada Highway are to have a 3 4 m wide active transportation corridor constructed parallel to the street. It is preferred that this corridor be located within the natural landscape separate from the four lane street, to provide improved visual quality and vegetative shelter for users.

The Avalon Mall is an important transit hub and a worthwhile near-distance target for the AT system to be developed. Therefore, Great Eastern Avenue and Kenmount Road should be retrofitted to include a new 3-4m wide active transportation corridor outside the driving lanes. The route should extend the entire length of Great Eastern Avenue and on Kenmount Road from the municipal boundary to Columbus Drive, with the possible future
potential to extend along Prince Philip Drive to major centres including the Health Sciences Centre, Memoria University and the Confederation Building. Undeveloped land on the north side of Kenmount Road and substantia green frontages on developed properties may provide the terrain needed to construct this route.
Local collector streets are to include a paved AT route trail separate from the automobile lanes, but contained within the street right-of-way of new local collector streets. The hydro corridor extending from Kenmount Road northward to the proposed new Bristol Park should also be developed with the standard 3-4m AT trail cros section.
Residential streets will have continuous sidewalks on both sides of the street, which will link up to active transportation routes and other trails through public open spaces to form a continuous network. Streets in mixed use community core areas are to have a broader paved surface that provides space for street furnishings, planters, street trees, temporary display of merchandise outdoors, seasonal sidewalk patios, sand woands, and heavier pedestrian use. All sidewalks are to be illuminated, have a continuous planting of street trees, and periodic sittin
areas scaled to the level of pedestrian traffic.

A complete streets philosophy and approach to the planning and design of the neighbourhood network and the surrounding main road network has been followed in developing guidelines for the roadway cross sectional elements. This approach seeks to ensure that roadways are planned for all users so that greater choice is provided to inhabitants to use either non-motorized forms of travel (active transportation) or transit as alternatives to using the private automobile. Very often this involves setting priorities for various modes of travel to ensure that the choice between them is balanced and that that they are accessible to all. This requires that at a minimum sidewalks are provided on both sides of streets and that transit services are provided on collector routes through the neighbourhood so that they are readily accessible by at least 90 percent of residents ( 90 percent residents/employees are to be within a 400 m walking distance of a bus stop). Recreational and utilitarian bicycle use is encouraged by providing off-street mixed-use paths (where possible), or on-street facilities including buffered bicycle lanes (cycle tracks) on busy thoroughfares, or a network of on-street bicycle lanes, sharrows or signed routes. The travel behavior and transportation choices are determined in large part by the zoning and land use mix that is present in the neighbourhood and the connectivity of the various transportation facilities within the neighbourhood as well as external to it Zoning that permits a mixture of land uses that encourages shorter trips and increases the potential for greater internal trip making is to be encouraged as this will increase the potentia for greater use of active modes of travel. The built form of the development will also influence the use of alternative modes. For example, planning for connected routes through the neighbourhood that can serve transit with a development pattern and type that places the majority of residents/employees within a 5 to 10-minute walk of a transit route will encourage greater transit ridership. These principles have been kept in mind in the development of both the land use mix and pattern and transportation and transit networks in the development.

Typical cross sections for arterial, collector and local streets are provided in Appendix B-4. The final active transportation and transit facility requirements should be reviewed again at the development stage to ensure that continuous networks of pedestrian, cyclist and transit facilities are provided throughout the neighbourhood that are connected to similar facilities on the surrounding network.

Implementation of the AT network should proceed in step with development, so that a direct and contiguous route and sufficient lands to allow economical construction and good visual quality is obtained. A basic functional tra network should be in operation when people take up residence in the development, so that patterns of use be established early on. The network should be completed as a condition of occupancy for developed properties Later retrofitting of the built environment for active transportation (AT) is unlikely to achieve a high level functionality and convenience for users, and is certain to be costlier to implement. City initiatives may be require to advance planning and development of the AT route along Kenmount Road. Implementation of the AT network proposed should be coordinated with the City of St. John's Cycling Plan, Metrobus and the adjacent municipalities to ensure the well-coordinated and contiguous system is achieved in the long term.
1.4.2 Pedestrian Trails

Trail development will encourage active transportation and provide a recreational outlet and an opportunity for contact with the natural environment.

Major highways that encircle the study site (Trans-Canada Highway, Team Gushue Highway, Kenmount Road and Thorburn Road) pose a challenge to integration of local trails with the wider Grand Concourse network and trails system and recreation offerings within C.A. Pippy Park. But this challenge needs to be addressed effectively in development planning and construction to prevent the imposition of additional impediments to trail connectivity and the quality of trail users' experience. Trails proposed within the development must connect with routes in C.A. Pippy Park north and south of the Trans-Canada Highway, Branscombes Pond, Cowan Park, and into Mount Pearl and Paradise (Newfoundland T'Railway).

Within the development site, trails should connect neighbourhood clusters to one another, link residential areas with local workplaces and shopping areas, connect open spaces into a neighbouring network, and provide access to parks and lookouts to be developed on higher ground. The development concept plan provides a schematic routing for the main trail system.
Trail links should extend to local sidewalks; trail access points between homes should have a minimum width of 15 m to allow proper buffering of trails adjacent to private yards. Trail separation from the rear property lines of homes should maintain a minimum setback of 15 m for home owners' privacy and to provide the best trail user experience. It is preferred that trail connections be made in the natural environment, and as second best option through existing utility corridors, and thirdly, along local streets.
Construction of trails should provide a sound gravel trail base suited for year round use, with a preferred width of 2.4 m and a minimum width of 2.0 m for main trails. Trail construction should strive to provide a high level of accessibility for all users, as is compatible with the preservation of the natural processes and landscape character. Trail implementation should be carried out by experienced designers and builders, and be warrantied to stand up to all local standards for trail development

### 1.4.3 Neighbourhood Parks

Neighbourhoods parks provided within community clusters help to give definition to the neighbourhood centre, and meet the day to day needs of nearby residents for year round access to the outdoors. Park spaces are proposed in central locations with ample street frontage to ensure passive surveillance for the security of park users and to prevent potential misuse and upset to neighbouring residents. Local parks will also provide a focal point for neighbourhood activity that will help build a sense of community. For residents on small lots or in multiunit buildings with limited open space, neighbourhood parks will provide an important amenity, and will require a relatively high level of development to meet user needs.

At a minimum, neighbourhood parks should provide hard surface paths that may be cleared for year round use, seating, shade, some form of shelter from the elements, play spaces and facilities to meet the needs of local pet owners. Public art, community gardens, and neighbourhood events should be facilitated as add-on elements that could be sponsored by neighbourhood organizations to tailor the park to better meet local needs and build community pride and ownership.
1.4.4 Community Park Spaces

The wetlands, steep slopes and exposed hill top areas make up a significant area of terrain not well suited to urban development, but which will constitute a significant contribution to the urban parks network and the planned community. These park spaces will help conserve ecological functions, and soften the visual impact intensive development will have upon the landscape, and help to provide shelter from the exposed conditions prevalent on some of the highest terrain to be developed within the city. These parks can be expected to be very popular, given the local popularity of Signal Hill, Pippy Park and local lakes and ponds for hiking and water based recreation.

Basic parks developments (trails, site furnishings, lookout areas, forest management) within these areas wi provide residents with access to these natural spaces and viewpoints for recreation in a manner consistent with the need to maintain natural processes and the aesthetic quality of the landscape. Informal use of high lands for mountain bicycling activity should be organized and managed in consultation with current users to permit continued use in a manner consistent with resource conservation, shared public use of open spaces, and ongoing operation of wireless facilities infrastructure.
To the north of Kenmount Road, a significant hilltop park space on the Hiscock family property provides an excellent view of the landscape to the east, and may be a suitable location to reflect the historic use of the site for agriculture and forestry. Reforestation of some areas may be advantageous to provide improved microclimate and retain the presence of "pine clad hills" locally and within the wider cityscape. Programming for this park space may include off street parking for park users, trails, washrooms and drinking water supply, overhead shelter, picnic and barbeque facilities, play areas, winter sliding hill, a lookout that takes advantage of the views, and presentation of the cultural landscape of the area.
To the south of Kenmount Road, the lands adjacent to Georges Pond provide a second green space suited to parks development, given the attractive water body and sheltered location between the hilltops. Nearby high ground should be exploited to permit enjoyment of the views, and Kenmount should be preserved permanently as a forested hill. Programming for this park space may include off street parking for park users, trails, washrooms facilities to facilities to complement the recreational use of the pond in summer and winter, of the views, and presentation of the natural landscape

Wireless facilities towers on Kenmount meet a valued need which is not easily relocated, and can be expected to remain in place for the foreseeable future. The tower service access road, power supply, supporting buildings, towers, braces and associated fencing should be carefully integrated into the surrounding parkland. Informal dump sites must be cleaned up, and green cover should be re-established over disturbed ground where consistent with operational needs. Redundant equipment should be removed from site. Service roads may serve double duty as a trail for park users, providing passive surveillance and helping to reduce vandalism. Similarly, the water towers must be maintained, but greening of the surrounding landscape would help blend them with the landscape Interpretation of the functions the wireless facilities and water towers play would make them fit better within the park landscape.

Planning for the network of natural spaces, more developed park core areas and trails should be integrated to provide a cohesive local open space system. Planning for the study area has not uncovered significant habitat for flora or fauna, nor explored the cultural history of the site in detail; this should be explored in greater detail as part of master plan for parks development on the site. Planning for these park areas should carefully assess the expected level of use and size facilities to ensure park use does not lead to degradation of the landscape, nuisance for area residents or unanticipated operational challenges
1.4.5 District Recreation Centre

With an anticipated community population of more than 10,000 people, a district recreation centre is warranted to meet the needs of the community for comprehensive recreation offerings.

The recreation centre will provide an opportunity to bring the population of the area together socially, fostering a collective sense of community for new residents north and south of Kenmount Road, residents of Kenmount Terrace, and workers in the adjacent commercial, business and industrial areas
If required, a local school could be situated at the park site where sharing of facilities would provide students with enriched recreation opportunities, improve daytime utilization of recreation facilities, and reduce the total cost o recreation facilities. Field areas and natural areas within the district park may be designed for use as temporan storm water storage areas.

The facilities to be incorporated in the district recreation centre would require a significant investment, and would best be determined by a feasibility study that is able to assess the potential demand and fit within the City's recreation master plan. None-the-less, current recreation trends indicate the following elements are likely to be merited:
Indoor:

- Leisure pool (places for preschoolers, tots and parents; therapy pool, sauna, hot tub, zero entry for pool, two lap lanes, water spray elements)
- Active multi-purpose rooms (2-3)
- Pre-school room
- Indoor play spaces for children
- Double gymnasium \& indoor walking track
- Climbing wall
- Cardio facilities
- Strength training room
- Strength training room
- Public washrooms and change rooms
- Community meeting spaces (lounges for seniors and for youth)
- Concession
- Office space for staff
- Support space for maintenance, mechanical, and janitorial


## Outdoor:

- Patio
- Connections to Active Transportation routes and local trails
- Public park space with low maintenance horticultural features and display gardens
- Community gardens
- Nature interpretation and educational facilities
- At least two illuminated play fields (one freeplay, a double soccer field, possibly a cricket field)
- Ball field for minor \& senior play
- Small skatepark
- Small skatepark
- Multi-purpose hard surf
- Pre-schoo
- Multi-purpose outdoor events space (may overlap with other fields)

Other facilities that could be merited include:

- Privately operated daycare facility
- Water slide, swirl pool or dry sauna may be merited with leisure pool
- Handball/racquetball courts
- All weather regulation size soccer pitch illuminated for nighttime play

As indicated above, a follow-up study is merited to verify recreation needs and to set out a design program, preliminary site concept plan and phasing for this facility. The land area shown in the concept plan (Figure A) sets aside 35 acres for the school district and 25 acres for the park district, including the wetland. Adequate land is available for both uses.

### 1.5 Land Use Concept

### 1.5.1 Introduction

This section outlines the rationale for the planning and design of development proposed above the 190 m contour, and general requirements for development.

### 1.5.2 Smart Growth

The design of the development area is based upon the concept of smart growth. This theory proposes that new communities contain a diversity of land uses and provide residents with diverse options in housing. Also, smart growth communities reduce reliance on the private automobile for transportation by facilitating transit use, active transportation and creating walkable neighbourhoods. Smart growth communities complement existing communities, conserve valuable natural areas, and create attractive environments that reflect an individual sense of place. The following discussion elaborates on the key tenets of smart growth as they relate to the study site.

### 1.5.3 Mix of Land Uses

Avoiding the strict segregation of land uses allows for the creation of more interesting, healthy and convenient communities. Locally providing for daily needs facilitates access by youth, seniors and others who might not be able to count upon a private automobile to deliver them to desired settings. While striving to avoid monotonous places, careful design is needed to ensure the composition of diverse forms of housing, neighbourhood retail and business areas results in a coherent and compatible assembly.

Two mixed-use community development areas are proposed on the site - one to the north of Kenmount Road and a second to the south. These areas create small pedestrian friendly downtown areas that will service the needs of local residents and create a focal point for the social life of the community. Commercial activity is excluded from smaller neighbourhood clusters to focus demand on the two mixed use centres, and to ensure the viability of businesses which locate there. Adjacent highway commercial areas should be controlled to limit competition with neighbourhood commercial areas.

Areas for more expansive land uses are located adjacent to the mixed use community core areas, providing local employment and a pool of employees who help support local businesses in the community centre, without detracting from the compact and walkable character of those community centres.
Ample open spaces surround the developed lands, providing a counterbalance to the moderately dense community form, without compromising walkability at community centres.

### 1.5.4 Choice in Housing

A diversity of housing is proposed to provide the market with choices in housing form, size and tenure that will meet the needs of a broad spectrum of the population throughout their lifespan. The provision of adaptable housing forms would also help meet this goal. The right mix of housing would make it possible for residents to live their lives in within their chosen neighbourhood and thereby maintain social ties and supports. The mixture of housing forms also helps to prevent social exclusion or isolation that might otherwise arise as an unintended consequence of monotonous developments of single home types.

In neighbourhood development clusters, various forms of housing on small or compact lots and in multi-unit buildings is organized to define a village centre near a neighbourhood park space. The proportions of the housing types respond to market demand, and the needs to achieve minimum average residential densities needed to justify convenient transit service. In mixed use community centres a higher density of housing is required to support commercial activity and to differentiate development clusters.

### 1.5.5 Transit Oriented Developmen

Transit oriented development (TOD) aims to create the conditions that would permit the delivery of convenient and economical mass transit, reducing reliance on the private automobile. TOD is achieved by creating compact
community core areas well serviced by transit, shops and services which thereby draw the surrounding residents on foot. These complementary elements enable the creation of a community business centre and promote transit ridership. Residential development within walking range $(\sim 600 \mathrm{~m})$ of the community core is to average at least 25 units per hectare of non-public land, and not be less than 17 units per hectare. It is recommended that all residential areas to be developed meet or exceed these minimum standards. Higher residential densities in buildings up to 5 storeys in height should be permitted where surface parking does not occupy more than $50 \%$ of the development site.
By creating viable alternatives to the private automobile, the ability of seniors and youth to access local shops, services, recreation offerings and social settings is increased. With an attractive transit option, life may be more economical for local resident families who may be able to avoid the purchase of a second car, or even live car free. By reducing the proportion of trips taken by private car, new development can contribute less to traffic congestion and the need for costly surface parking or parking structures elsewhere in the city.
Two mixed use community core areas are proposed as the focal points for TOD. Four subsidiary neighbourhood clusters are proposed to provide a supporting population base for business, along with the Kenmount Terrace community and proposed commercial, business and industrial areas. Community commercial areas create an urban street at the periphery of residential areas, while enjoying high visibility, access and sufficient surface
parking adjacent to collector streets.
1.5.6 Complete Streets

The provision of complete streets complements TOD. As discussed in Section 1.4.1, compete streets provide safe, convenient and attractive travel for motorists, cyclists and pedestrians. Continuous and well organized walks and bicycle lanes, accessible and safe street crossings, lighting, seating, landscape planting to enhance personal comfort and add visual interest are all part of making the street complete. Recommendations are discussed in the recreation and open space plan section

### 1.5.7 Active Transportatio

Various forms of transportation power by human energy are collectively known as Active Transportation (AT). The local grid street network, trails in open spaces, and dedicated paths for AT within collector street corridors all enhance the viability of walking, running or cycling as a means of transport. Recommendations are discussed in the recreation and open space plan section.

### 1.5.8 Design Standards

The creation of more dense and diverse environments that enrich living conditions and transportation alternatives must be supported with a higher than ordinary level of design in the public realm. Less spacious separations between properties and land uses require a higher level of physical design to ensure a compatible fit is achieved. The success of community retail spaces and the marketability of more compact residential communities relies upon attractive streetscapes and buildings with strong "curb appeal". Commercial and business park areas adjacent to community developments need to define a quality urban environment that encourages interplay with community retail areas, and is in harmony with adjacent residential areas. Parks and open spaces play a more important role in areas where private gardens are limited.

All buildings, particularly multi-unit residential structures, retail and office buildings in community core areas need to achieve high standards of design in terms of their relationship to the pedestrian environment and the streetscape as a whole. Retail and residential streetscapes need to be tailored to reflect an urban feel. Neighbourhood parks and less developed open space areas will attract high level of use from residents and the wider community, and merit well considered design as well.

Detailed design guidelines for architecture, urban design and open space should be put in place to ensure a consistent high standard of design is achieved in all development. Fairness would indicate that guidelines be put
in place before any development is permitted, so the costs and benefits are shared among developers and property owners.
1.6 Residential Land Use Planning

### 1.6.1 Neighbourhood Development Areas

The St. John's Municipal Plan notes that: "Perhaps the single most important function of municipal government is assisting in the provision of suitable, affordable, and attractive environments for housing of all groups in the population." The Municipal Plan also notes that "Residential development is by far the largest category of urban land use in St. John's. As such, it has a major influence on the character of the city and the quality of life of its inhabitants." Part III, Section 2.2.1 of the Municipal Plan states that: "The City views the neighbourhood as the basis for comprehensive planning of the residential environment. The Plan notes that through public initiatives and appropriate development, the City shall encourage and guide the development of such areas so as to conserve and improve their individual quality."
This Development Concept Plan has been crafted so as to develop liveable, sustainable neighbourhoods rather than simply new residential developments. It places emphasis on the more efficient use of lands in the Study Area and less reliance on automobiles through smaller lot compact forms of housing, higher density residential uses and provides opportunities for choice in housing styles

### 1.6.2 Transit Oriented Development

The City's Terms of Reference for the preparation of the Development Concept Plan note that:
"Affordable and convenient transportation is important for residents to travel from their home to their place of work or school and to other destinations for necessary services or amenities. Land use planning needs to support public transit in terms of the location and layout of neighbourhoods, including higher density residential as well as commercial services, served by well-designed roads. The Development Concept Plan must consider public transit in its design, incorporating the principles of transit-oriented design (TOD)."

A transit oriented development is generally regarded as a mixed-use residential and commercial area or development designed to maximize access to public transit which often offers features to encourage transit ridership. A transit-oriented neighbourhood typically has a centre with a transit station/stop/hub normally surrounded by higher density residential development with progressively lower density residential development spread outward from the centre.

A transit oriented development places the highest residential and employment densities near to frequent transit stops and steps these densities down to transition to surrounding neighbourhoods. Such developments are designed to try to ensure a good diversity of land uses; a mix of housing types and good balance between residential development and places of employment so that people are not too far from work, shopping facilities, services and other destinations. Such developments work to be pedestrian and bicycle friendly to allow residents alternatives to constantly having to use their vehicles to travel to work or to shops and services.

This Development Concept Plan has been designed to incorporate the principles of transit oriented design. It designates two transportation hubs-one on the north side of Kenmount Road and one on the south side of the road. Adjacent to the hub on the north side of Kenmount Road, a park and ride areas has been designated. Near the two transportation hubs are areas designated for commercial and office developments which will provide opportunities for places of employment for the future new residents of the Study Area. The recommended pattern of residential development designates higher density development near the transportation hubs and the places of employment and then recommends lower density developments away from the commercial centres.

An extensive system of bicycle and pedestrian trails has been designated in the Development Concept Plan to provide opportunities for residents to travel within the new development area and to the adjoining Kenmount Terrace residential area.
The Development Concept Plan recommends the establishment of seven (7) neighbourhood development phase areas, six (6) of which are proposed to feature residential developments. The development phases are set out based on topography, current applications for development, preservation of nature and environmentally sensitive areas more so than land ownership patterns. The intent is to provide for staged orderly growth in a coordinated fashion. The intent would be to concentrate land development within one development phase area first before moving to development in the next development phase area. In this fashion, development in the Study Area evolves in a more compact fashion, infrastructure and roads are provided for in a more coordinated manner and development does not become fragmented and piecemeal. The proposed order of development is set out in detail in Chapter 4 of this report which deals with Implementation Strategy.

### 1.6.3 Housing Mix

Section 5.1 of the City's Terms of Reference for the preparation of the Comprehensive Land Use Development for lands located above the 190 metre contour in the Kenmount Road specifies that the final concept plan will make provision for residential uses, accommodating single detached houses through to higher density housing such as semi-detached houses, row houses, and apartment buildings for rental or for condominium ownership.
This Development Concept Plan proposes a varied mix of residential housing forms, from single detached homes on standard sized building lots (15 metres lot frontage and minimum 450 square metres lot area), to single detached homes on smaller lots ( 12 metres lot frontage and minimum 360 square metres lot area), semidetached homes, townhomes and apartment buildings. The proposed mix of residential land uses is set out in detail in Section 1.6 of this report which sets out the Land Use Summary

The Development Concept Plan's proposed mix of residential land uses can potentially yield a total of approximately 4520 new residential units at a residential density of 31.2 units per net hectare for an estimated residential build-out population of approximately 10,850 persons. The percentage of proposed multi-residential units and the number of residential units per net hectare is higher than traditional for most residential developments in St. John's such as the newly constructed residential subdivisions on the north side of Kenmount Road, west of the Kelsey Drive area where the new residential developments to date have been entirely constructed as single detached homes on standard sized building lots (15 metre lot frontage and 450 square metres lot area).

The proposed higher residential densities and yields of the Development Concept Plan are in keeping with the policies and objectives of the St. John's Municipal Plan. Specifically, Part III-City Wide Objectives and Policies-Urban Form of the Municipal Plan provides that:
a) Section 1.2.2-Development Density:
"The City shall encourage increased density in all areas where appropriate."
b) Section 1.2.3.-Residential Development:

1) "The City shall increase densities in residential areas where feasible and desirable from a general planning and servicing point of view."
2) "The City shall encourage a compatible mix of residential buildings of varying densities in all zones."
3) "The City shall minimize sprawl by encouraging large-scale integrated developments in all expansion areas."
c) Section 1.2.4-Mixed Use
"The City shall encourage the mixture of land uses in all areas."

In promoting a mixture of housing types, the Development Concept Plan will offer a range of housing types to the local residential market and provide increased opportunities for affordable housing. To implement the Development Concept Plan's intention of promoting a range of housing types, the following development policies are recommended to the City in its use of this Development Concept Plan to guide and regulate development in the coming years in the geographic area included within the boundaries of the Plan.

1. Standard Sized Residential Building Lots:

The Development Concept Plan recognizes the ongoing demand in the local housing market for the provision of standard sized residential building lots (minimum lot frontage of 15 metres; minimum lot area of 450 square metres) and recommends that $51 \%$ of the housing mix be allowed to develop in this manner for a total of 1575 lots. However, it is recommended that the City explore opportunities to enhance the streetscape of the Concep Plan area by staggering front line setbacks for adjacent homes from a consistent 6 metre building line to alternates of 5 metres and alternate side yard requirements between homes from 1.2 metres to 1.5 metres to provide for more variety of spacing between houses.
2. Smaller Sized Residential Building Lots

The Development Concept Plan promotes the development of smaller residential building lots for single detached houses ( 12 metres frontage and 350 square metres lot area). The Development Concept Plan provides that approximately $31 \%$ of the housing mix be allowed to develop in this manner for a total of 1208 building lots.
3. Carriage Homes-A New Type of Accessory Residential Unit

It is recommended that the City may wish to consider allowing a new type of residential dwelling in the Kenmount Road Development Concept Plan area: "Carriage Homes".

A Carriage House is a residential dwelling in a stand-alone building on a residential property that also contains single detached dwelling. The Carriage House may be a purpose-built building or may be a conversion of addition to an existing garage or accessory building.
A Carriage House can be possible alternative to a subsidiary apartment in a single detached home. A Carriage Home provides an above ground living environment and more privacy in most cases than would a subsidiary apartment.
There are municipalities in Canada; it appears to be primarily British Columbia, where Carriage Homes have been successfully introduced as a means of increasing residential densities where it is considered appropriate to do so and as a means of providing opportunities for affordable housing. There are specific regulations for Carriage Homes which have been drafted so as to ensure that the lot housing the Carriage House is sufficiently large; that the privacy of neighbours is safeguarded and that the character of a neighbourhood is maintained. The following are some requirements that have been drafted by some municipalities to regulate Carriage Homes:
a) Only one (1) Carriage House is permitted per property and it is only allowed on properties featuring a single-detached house; no other types of housing are permitted to have a Carriage House. Some municipalities may require the lot to be larger than a standard sized building lot for a single detached house in order for approval to be given for the establishment of a Carriage House.
b) A Carriage House may not be sited or located on a separate parcel from the principle dwelling unit.
c) Some municipalities may require that a Carriage House cannot be occupied as a residence except where the owner of the property primarily resides in either the Carriage House or the principal dwelling unit. If the registered owner ceases to reside in either the Carriage House or the principal dwelling unit, the Carriage House is not permitted to be rented out.
d) Carriage Houses must be located to the rear of the principal dwelling unit
e) Generally, Carriage Houses are permitted to be constructed to a maximum of $60 \%$ of the total net floor area of the principal dwelling unit or up to a specific floor area, whichever figure is less.
f) The Carriage House can be built up to a specific height or the same height of the principal dwelling unit, whichever is less.
g) There are normally specific yard setbacks specified so as to protect the privacy of neighbouring residential properties.
h) There must be one (1) dedicated off-street parking space for the Carriage House
i) A property which has a subsidiary apartment is not normally permitted to have a Carriage House and a property which has a Carriage House is not permitted to have a subsidiary apartment.
Carriage Homes could potentially be an alternative to subsidiary apartments in the Development Concept Plan area on the basis that new homebuyers would advised that these types of units are allowed in the area before purchasing in this area and would have that understanding before purchasing/building their homes.
It is also recommended that traditional subsidiary apartments as defined under the St. John's Development Regulations be allowed in the Development Concept Plan area subject to the current requirements of the Development Regulations.

## 4. Promotion of a Variety of Housing Types

It is recommended that a full range of housing types be allowed and promoted under the Development Concept Plan in order to increase residential densities in keeping with the City's municipal planning objectives and to provide a choice of housing options for new residents.

## 5. Mixed Use Buildings

It is recommended that commercial-office buildings be allowed to include residential dwelling units on the second and higher storeys of the building. This provides opportunities for easy access to shopping and services for the buildings' residents, establishes higher residential densities to make effective use of land and offers alternative choices in housing types for residents

## 6. Mandating a Variety of Housing Types

In order to ensure that lands included in the Kenmount Development Concept Plan area are ultimately developed in a manner so as to provide a variety of housing types and to increase residential densities from traditional new suburban development, it is recommended that the City consider enacting residential zoning for a portion of the隹
 ( Policy and Regulatory Recommendations.

### 1.7 Neighbourhood Commercial and Employment Generation Uses

1.7.1 Introduction

As part of the goal of achieving a more complete community for the Development Concept Plan area, the proposed land use mix under the land use plan has been extended beyond only residential developments. The plan makes provision for a combined potential total of approximately 18,700 square metres of retail floor space and approximately 37,400 square metres of potential office space. These designated areas, which win be within walking and bicycing distance from many of the areas proposed under the plan for residential development, will provide convenient access to shopping and services and significant opportunities for employment for future new
residents. In addition, there are areas in close proximity to the Study Area which have previously been designated
by the City for future commercial and industrial development and these areas too will provide opportunities for shopping, services and employment for the future new residents. Additionally, it should be noted that the significant number of existing residents in the Kenmount Terrace area to the east of the Study Area and the rapidly growing Elizabeth Park area in the Town of Paradise to the west of the Study Area, jointly provide a large potentia market for new business developments to establish in the area included within the boundaries of the Development Concept Plan.

The Development Concept Plan proposes that there be one major central neighbourhood commercial location to service both the north side and south side of the Study Area. This commercial node has been located in close, convenient proximity to the proposed transit location. Given the projected potential full build out population of approximately 11,000 persons who could live in the Study Area, the number of existing residents who live in the Kenmount Terrace area, and the large of number of existing residents in the nearby Elizabeth Park and surrounding areas within the Town of Paradise, there is every reason to believe that this new commercial area on the north side of Kenmount Road has the potential to be major new commercial core in the southwest sector of the city. A second smaller neighbourhood commercial centre has also been proposed for the south side of Kenmount Road, also near a proposed transit hub
It is recommended that commercial buildings in the Study Area be allowed and encouraged have a residentia component. This serves to establish an around the clock residential presence in the commercial areas designated under the Development Concept Plan, increases residential densities and provides opportunities to residents for a choice of housing types. The proposed zoning for the Study Area makes provision for a residential component.

To provide additional convenient opportunities for access to shopping and services for both future new residents and existing residents in the Kenmount Road area it is noted that:

1. The proposed zoning for the areas designated for multi-storey residential buildings (apartmen buildings) will be Apartment Low Density (A1) as defined under the St. John's Development Regulations. The A1 Zone allows as Discretionary Uses: convenience stores, service shops and uses complementary to an apartment building.
2. The proposed zone integrates the new residential zoning designation with strategically placed pockets of Commercial Neighbourhood (CN) zoning to allow neighbourhood commercial services that are easily accessible and in close proximity to residents.
3. More and more, telecommuting and people working from home is occurring. This trend is expected to continue and to grow. The Development Concept Plan recognizes and promotes this trend by making provision in the proposed zoning for all the residential housing forms to allow home offices and home occupations. Home offices are defined under the St. John's Development Regulations as secondary use of a residential dwelling unit by at least one resident of the dwelling unit for a business or occupation which does not involve clients coming to the home. Home occupations are defined under the Development Regulations as a secondary use of a dwelling unit by at least one of resident of the dwelling unit for some type of occupations or business activity which could involve clients coming to the dwelling unit. Examples of home occupations would be a hairdressing establishments, dance studios, yoga studios, massage therapy clinics. Home offices are proposed to allow as Permitted Use under the zoning for the Development Concept Plan, while home occupations are proposed to be allowed as Discretionary Uses
1.8 Institutional Public Services

The Terms of Reference for this project provide that the Development Concept Plan will include a land use category for Institutional Public Services (e.g. possible school sites, or location for a future community centre, equipmen depot, or other required uses).
1.8.1 School Sites

The consulting team has met with facilities management/planning staff of the Newfoundland and Labrador English School District to discuss the need to designate one or more potential future school sites in the Development Concept Plan. The School District staff have advised that given the projected potential full build-out population of the Study Area: the remaining residential lands to be developed in the Kenmount Terrace area and the rapidly growing population in the Town of Paradise, that they see the need for two school sites to be designated in the Study Area-one school site for a primary elementary school and one site for a junior high school site. School site criteria have been discussed with the School District staff and based on these discussions; one large site to accommodate both proposed school buildings ( 35 acres) has been designated for the north side of Kenmount Road. The park adjacent to the school site is proximate to a wetland area and could contain amenities such as hiking or biking trails, rest areas and scenic lookouts and wildlife/habitat information. This school site would of course, be subject to the future detailed review and approval of the School District and appropriate Provincial Government officials.

### 1.8.2 Fire Station

Discussions have been held with the Director of the St. John's Regional Fire Services Department (Fire Chief) who has advised of the planning criteria to be used in establishing the potential need for a fire station in the Study Area.
The consulting team has reviewed response times for fire fighting vehicles coming from the existing fire station on Kenmount Road, the new fire station on Blackmarsh Road and the new fire station to be built on Topsail Road in Paradise to the geographic area included within the boundaries of the Development Concept Plan. As a result of this analysis, it has been determined that a new fire station will be required to ensure adequate firefighting coverage to the Study Area. A proposed site for a new fire station has been designated for the north side of Kenmount Road and this site is indicated on the Development Concept Plan Land Use Map.

### 1.8.3 Community Centre/Recreational Complex

The Development Concept Plan proposes a new community centre/recreational complex site for the north side of Kenmount Road. This facility could feature both indoor and outdoor recreational facilities. The proposed location has the advantage of being in close proximity to the designated transit hub, in close proximity to the designated commercial node and in close proximity to the planned residential areas on both sides of Kenmount Road. It is also located in good proximity to the existing and future stages of the Kenmount Terrace residential area and the neighbouring Elizabeth Park subdivision in Paradise and other nearby residential neighbourhoods in Paradise and Mount Pearl. As a result, there would be a large residential population for the community centre/recreational complex to draw upon.
1.8.4 Municipal Equipment Building

The consulting team has discussed the potential need for a new municipal equipment building in the geographic area included in the Development Concept Plan.
It should be noted that the City of St. John's has recently undertaken a proposal call for consulting services to undertake a review of the City's current practices for its snow clearing operations. As part of this study, the selected consulting team could consider if a new municipal equipment building is required in the Kenmount Road area to make snow clearing operations more effective. Additionally, as development of the lands located above the 190 and Parks
 the appropriate stage if a new municipal depot building is required to be established in this part of the city to help ensure effective snow clearing operations.
1.9 Policy and Regulatory Recommendations

The City's Terms of Reference for the preparation of this Land Use Development Concept Plan note that the completed Plan will become a Planning Area Development Scheme (i.e. a secondary or local part as part of the St.

John's Municipal Plan). The Terms of Reference specify that the Plan will use the land use designations of the St. John's Municipal Plan and the land use zones in the St. John's Development Regulations in its written text and maps. The text of the Plan is to support its mapping by including:

> a) A statement of the rationale for each land use designation;
> b) The objectives for lands within each designation;
> c) The land use policies for each type of designation; and
> d) Recommended development standards or guidelines.
1.9.1 Municipal Plan Designation for the Development Concept Plan

In 2012, as part of the planning process to allow urban development above the 190 metre contour elevation in the Kenmount Road area, the St. John's Municipal Council agreed to redesignate lands in the Study Area from the "Rural Land Use District" and the "Restricted Land Use District" under the St. John's Municipal Plan to a new Land Use District called the "Southlands/Kenmount Land Use District". This District identifies lands above the 190 metre contour elevation in the Southands area andizing Kenmunt Road area which have the potential to be developed notes that no development utilizing municipal water and sewer services or on-site water and sewer services shall be permitted in these two geographic areas until such time as the City's Department of Planning, Development and Engineering determines that the areas are available for serviced development. The Municipal Plan notes that the range of Permitted Zones and Conditional Zones in this Land Use District shall be determined by Council.
In making its decision to create a new Land Use District for the lands above the 190 metre contour in the Kenmount Road area, it was Council's intention that no future map amendments to the Municipal Plan would be required to be enacted once it is determined that the area is available for serviced development. It was Council's intention that appropriate new zoning would be introduced based upon the preparation of a Development Concept Plan for the area. It was intended that the area would remain in the Southlands/Kenmount Land Use District which authorizes Council to introduce a range of zoning without further Municipal Plan amendments.

Notwithstanding Council's intentions with respect to retain the Study Area in the Southlands/Kenmount Land Use District, the consulting team has determined that parts of the Study Area were apparently inadvertently not included in the Municipal Plan amendment from 2012 and remain in the Rural and Restricted Land Use Districts. It is recommended that the City initiate steps to redesignate these areas to the Southlands/Kenmount Land Use District. It is further recommended that these same lands which were not included within the appropriate Municipal Plan amendment be rezoned to the appropriate new Zone designations to implement the Development Concept Plan. The modified boundary of the study area that reflects the lands that were inadvertently not included in the aforementioned Municipal Plan Amendment are shown in Figure 5.The lists of proposed designations for the Development Concept Plan are set out in detail the next section.


Figure 5 - Draft of Modifications of the Study Area Boundary for the Purposes of the Development Concept Plan
The Department of Municipal Affairs undertook a corresponding amendment to the St. John's Urban Region Regional Plan (the "Regional Plan") in 2012 to redesignate the lands located above the 190 metre contour elevation in the Southlands and Kenmount Road areas from "Rural" to "Urban Expansion". The Regional Plan amendment was required in order to enable Council to approve its amendments to the St. John's Municipal Plan. he policies of the Regional Plan and the current scale of its mapping are such that no further amendments are anticipated to be required to be made to the Regional Plan to make either the amendment to the mapping of the Municipal Plan which is recommended above or to introduce new zoning for the Study Area to implement this Development Concept Plan.
1.9.2 Proposed Zone Designations for the Development Concept Plan Area

The current zone designation of the Study Area is the "Comprehensive Development Area: Kenmount Road (CDAKenmount) Zone. This was a new zone approved by Council and placed on the Study Area in 2012. This zone is essentially a type of "holding zone" which allows existing uses to continue and which authorizes the reconstruction and replacement of and additions to existing buildings provided that Council may refuse permission for a eplacement or reconstruction or addition if Council is of the opinion that such a Development would adversely affect the Development of the Comprehensive Development Area. It was intended that the CDA: Kenmount Zone would remain in place until such time as a comprehensive development plan was prepared for the lands along
both sides of Kenmount Road located above the 190 metre contour elevation. Upon preparation of the Development Plan, it was intended that Council would assign appropriate detailed zoning to the area
As noted, the City's Terms of Reference for this planning project provide that the Development Concept Plan will use the land use zones of the St. John's Development Regulations in its written text and maps. However subsequent discussions with the City staff have indicated the City is open to the establishment of new planning designations if the direction, scope and recommendations of the Development Concept Plan warrant doing so
It is recommended that the zoning designations applied to the lands subject to the Development Concept Plan be a combination of zones currently existing in the St. John's Development Regulations and proposed new zones designed to implement the objectives of the Plan.

It is proposed that seven zones be used to implement the proposed land use plan for the Kenmount Study Area:
1.9.2.1 Open Space (O) Zone

This zone is proposed to be assigned to parks and open spaces designated, developed and maintained by the City to meet the recreational requirements of the area included within the boundaries of the Development Concept Plan.

It is proposed that the Open Space ( 0 ) Zone with its list of Permitted Uses and Discretionary Uses and Zone Requirements as it is currently set out within the text of the St. John's Development Regulations, be applied and maintained without modification for the Study Area.
1.9.2.2 Open Space Reserve (OR) Zone

This zone is proposed to be assigned to all Environmentally Valuable Areas (EVA's) located within the Study Area which includes important waterways, wetlands and areas within a slope over $15 \%$ which is considered by the City to be undevelopable.
It is proposed that the Open Space Reserve (OR) Zone with its list of Permitted Uses and Discretionary Uses and Zone Requirements as it is currently set out within the text of the St. John's Development Regulations, be applied and maintained without modification for the Study Area.
1.9.2.3 Institutional (INST) Zone

This zone is proposed to be assigned to lands used for the administration and delivery of public services primarily government, public, social, religious, recreation, and educational facilities. This zone may also include some public government, public, social, religious, recreation, and educational facilities. This zone may also include some public
services provided by the private sector. It is a zone normally applied to land and buildings owned and used by the three levels of government and major institutions such as hospitals, churches, educational and cultural facilities

It is proposed that the Institutional (INST) Zone with its list of Permitted Uses and Discretionary Uses and Zon Requirements as it is currently set out within the text of the St. John's Development Regulations, be applied and maintained without modification for the Study Area.
1.9.2.4 Residential-Kenmount West (RK-West) Zone

This is a proposed new zone to that would be assigned to all those areas within the Study Area proposed for future new residential development. It is based in some measure upon the existing Residential Kenmount (RK) Zone listed in the St. John's Development Regulations. The RK Zone was drafted by the City a decade ago as part of the process of preparing a comprehensive land use plan for those areas in the vicinity of Kenmount Road which ultimately developed/are currently developing as the commercial areas in the Kelsey Drive and Messenger Drive areas and the area on the north side of Kenmount Road which has developed and continues to develop as the Kenmount Terrace residential subdivision area.

The RK Zone was drafted so as to provide flexibility in the type of housing forms that could be constructed and to enable an increase in traditional residential densities for new suburban areas without the necessity of going
through the rezoning process. At the same time, the RK Zone was drafted and enacted so as to ensure that for any new development project or stage of a development project which is submitted to the City, that a minimum of $50 \%$ of the new units to be constructed must be single detached dwellings on standard sized building lots (minimum lot area of 450 square metres and a minimum lot frontage of 15 metres). In spite of the flexibility allowed by the RK Zone, the Kenmount Terrace neighbourhood continues to be developed relatively uniformly with single detached housing on standard sized building lots, consistent with similar neighbourhoods developed during the 1970's.
The proposed new Residential Kenmount-West (RK-West) Zone has been drafted in an effort to provide the flexibility to allow a range and mixture of housing forms in the Study Area without the necessity of going through a rezoning process to accommodate specific housing projects, while at the same time working to ensure that there is a reasonable balance between the traditional form of suburban housing and higher residential densities. This is in keeping with the Terms of Reference for the preparation of the Kenmount Development Concept Plan which provides that: "The Development Concept Plan must lay out the residential developments proposed for the study area, identifying locations for a variety of housing forms at various densities." The proposed new Zone was drafted so as to allow the establishment of neighbourhood types of commercial uses and services in residential areas so that residents will have ease of access to such uses and services without having consistently to drive to these locations.
It is proposed that the RK-West Zone allow the following uses as Permitted Uses:
Residential Uses

- Single Detached Dwellings on Standard Sized Building Lots
- Single Detached Dwellings on Smaller Sized Building Lots
- Semi-Detached Dwellings
- Duplex Dwellings
- Townhousing
- Subsidiary Apartments
- Accessory Buildings


## Recreational

- Parks

Other

- Family Home Child Care Services (subject to Section 7.6 of the St. John's Development Regulations)
- Home Office (subject to Section 7.9 of the St. John's Development Regulations)


## Discretionary Uses

- Apartment Buildings
- Carriage Houses (subject to criteria that would be established by City based on information contained in Section 1.6 of the Study Report)
- Bed and Breakfast Operations (subject to Section 7.27 of the St. John's Development Regulations
- Day Care Centre (Subject to Section 7.6 of the St. John's Development Regulations)
- Home Occupation (Subject to Section 7.6 of the St. John's Development Regulations
- Parking Lot (Subject to Section 7.13 of the St. John's Development Regulations)
- Private Park
- Public Utility
- Service Shops (Subject to Section 7.19 of the St. John's Development Regulations)
- Uses Complementary to an Apartment Building

Proposed Zone Requirements for the Residential Kenmount West (RK-West) Zone
It is proposed that the Zone Requirements for the list of proposed Permitted Uses and Discretionary Uses in the new Zone would be the same as they currently exist for the Residential Kenmount (RK) Zone with the added condition that while Townhouses are proposed to be allowed as a Permitted Use in the new Zone, that Townhouse be required to be designed and constructed so that there are no more than six (6) townhomes within each block of townhouses.
Mandatory Mix of Residential Uses in the Residential Kenmount West (RK-West) Zone
In order to ensure that lands included within the boundaries of the Development Concept Plan are developed so as to offer a choice in the variety of housing styles and to increase residential densities so as to make optimal use of the installation of municipal services which will be installed to facilitate urban development in this area, it is recommended that the RK-West Zone have a requirement that $35 \%$ of the new residential units to be constructed for any development project or stage of a development project which is submitted to the City, must be of a form other than Single-Detached Houses on Standard Sized Building Lots and the proposed mix of residential uses other than Single Detached Houses on Standard Sized Building Lots will be subject to the approval of Council with conditions and/or restrictions that Council may deem appropriate to impose.
1.9.2.5 Apartment Low Density (A1) Zone

This zone would be applied to the sites proposed under the Development Concept Plan for multi-storey residential apartment buildings.
The A1 Zone allows Apartment Buildings and Seniors' Apartment Buildings (as defined under the St. John's Development Regulations) to a maximum building height of three (3) storeys (not exceeding 12 metres). The zone allows a range of Discretionary Uses including convenience stores in Apartment Buildings, Service Shops, and Uses complementary to an Apartment Building and a Seniors' Apartment Building.

The A1 Zone also allows Townhousing as a Permitted Use.
It is recommended that the A1 Zone as currently written in the text of the St. John's Development Regulations be applied to the Kenmount Development Concept Plan with the proviso however, that Townhouses not be permitted in this Zone in the area subject to the Development Concept Plan. This condition could be written as an amendment to the text of the Development Regulations. This step is recommended to ensure that the areas under the Plan that are recommended to be developed as multi-storey buildings, are in fact developed for this purpose rather than another type of residential development.
1.9.2.6 Commercial Kenmount West (CK-West) Zone

This is a proposed new commercial zone proposed to be assigned to the areas designated for future commercial development in the Study Area. The new zone is based in some measure upon the existing Commercial Mixed Use (CM) Zone which is used in the Churchill Square area and the Commercial Mixed Use-Pleasantville (CMPleasantville) Zone which was drafted and enacted for the redevelopment plan prepared by Tract Consulting in conjunction with other consultants for the Canada Lands Company for the redevelopment of the former Federal Government Lands in Pleasantville.
The proposed new CK-West Zone is designed to allow a significant range of commercial, office and service uses with the provision for mixed commercial-residential buildings. The new Zone will provide opportunities for employment for the residents living in the Kenmount Road area. The new Zone proposes to not allow land intensiv-low enploynel land uses such as conce use of the developable land in the generate more employment opportunities on a smaller land base.

The following is a list of proposed Permitted Uses and Discretionary Uses for the new Zone along with proposed Zone requirements:

## Permitted Uses-CK-West Zone

Commercial Uses

- Bakery
- Bank (subject to Section 7.30 of the St. John's Development Regulations)
- Clinic
- Commercial Uses
- Communications
- Custom Workshop
- Custom Workshop
- Dry Cleaning Establishment
- Eating Establishment (subject to Sections 7.21 and 7.31 of the St. John's Development Regulations)
- Hotel
- Laundromat
- Lounge (Subject to Section 7.21 of the St. John's Development Regulations)
- Office
- Parking Area
- Printing Establishment
- Retail Store
- Service Shop
- Service Station and Gas Bar (Subject to Sections 7.20 and 7.30 of the St. John's Development Regulations)
- Light Industrial Use


## Residential Uses

- -Residential Dwelling Units on the second and higher storeys of a Building
- -Bed and Breakfast Operations (Subject to Section 7.27 of the St. John's Development Regulations)


## Public Uses

- Church
- Cultural Centre
- Library

Recreational Uses

- Park

Other Permitted Uses

- Day Care Centre (Subject to Section 7.6 of the St. John's Development Regulations)
- Public Use
- Public Utility


## Proposed Discretionary Uses-CK-West Zone

- Car Washing Establishment (Subject to Section 7.30 of the St. John 's Development Regulations)
- Place of Amusement
- Place of Assembly
- Private Park
- Recycling Depot


## Proposed Zone Requirements -CK-West Zone

The following requirements shall apply to all Uses except for Parks, Private Parks and Service Stations/Gas Bars

- Maximum Floor Area Ratio: 3.0
- Maximum Building Height: 4 storeys (not exceeding 15 metres).
- At Council's discretion and subject to the preparation of a Land Use Assessment Report (an "LUAR") prepared by a proponent under Terms of Reference approved by Council and to public review of the LUAR in accordance with the provisions of Section 5.5 of the St. John's Development Regulations prior to Council making a decision on the LUAR, Council may allow an increase in building height up to a maximum of 6 storeys (not exceeding 24 metres).
- Maximum Residential Density: One (1) Residential Dwelling Unit per 50 square metres of Lot Area
1.9.2.7 Commercial Office (CO) Zone

It is recommended that the Commercial Office (CO) Zone be applied to the area designated on the south side of Kenmount Road as a business park.

The CO Zone is a commercial zone which allows primarily office use as some limited retail and service shop uses. It also allows residential dwelling units located on the second and/or higher storeys of a building as a Permitted Use and also allows a Seniors' Apartment Buildings as a Permitted Use.

It is recommended that the Commercial Office (CO) Zone as it is currently written in the text of the St. John's Development Regulations be applied to the area proposed as a business park on the south side of Kenmount Road with the provision however, that residential dwelling units and Seniors' Apartment Buildings and uses complementary to a Seniors' Apartment Building not be allowed under this zone for the area subject to the Development Concept Plan. A text amendment can be written to the CO Zone to apply this restriction to the CO Zone.
1.9.2.8 Commercial Neighbourhood Zone

It is recommended that the Commercial Neighbourhood (CN) Zone can be applied to the areas identified as strategic commercial locations within residential neighbourhoods, as identified in Section 1.7

The CN Zone is a commercial zone which allows retail and personal services for residential areas provided as convenience stores, a public library, a clinic or in other uses and forms compatible with residential neighbourhoods. The CN Zone also permits dwelling units to be located in the second and/or higher storey of building.
It is recommended that the Commercial Neighbourhood (CN) Zone as it is currently written in the text of the St. John's Development Regulations be applied to the areas proposed to serve as neighbourhood commercial centers
1.9.2.9 Other Recommendations Regarding Zoning

It is recommended that lands adjoining the Study Area that are also located above the 190 metre contour elevation and which are presently zoned other than "Comprehensive Development Area-Kenmount Road (CDA-Kenmount) Zone, be retained in their current zone designations.

### 1.10 Financial Options for Comprehensive Land Use Planning

### 1.10.1 Introduction

Urban expansion and development often lead directly to an increase in the demand for water, sewer, drainage, parks, roads and other types of community infrastructure and amenities. At the present time, the City of St. John's charges a Development Fee applied to the subdivision or development of property or the construction of extensions to existing buildings. The Development Fee is applied primarily for the purposes of raising monies to be placed in a dedicated City fund for purposes such as the acquisition of land for new parkland and recreationa
developments, the rehabilitation of existing City parks and recreational developments and trail development and maintenance.
The current City Development Fee structure which was adopted by the St. John's Municipal Council in October of 2013 is as follows:

- Residential Developments: $\$ 2000$ per each new residential unit.
- Non-Residential Developments: $\$ 20$ for each one (1) square metre of gross floor area.
- Mixed Use Developments: $\$ 2000$ per each new residential unit and $\$ 20$ for each one (1) square metre of gross floor area of all non-residential areas of a building.
The St. John's Development Regulations currently provide that where the City requires the dedication of lands for public recreational purposes, the amount of the Development Fee shall be reduced by the value of the raw land so dedicated, along with the value of any equipment required and/or specified by the City.
The City also applies a Subdivision Application Fee of $\$ 200$ per Lot for each new Lot created as a result of the subdivision of a property. The Subdivision Application Fee does not apply to the Homestead Lot.

The preparation of the Development Concept Plan for the Kenmount Road Study Area provides an opportunity for the City to consider several new financing options to adequately support new growth and to pay for a number of aspects of the future costs of growth, not just those applicable to open space and recreational development.

The following sections identify two municipal financing options currently employed by many municipalities in British Columbia that the City of St. John's may wish to consider for the financing of future infrastructure and community amenities in the geographic area which makes up the Kenmount Development Concept Plan Area and possibly for other areas of the city.
1.10.2 Development Cost Charges

Development Cost Charges ("DCC's") are fees collected from land developers by municipalities to assist in financing the cost of upgrading or providing infrastructure services. The DCC concept is designed to help defray the cost of future infrastructure upgrades/maintenance needs that evolve as a result of the cumulative and ongoing impacts of growth. DCC's are intended to support development by providing a means to finance municipal capital projects related to highways, roads, drainage, sewers, water or developing and acquiring parkland needed to support new development. DCC's are applied as a one-time charge against residential, commercial, industrial and institutional developments. They are usually collected by the local municipal authority at the time of subdivision approval or at the time that a building permit is issued. The DCC infrastructure levy is calculated by a municipality by means of a formula that identifies long term infrastructure capital and maintenance needs for a determined development area.
The following are some examples of projects that DCC's are used to fund:

- Highways: road extension construction, highway improvements, bridge construction, intersection upgrades, and pedestrian/bike corridor improvements.
- Water: water main upgrades, pump station upgrades and water reservoir improvements
- Sewer: sewage lift stations and sewer main improvements
- Drainage: drainage and flood protection facilities
- Park Acquisition and Development: parkland acquisition and development of municipal parks

DCC's are extensively used by local municipalities and regional authorities in British Columbia under the authority of Provincial legislation and there is extensive literature on the purpose and application of DCC's there. A review of some of this literature has determined that:

1. DCC's are applied to all forms of development: residential, commercial, institutional, industrial, etc. Normally a per unit charge is applied to residential developments at the time of either subdivision, or in the case o higher density residential uses, at the time of issuance of a building permit. For commercial and other nonresidential uses, the DCC is applied on a square footage area amount at the time of the issuance of a building permit.
2. DCC's are in addition to on-site infrastructure work for which the developer is directly financially responsible to support their own individual development such as the construction of new roads, water services and storm and sanitary sewer services.
3. DCC's are not used for replacing, operating, and maintaining parks, roads, water mains, sanitary and storm sewers already in place to serve a municipality's existing residents.
4. Generally, infrastructure construction begins after enough DCC's have been collected by the local government for the project; however, in certain circumstances, construction must begin before enough funds have been collected. In these circumstances, either the local government or the developer will "front-end" the cost. These costs are then recovered through DCC's as the development progresses.
5. DCC's are normally kept in a separate fund from a local government's general operating fund. A loca government may only spend DCC monies, and the interest earned on them, for the specific projects and services for which they were collected. For example, DCC's collected for sewer infrastructure in a new development may only be spent on applicable sewer infrastructure systems near the new development.
6. DCC's can be specified according to different zones or specified areas of a municipality as they relate to different classes and amount of development and different DCC fee schedules can be applied in different geographic areas of the municipality based on the identified infrastructure needs of a particular area of the municipality.
7. A review of DCC's rates for different municipalities in British Columbia shows a trend that a higher DCC rate is normally applied to lower density residential developments and that the DDC rate is applied at a reduced rate per residential dwelling unit for higher density residential developments such as townhomes and apartment buildings. In some cases, DCC's are waived/reduced for some residential developments if a portion of the development is constructed as affordable housing units.
8. A review of a municipality or regional authority's DCC's fee structure is normally undertaken annually.
9. In order to assist in establishing its DCC fee schedule, municipalities develop a detailed list of future/longterm community needs and associated costs for varied community projects that will be required by the municipality as a result of the cumulative impacts of growth and increased densities.
1.10.3 Community Amenity Fee Contributions

Community amenities are generally facilities or improvements needed to service a growing population or to create a more complete, livable community through the provision of such facilities as recreational facilities, transportation facilities, cultural centres, fire stations, and libraries.

Community Amenity Fee Contributions ("CAC's") are voluntary in-kind or cash contributions provided by property developers to a municipality when a municipality grants development rights through rezoning which allows an increase in residential densities. Demands on municipal facilities can increase as a result of the rezoning of properties because of new residents and employees in the area as a result of a rezoning to allow a development. To lessen the financial burden on the community, CAC's address this increased demand by adding and expanding municipal facilities.

A number of municipalities in British Columbia have by practice and procedure determined that for each new ezoning that proposes an increase in residential density, that the developer is requested to make a voluntary contribution on a per unit basis to help offset the future cost of capital needs. Unlike Development Cost Charges (DCC's), CAC's are not applied to basic infrastructure such as municipal water and sewer services and roads, but only to community amenities such as the types of facilities noted above.
Like the use of DCC's, for the CAC concept to be effectively employed, it is important for a municipality to prepare and update a detailed list of future community infrastructure needs and associated costs for community projects that will be required by the municipality to meet the needs of cumulative growth and increased densities.
It is recommended that the City of St. John's determine if the City is interested in exploring the feasibility of introducing Development Cost Charges and/or Community Amenity Fees as methods of attempting to ensure that developers contribute in an equitable manner so as to help pay for the costs of various forms of community infrastructure, amenities and projects that will be required to be provided by the City as a result of new urban in exploring these options, then it is recommended that the City contact the Department of Municipal Affairs to exploring these options, then is recommended thorize the city the in etermine if curre options or if the legislation would need to be first amended

## 2 Traffic

2.1 Background
2.1.1 Introduction

As part of the overall development of the comprehensive land use plan for the land areas above the 190 metre As part of the overall development of the comprehensive land use plan for the land areas above the 190 metre and Transportation section 5.2 of the terms of reference for this study including:

- The determination of the required access points and the layout of the internal road network
- Deliverable - Conceptual drawings of the proposed road network along with the appropriate report Deliverable - Co
- Collection of required traffic count dat
- Deliverable - All intersection and roadway traffic counts collected and used in the course of the study shall be organized and properly documented and included with the report appendices.
- Standard traffic impact analysis
o Deliverable - Analysis to be properly documented in the final report, electronic files for Synchro and SimTraffic models, and the calibrated VISUM model(s) to be included in appropriate appendices. Schematic drawings of intersection improvements including any new auxiliary lanes or lane extensions should be provided complete with cost estimates.
- Transit: a review of transit operations in the study area

Deliverable - Appropriate report documentation and minutes of meetings to be included in appendices and a transit plan for the study areas.

- Regional Fire Department - Coverage review
- Deliverable - The consultant will be expected to graphically represent the travel times from the fire stations noted above into the new areas opened up for development above the 190 metre contour and to use this analysis to make recommendations on the need for an additional fire station, and if required, to identify potential locations for the new station that would be suitable to address any travel time concerns.


### 2.1.2 Study Area

The study area for this project is shown in Figure 6. This area conforms to the Original Study Boundary shown in Figure 5. It includes lands to the North of Kenmount Road bounded by the watershed boundary, the Town of Paradise boundary, Kenmount Road to the South and the Kenmount Terrace development to the East. It also includes the lands south of Kenmount Road bounded by the Mount Pearl boundary to the west, and the Team Gushue Highway to the east and the rear property lines of the businesses on Kenmount Road extending from Kelsey Drive to Wyatt Boulevard to the north.

The overall development area consists of 382 ha of undeveloped land.


## Figure 6 - Draft of Study Are

2.1.3 Data Collection

Due to the scale of the development, the study area contains roadways and intersections under the jurisdiction of St. John's, Mount Pearl, and the Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Transportation and Works (NLDTW). These key intersections and roadways are shown in Figure 7 and include the following:

## Intersections Zone 1 (North of Kenmount Rd)

1. Outer Ring Road \& Thorburn Road
2. Thorburn Road \& Goldstone Street
. Thorburn Road \& Austin Street
. Thorburn Road \& Mount Scio Road
3. Thorburn Road \& O'Leary Avenue
. Thorburn Road \& Prince Philip Drive
. Thorburn Road Loop Ramp @ Freshwater Street
. Kenmount Road \& Avalon Mall
. Kenmount Road \& Pippy Place
4. Knoun Roa K Kels
5. Kelsey Dive \& Kiwanis Stree

都
3. Kenmount Road \& Ladysmith Drive
14. Kenmount Road \& Great Eastern Avenue
15. Kenmount Road \& Mount Carson Avenue
16. Kenmount Road \& Brougham Drive

## Roadways Zone 1 (North of Kenmount Rd)

1. Outer Ring Road between Topsail Road \& Thorburn Road
2. Thorburn Road West of Columbus
3. Kenmount Road East of Mount Carson Avenue
4. Kelsey Drive
5. Goldstone Street

## Intersections Zone 2 (South of Kenmount Rd)

1. Columbus Drive \& Old Pennywell Road
2. Columbus Drive \& Mundy Pond Road
3. Columbus Drive \& Blackmarsh Road
4. Columbus Drive \& Captain Whelan Drive
5. Captain Whelan Drive \& Blackmarsh Road/Hamlyn Road
6. Empire Avenue \& Blackmarsh Road \& Captain Whelan Drive
7. Captain Whelan Drive \& Team Gushue Highway
8. Topsail Road \& Blackmarsh Road
9. Topsail Road \& Mount Carson Avenue

## Roadways Zone 2

1. Team Gushue Highway
2. Topsail Road West of Burgeo Street
3. Blackmarsh Road at City Limits
4. Captain Whelan Drive
5. Columbus Drive South of Thorburn Road

Existing traffic volumes for the 2013 base year were based on data provided by the City of St. John's and traffic counts conducted by Hatch through local university students. The City of St. John's provided the following fourhour intersection counts:

- Thorburn Road \& Goldstone Street - February 2012
- Thorburn Road \& Austin Street - November 2012
- Thorburn Road \& Mount Scio Road - January 2012
- Thorburn Road \& O'Leary Avenue - October 2012
- Thorburn Road \& Prince Philip Drive - March 2013
- Thorburn Road Loop Ramp @ Freshwater Street - October 2012
- Kenmount Road \& Avalon Mall - October 2012
- Kenmount Road \& Pippy Place - October 2012
- Kenmount Road \& Kelsey Drive - May 2011
- Kenmount Road \& Ladysmith Drive - March 2011
- Kenmount Road \& Great Eastern Avenue - March 2011
- Kenmount Road \& Mount Carson Avenue - May 2011
- Columbus Drive \& Old Pennywell Road - April 2013
- Columbus Drive \& Mundy Pond Road - April 2013
- Columbus Drive \& Blackmarsh Road - April 2013
- Columbus Drive \& Captain Whelan Drive - April 2013
- Captain Whelan Drive \& Blackmarsh Road/Hamlyn Road - October 2013
- Empire Avenue \& Blackmarsh Road \& Captain Whelan Drive - October 2013

Hatch conducted four-hour intersection counts (7:00-9:00 AM, 4:00-6:00 PM) at the following intersections:
Outer Ring Road \& Thorburn Road - September 2013

- Kelsey Drive \& Kiwanis Street - September 2013
- Kelsey Drive \& Team Gushue Highway - September 2013
- Kenmount Road \& Brougham Drive - September 2013
- Topsail Road \& Blackmarsh Road - September 2013
- Topsail Road \& Mount Carson Avenue - September 2013

The traffic count data is included in Appendix B-1


Figure 7 - Draft of Key Intersections in Areas 1 \& 2

### 2.1.4 Study Methodology

The scope of the technical analysis conducted as part of the transportation study was based on the Terms of Reference provided by City of St. John's on April 25, 2013. Figure 8 provides a conceptual summary of this approach.

## Study Approach



Figure 8- Draft Study Approach
2.1.5 Intersection Measures of Performance

Intersection measures of performance were reviewed and compared for different network and traffic volume scenarios during the analysis that was completed for this study. The analysis used industry standard techniques.

The main evaluation tool used in the analysis for this report was the Synchro Traffic Signal Coordination Software which analyzes typical measures of performance based on the methodology of the Highway Capacity Manual (Transportation Research Board, 2000). The SimTraffic micro-simulation traffic software was also used in the course of the analysis to illustrate and identify interactions between individual driver types and the effects of adjacent or closely spaced intersections. The ARCADY/Junctions 8 software was used to analyze roundabout options. ARCADY uses the TRL/Kimber empirical method to assess roundabouts.
Three primary measures of performance are typically used to evaluate the performance of an intersection. These are outlined below:

Volume to Capacity Ratio (v/c) - Volume to capacity ratios relate the estimated traffic volumes (demand volume) to the theoretical maximum volume that could be accommodated (capacity volume/adjusted saturation flow rate). As the v/c ratio approaches 1.0, the movement has reduced ability to accommodate any additional volume of traffic. Generally, intersection control or road infrastructure movements can alleviate any reduced residual capacity

Level of Service (LOS) - LOS is a qualitative measure which describes operational conditions. It is based on service measures such as freedom to manoeuvre, travel time, speed, and traffic interruptions. LOS is expressed as a scale from 'A' to ' F ,' where LOS A represents free flow conditions or very low delay (less than 10 seconds per vehicle at an intersection), and LOS F represents delay times that are unacceptable to motorists using the facility (greater than 50 seconds at a STOP sign control or greater than 80 seconds at traffic signals). Generally speaking, a minimum LOS E is considered acceptable; however, the desirable design level of service is generally accepted as being LOS D or better.

Tables in this report and in Appendix B-7 use the following colour code to identify the six levels of service:
$\qquad$

Queue Capacity - Queue capacity at intersections is critical to the performance of the network. As part of the analysis process, queue lengths were examined and recommendations were made to ensure that sufficient vehicle storage is available to maintain efficient traffic flow. The 95th percentile queue length is the length of queue which is exceeded only $5 \%$ of the time.

The analysis tables in the following sections and in Appendix B-7 display the LOS, ratio, delay per vehicle, and queue length results for turning movements of each approach to the intersection as calculated by Synchro. The average delay per vehicle simulated in SimTraffic, the equivalent LOS based on these delays, and the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths are also summarized. The traffic volume figures also show the intersection LOS.

### 2.1.6 VISUM

The City of St. John's VISUM model was used for the existing conditions analysis and to forecast future traffic volumes. VISUM is a macroscopic transportation planning modelling software package that is used to model transportation networks and travel demand to forecast traffic flows under a different network conditions. In 2011, Hatch developed a number of regional VISUM transportation planning models for the City of St. John's including models for the A.M. and P.M. peak hours for traffic conditions present in 2010, 2015 and 2025. For the existing conditions analysis, the model was calibrated at the screenline level using the actual 2013 traffic volumes and was used for the study area. To estimate the number of external trips to/from areas beyond the study area, a land
inventory study was performed to identify future land uses within the study area and to estimate number of trips assigned to these areas. For the purposes of completing this study, Hatch used an updated version of the 2025 model to complete the required analysis which includes commercial, industrial and residential development that is likely to occur in the St. John's Metro area by the year 2025. It also includes new road infrastructure such as the Team Gushue Highway that are expected to be completed by that time frame.

The 2025 VISUM model includes the following developments in the following areas of the St. John's Metropolitan Area:

- Brookfield Plains
- Kilbride
- Pleasantville
- Bayview Estates and Pine Ridge Estates in Torbay
- Commercial development on Glencoe Drive in Mount Pearl
- Southlands build-out
- Glencrest development (project in approval phase in southeast quadrant of Pitts Memorial Drive/Outer Ring Road interchange)
- Greeleytown Rd/Kerry Ave. (Conception Bay South)
2.1.7 Access and Internal Road Network Layout

Consideration for providing access to the lands above the 190 metre contour in the study area was separated into two parts; lands north and south of Kenmount Road. Each of these areas is served by key transportation facilities that connect the Study area to other areas in St. John's and to the communities of Mount Pearl and Paradise. Kenmount Road runs between the north and south development areas. Thorburn Road borders the north area and the Team Gushue Highway (TGH) borders the south area and links Kenmount and Thorburn Roads. The Outer Ring Road/TransCanada Highway (TCH) connecting Paradise and the north part of the City of St. John's is located just north of the north development area. The development area also is immediately west of the existing Kenmount Terrace neighbourhood and east of built up areas of the Town of Paradise.
Connectivity with the existing road network is essential for direct connection to higher tier highways, controlled access facilities and arterial roads. Providing a continuous and supportive collector network within the neighbourhood will reduce the amount of traffic that must use the boundary arterials and key intersections. Given the proximity of the site to the main arterials and highways serving this part of the City as well as Mount Pearl and Paradise, connections to both Kenmount and Thorburn Roads as well as Team Gushue Highway, Elizabeth Park, Wyatt and the Outer Ring Road would be desirable. Creation of an east-west collector road to tie into a future Brier Avenue and secondary collector to connect to Empire Avenue and Blackmarsh Road is also desirable. This would complete the grid with continuous facilities that are spaced adequately to ensure connecting intersections function well. Internal to the development areas, continuous collector roads that can serve as transit routes through the area and that are located centrally to the residential or commercial catchments will be necessary to support the traffic movement function of the boundary arterials as well as provide a degree of local access.

Based on these guiding principles, access to the development areas north and south of Kenmount Road were examined. Specifically, the following access opportunities were considered in the study:

## North Side of Kenmount Road

- Direct access onto Kenmount Road,
- Access to Thorburn Road, and,
- Access to the Outer Ring Road,
- Connections to the existing street stubs left undeveloped in Kenmount Terrace.


## South Side of Kenmount Road

- Access onto Kenmount Road,
- Access onto Wyatt Boulevard,
- Access onto Old Pennywell Road
- Access onto Captain Whelan Drive via the Team Gushue Interchange with Captain Whelan Drive, Empire Avenue and Blackmarsh Road
- An interchange reconfiguration with the new access road (Brier Avenue) leading from the Team Gushue Highway to Columbus Drive.

The options considered for both sides of Kenmount Road are shown in Figure 9.


Figure 9 - Draft of Access Options to the Study Area
2.8 Access Opportunities North Side of Kenmount Road

The Town of Paradise is considering a new interchange on the Outer Ring Road to the north and west of the development area north of Elizabeth Park. Extension of an internal collector or arterial road within the development to this interchange could be done that could service not only the lands above the 190 Contour but also parts of the Town of Paradise and is shown as Road 1 in Figure 10. Such a connection would likely consist of a 2-lane
arterial with provision for future widening to a 4-lane arterial or major collector type of facility. This connection would be extended easterly through the heart of the north development lands and connect with collector north south collector roads connecting to Kenmount Road and through the development northerly to Thorburn Road.

Ladysmith Drive, a north - south collector road currently ends north of Kenmount Terrace. Extension of the road to the north on a curvilinear alignment through the north development lands to connect to Thorburn Road should be considered. Given the intersection and interchange spacing on Thorburn Road, there is adequate space for two collector road connections. The westerly one of these would be the extension of Ladysmith Drive and is shown as Road 5 in Figure 10. The easterly one could be the extension of Messenger Drive from Kelsey Drive curving to the north and connecting to Thorburn Road and is shown as Road 6 in Figure 10. However, connecting these roads to the existing Ladysmith Drive and providing a more direct connection to Messenger Drive (where a community centre is being built and a route to the retail uses on Kelsey Drive is available) should be promoted. These facilities will not be totally centrally located within the development lanes. Areas to the west would still require a continuous north south connection to Ladysmith Drive in the north and to Kenmount Road in the south shown conceptually as Roads 3 and 4 in Figure 10. Given the intersection spacing and grid network, at least two collector connections to Kenmount Road should be considered. The preliminary land use and road network plan for the development lands (see Appendix A) identified an open space and District Park will be located opposite Mount Carson/Wyatt Boulevard. Ideally a connection to Wyatt Boulevard would improve network continuity and would separate residential and commercial traffic. This opportunity should be further examined in the neighbourhood planning stage. However, respecting the current open space plan, the next possible collector road connection would be to the west of the park, located between planned commercial uses on the west and a District School on the east. This is shown as Road 2 in Figure 10. The extent of the proposed commercial and industrial development along the north side of Kenmount road westerly to the Town of Paradise provides opportunity for at least two more collector connections to Kenmount Road, spaced approximately 400m apart.

Based on these findings, there is sufficient spacing to accommodate one arterial/major collector connection to the Outer Ring Road, two collector road connections to Thorburn Road and at least two collector road connections to Kenmount Road. While there appears to be ample opportunity to connect to the surrounding road system, providing direct and continuous routes through the neighbourhood was necessary to provide transit service with a high ridership catchment potential. Extending Ladysmith and Messenger Drives partly achieve this. The provision of an additional collector roadway to the west of Ladysmith Drive located centrally to the residential area and connecting to a possible connection to the Outer Ring Road and Kenmount Drive is needed to achieve the planned transit service and ridership potential.


Figure 10 - Draft of Network Layout North Side of Kenmount Rd.
2.1.9 Access Opportunities South Side of Kenmount Road

On the South side of Kenmount Road, the proposed development area is limited in terms of the number of available access points to the surrounding road network. The road network configuration proposed for the south side of Kenmount Road is shown in Figure 11.


Figure 11 - Draft of Network Layout South Side of Kenmount Rd.
Roadway 8 connects to Kenmount Road at the Great Eastern Avenue intersection with Kenmount Road. From this point it is routed in a southerly direction through the New Terra Nova Motors development parking lot for a distance of approximately 300 metres. It then turns and follows the ridge line parallel to Kenmount Road and eventually connects with the future Brier Avenue. Roadway 9 extends from the Captain Whelan Drive interchange with the Team Gushue Highway and connects with Roadway 8 as indicated in Figure 11. Together, these roads can provide at least 3 lanes of access/egress to the south development area.

An alternative access connection is available to the access proposed across the Terra Nova Motors property. This access would utilize a road reservation at the rear property line of Avalon Ford and would involve some roadway realignments on Wyatt Boulevard. It would also involve a reconfiguration of the road network in Area 5 of the proposed development that could be further examined at the more detailed neighbourhood planning stage.

### 2.1.10 Horizontal and Vertical Alignments

A surface model for the entire study area was created with the lidar data provided by the City of St. John's using the Civil 3D software package. The horizontal and vertical alignments for the roadways 1 thru 9 were checked to
ensure they comply with the applicable TAC standards. The route selection process was sensitive to the areas grades; none of the new core streets in the study area have profile grades that exceed $8 \%$.

The plan and profile sheets are noted in drawings SK\#001, SK\#002, and SK\#003 which are contained in Appendix B-3.

It is noted however, that at the present time the internal road network and connection with the boundary roads is conceptual only and will need to be reviewed during subsequent more detailed neighbourhood planning stages, This will provide opportunities to re-examine the lot and street arrangement to identify and confirm the feasibility to provide improved road spacing and continuity. Some of the areas to be re-examined include:

- Potential for providing a continuous north - south collector road west of Ladysmith Drive to ensure that transit ridership catchment guidelines are met;
- Potential for collector connection to Wyatt Boulevard; and
- Confirming the access location across the Terra Nova Motors property.
2.1.11 Considerations for Public Transit

On February 11, 2014, Hatch met with the staff of Metrobus and reviewed the preliminary plan of the land use concept plan for the development of the lands above the 190 contour in the Kenmount Road area. Metrobus was given a preliminary road layout of the planned the development.

While there were no significant concerns expressed with the concept plan at that time a number of points were discussed and are worthy of being noted.

- Within each of the development areas planned, there will be office and neighbourhood retail type uses; places where residents will gather. These areas are expected to become the focal points of the neighbourhoods created within these development areas. Metrobus would like to ensure that as part of the development processes, laybys, shelters and bike rack/and/or bike lockers should are incorporated in the planning and development processes for these areas.
- Dedicated bus laybys on the collector status roadways that runs between the development areas will not be required.
- Bus stops should be spaced between 200 and 400 metres apart.
- Bus shelters should be installed where the number of passengers at a stop exceeds 25 passengers per day.
- The road networks within the development areas should be designed such that $90 \%$ of the development area is within 400 metres walking distance of a transit stop.


### 2.1.12 Regional Fire Department - Coverage Review

As the lands in the areas above the 190 metre contour open up for the development, the St. John's Regional Fire Department would like to ensure that these new areas of development are adequately covered with the required firefighting capacity and within appropriate response times.

In order to answer this question, Hatch used the 2025 regional VISUM model under full development in the study area and the isochrones graphics parameter feature of the software. This feature was set up to map response times from a number of different locations in the City and in surrounding areas under the PM Peak hour traffic conditions in different colors. The idea is to map response time intervals from existing and planned fire station locations to determine if the new areas of development are adequately serviced. The following response times were used: < 4 mins, $4-5$ mins, 5-6 mins, 6-7 mins, $7-8$ mins, $8-9$ mins, $9-10$ mins, etc. The mapping colors correspond to the delay times noted.

As part of the initial review the following existing and planned fire stations were included in the analysis:

- The Mount Pearl Fire Station
- The Brookfield Road Fire Station
- The fire station presently under construction on Blackmarsh Road
- The fire station on O'Leary Avenue
- The planned fire station on Topsail Road in the Town of Paradise
- Central Fire Station
- Kent's Pond Fire Station


Figure 12 - Draft of Isochrones Analysis - Response Time Coverage in Study Area

As indicated in Figure 12 most of the planned streets with in the study area have emergency vehicle response times of greater than 4 minutes in duration. In fact, certain streets within the study area have response times of in excess of 10 minutes. It would appear, based on the analysis completed, that an additional fire station is required in or near the study area which would reduce the response times on the vast majority of the streets to four minutes or less. The location of the needed fire station in the study area was determined by a process of tria and error. The optimal location is shown in Figure 13.

While the response times in the study area improve substantially with the addition of a new fire station location, it should be noted that response times in both models consider congestion that is expected to be present on the network during the PM Peak hour. It is likely that an emergency vehicle travelling with its emergency lights activated would likely achieve slightly better response times than those shown in both isochrones analyses

It is recommended that a new fire station be constructed in the area of where Street 1 intersects with Street 2 This will reduce response times in the majority of the proposed development to within acceptable limits.


Figure 13 - Draft of Emergency Response Time Coverage with a New Fire Station in the Study Area
2.1.13 Internal Road Network Costs

At a preliminary level of analysis, the estimated costs for the routes 1 thru 9 shown in Figure 10 and Figure 11 have been estimated below in Table 1. Overall, the costs of these facilities are estimated to be approximately $\$ 46$ million. This estimate is for road construction only, it does not include storm, sanitary or water distribution. There are six (6) roundabouts throughout the internal network and have been included in the table below.

Table 1-Internal Road Network Costs

| Tnternal Road Network Costs |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Item | DESCRIPTION | ESTIMATED COST |  |
| 1 a) | Route 1 - Outer Ring Road to Roundabout | $\$$ | $8,810,546$ |
| 1 b) | Route 1 - Roundabout to Roundabout | $\$$ | $4,406,968$ |
| 2 | Route 2 | $\$$ | $3,233,210$ |
| 3 | Route 3 | $\$$ | $3,217,431$ |
| 4 | Route 4 | $\$$ | $1,951,286$ |
| 5 | Route 5 | $\$$ | $2,376,618$ |
| 6 | Route 6 | $\$$ | $2,008,053$ |
| 7 | Route 7 | $\$$ | $1,921,419$ |
| 8 | Route 8 | $\$$ | $6,115,974$ |
| 9 | Route 9 | $\$, 916,409$ |  |
| 10 | Roundabouts (Six throughout the new development) | $\$$ | $9,000,000$ |
|  |  |  |  |

### 2.2 Traffic Analysis

2.2.1 Land Use Assumptions

As indicated previously there are a total of 7 different areas proposed for development under the comprehensive development plan being suggested for this project. Four of these development areas are on the North side of Kenmount Road and the other 3 are on the South side of Kenmount Road. It is anticipated that approximately 4500 dwelling units will be created on the full build out of areas 1-4 and 5-7. In total, $55 \%$ of the developable lands will be in some form of residential housing; the remaining $45 \%$ will consist of a mixture of Retail, Office, Commercial, Industrial and Institutional type land uses

The full details of the planned land uses for areas 1-7 are noted in Table 2.

Table 2 - Land Utilization

| LAND AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 401 | 160 | 153 | 144 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{2}{3}$ | 208 | 250 | 144 | 144 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 355 | 220 | 161 | 324 | 10000 | 20000 | 7.22 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22.9 | 10.9 | 27.9 | 3.6 |
| $\frac{5}{6}$ | 146 | 235 | 74 | 108 |  |  | 7.15 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 196 | 151 | 69 | 72 |  |  | 7.15 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 269 | 192 | 151 | 192 | 8703 | 17406 | 6.95 |  | 29.82 |  |  |
| Total Number Units | 1575 | 1208 | 752 | 984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ares (m) |  |  |  |  | 8703 | 17406 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area (Ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28.47 | 22.9 | 40.72 | 27.9 | 3.6 |

Land use information for census tract areas beyond the study area provided by the City of St. John was used to forecast number of trips produced by and attracted to the external zones in the model. The trip purpose was categorized in three groups, Home-Based Work (HBW), Home-Based Non-Work (HBO), and Non-Home-Based (NHB) trips. The initial rates were further adjusted in the model based on household size and income index. Table 3 below provides final daily trip rates per household and vehicle occupancy based on trip purposes.
Table 3 - Daily Trip Generation Rates based on Trip Purpose

| Trip Purpose | Person Trips <br> (NCHR 3 365) | Vehicle Trips <br> (St. John's) | Vehicle Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HBW | 1.71 | 1.52 | 1.13 |
| HBO | 4.8 | 4.61 | 1.04 |
| NHB | 2.96 | 1.88 | 1.57 |

For trip attraction, jobs were classified into five categories: retail, industrial, medical, service and education. Daily trip attraction rates per household were calculated using regression models and provided in the following table.

Table 4 - Daily Trip Attraction Rates based on Trip Purpose

| Trip Purpose | Service | Retail | Industrial | Medical | Educational | Household |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HBW | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 0.00 |
| HBO | 1.70 | 9.00 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 1.20 |
| NHB | 1.20 | 3.80 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 1.20 |

The peak hour trips used in the operational analysis are based on daily trips. There was no household travel survey data available in St. John to estimate peak hour trips percentage in daily trips at the time of this study. The model uses national average split factors.

### 2.2.2 VISUM Model Refinement

The traffic analysis zones for the 2025 regional transportation planning model were modified and refined for the purposes of completing the VISUM analysis for this report. Approximately 10 new zones were either split or added to the model to allow a more controlled traffic assignment and to improve the trip generation of the model. The VISUM zones modified and the attributes added are noted in Table 5.

Table 5-190m Contour Land Use Areas

| 2025 Full Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zone \# | Single Family <br> Dwelling Units | Multi-Family <br> Dwelling Units | Retail <br> ha | Industrial <br> ha | Office <br> ha | Institutional <br> ha |  |  |
| 531 | 561 | 297 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 532 | 458 | 288 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 533 | 575 | 485 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 534 |  |  |  | 27.9 | 10.9 | 5.7 |  |  |
| 535 | 381 | 182 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 536 | 347 | 141 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 537 | 461 | 343 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 538 |  |  | 22.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 539 |  |  |  |  | 36.8 |  |  |  |

The locations of the traffic analysis zones and the multi-point assignments for the updated 2025 VISUM model are shown in Figure 14.


Figure 14 - Draft 2025 VISUM Model

The network links and connectors in Figure 14 are a conceptual representation of the network that permits the assignment of trips to and from each of the land use zones to the network and assessment of the impact that these trips will have on the wider-area network. The actual intersection, road spacing and accesses to development determined at the site plan stage of development may be different than that used in the model. These differences should not affect the assignment of traffic to the overall network.
2.2.3 Analysis Scenarios

In total, there were four different modelling scenario's used in the course of completing the analysis for this study, These scenarios included:

- Scenario 0: Existing Conditions (2013)
- Scenario 1: 2025 projection of normal growth with no development in the study area
- Scenario 2: 2025 projection of normal growth and development in the study area with no
improvements to the road network
- Scenario 3: Includes conditions present with Scenario 2 but with improvements in place to the road network.

The traffic volume forecasts were completed using the updated 2025 VISUM model. The operational analysis of the existing conditions was completed using Synchro, SimTraffic, ARCADY and HCS2010. An electronic copy of Synchro and VISUM files will be submitted to the City of St. John's along with the final report.
A traffic assignment was prepared for each existing and horizon year that provided link volumes based on each link's constraints (i.e., speed, number of lanes and capacity of each lane) in the model. Link volumes from the model were converted to turning movement counts based on existing turning movement patterns and manually adjusted in locations where the model assignment appeared to vary significantly from existing patterns or would be affected by new development.
2.2.3.1 Scenario 0 - Existing Conditions

Scenario 0 examines the existing conditions in the study area. Synchro and SimTraffic analysis were completed on the study area intersections based on existing adjusted volumes, lane configurations, and traffic signal timing plans.

The existing road network configuration was assembled into a Synchro model based on GIS information provided by the City of St. John's, the Interactive Map of St. John's, and through the use Google Earth View. Where necessary, site conditions were verified through site visits. The existing lane configurations and intersection controls applied for the analysis are illustrated in Figure 15. The major roadways found in the study area are described below:

Thorburn Road is classified as an arterial roadway. The segment extending from Columbus Drive to the Goldstone Street intersection is a five lane undivided roadway with a posted speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. The segment of roadway extending from Goldstone Street to the Outer Ring Road interchange consists of two lanes and has a posted speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$. This roadway is a main thoroughfare for the connection between the City of St. John's and the Town of Portugal Cove- St. Philip's. It also a main connection for traffic on the Outer Ring Road travelling to the Avalon Mall and the University area.

Kenmount Road is a five lane undivided major arterial road with posted speed limits of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$. This arterial services a large commercial and retail area of the City and provides access to the City of St. John's from the City of Mount Pearl and the Town of Paradise.

Columbus Drive is a four lane divided arterial road with a posted speed limit of $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$. Segments of the roadway leading to Thorburn Road have additional lanes. Columbus Drive is a limited access roadway with controlled signalised intersections along its length. This arterial roadway is a main thoroughfare travelling north and south in the west end of St. John's.
Blackmarsh Road is a 2 lane undivided minor arterial road with a posted speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$. This arterial road services a large number of residential and commercial surrounding it and provides access to major arterials including Columbus Drive and in future to Team Gushue Highway.

Ladysmith Drive is a 2 lane undivided collector road with a posted speed limit of 30 to $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$. This collector road connects local roads in Residential Kenmount-West (RK-West) area to Kenmount Road. In Future, this road will extend northerly to provide access to Thorburn Road

The existing cross sections for each of the major roads in the study area are summarized in Table 6. Future roadways should be designed to incorporate context sensitive complete streets design philosophy. This includes treatments to accommodate all road users such as provision of multi-use paths, on and off street bike lanes. A context sensitive design approach will also balance the competing priorities of all travel modes and often results in reduced dimensions of the conventional driving and auxiliary turn lanes. Table 6 presents treatments for various
arterial and collector roads that embody these principles. The classification of the main study area streets and the corresponding roadway standard cross sections are provided in Appendix B-4.

Table 6 - Roadway Functional Classification and Design Standard

| Road | From - To | Functional Classification | Right-of-Way Width (m) | Design Cross Section |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thorburn Road | Columbus Drive to Goldstone Street | Urban Arterial Undivided (UAU-60) | 23.5 | Standard Boulevard Street - 5 Lanes |
|  | Goldstone to Outer Ring Road | Urban Arterial Undivided (UAU-60) | 20.5 | Standard Boulevard Street - 2 Lanes |
| Kenmount Road | Columbus Drive to Allston Street | Urban Arterial Undivided (UAU-60) | 23.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Standard Boulevard } \\ & \text { Street - } 5 \text { Lanes } \end{aligned}$ |
| Columbus Drive | Old Pennywell Road to Topsail Road | Urban Arterial Divided (UAD-90) | 23.5 | Standard Boulevard Street - 4 Lanes |
|  | Thorburn Road to Old Pennywell Road | Urban Arterial Divided (UAD-90) | 30.5 | Standard Boulevard Street - 6 Lanes |
| Blackmarsh Road | Campbell Avenue to Topsail Road | Urban Arterial Undivided (UAU-60) | 20.5 | Standard Boulevard Street - 2 Lanes |
| Ladysmith Drive | Kenmount Road northerly to Thorburn Road. | Urban Collector (UC-50) | 17.5 | Standard Collector Street - 2 Lanes |
| Future Roads |  |  |  |  |
| Future Access Road 1 | Thorburn Road to Messenger Drive | Urban Collector Undivided (UAU-60) | 29.0 | Standard Collector 4 Lanes with Multiuse path, sidewalk and planting strips |
| Future Access Road 2 | Thorburn Road to Ladysmith Drive | Urban Collector Undivided (UAU-60) | 29.0 | Standard Collector 4 Lanes with Multiuse path, sidewalk and planting strips |
| Future Access Road 3 | Outer Ring Road Access to Kenmount Road | Urban Arterial Undivided (UAU-60) | 33.0 | Standard Arterial 4 Lanes with Multiuse path, sidewalk and wider planting strips |
| Future Access Road 4 | Outer Ring Road Access to Kenmount Road | Urban Collector Undivided (UAU-60) | 29.0 | Standard Collector 4 Lanes with Multiuse path, sidewalk and planting strips |



Figure 15 - Draft of Existing Lane Configuration

The Synchro and SimTraffic analysis of the existing conditions scenario (SO) was completed using for the models created for both the AM and PM peak hour traffic conditions. For each of these conditions, a detailed summary table was created that reports for each movement: the Synchro delay in seconds, Level of Service (LOS), volume to capacity ratios ( $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios), and queue length, and the SimTraffic average batch run delay in seconds, the equivalent LOS, and the 95th percentile queue in metres for both the a.m. and p.m. peak traffic hours. The detailed summary reports are included within Appendix B-5. A higher level summary table that reports on the overall intersection performance has been included within the test of the report that follows. The results of the analysis are color coded in the volume diagrams, the detailed summary tables included within Appendix B-5 and in the summary tables that report on overall intersection performance.

For the signalized intersections, traffic signal timings were based on the existing traffic signal timing plans provided by the City of St. John's, and the Department of Transportation and Works of Newfoundland and Labrador (NLDTW). Peak hour factors and heavy vehicle percentages were adjusted based on available existing count data. The SimTraffic delay is based on the average delays recorded for a batch run of 10 SimTraffic 8.0 model simulations.
The LOS for each movement as calculated by Synchro is shown by colour in Figure 16. The analysis summaries are shown in Table 7 and Table 8 and detailed results are included in Appendix B-5.

## Discussion of the Results SO

Figure 16 illustrates the LOS results during both the AM and PM peak hour periods at all intersections with the study area. For the most part, most of the intersections within the study area perform well during both the AM and PM peak hour periods. There are however a number of noted exceptions including:

- Outer Ring Road Ramp terminals with Thorburn Road: Both ramp terminals suffer from poor levels of service during both the AM and PM peak hour periods.
- Thorburn Road @ Prince Philip Drive: The westbound through movement suffers from poor levels of service during both peak hour periods.
- Pippy Place @ Kenmount Road. The southbound right and left turning movements and the eastbound left turning movement suffer from poor levels of service in the AM peak hour. The southbound movements also suffering from poor level of service in the PM peak hour period.
- Goldstone Street @ Team Gushue Highway: The northbound and southbound off ramps suffers from congestion and queuing in in the PM peak hour. The southbound ramp also suffering from poor levels of service and congestion in the AM peak hour.
- Kenmount Road @ Ladysmith: Westbound through movements suffers from congestion and poor levels of service during the PM peak hour.
- Kenmount Road @ Wyatt Boulevard: The westbound left and the northbound right turning movements have poor levels of service in the PM peak hour. The northbound right is problematic in the AM peak hour.
- Kenmount Road @ Brougham Drive: The westbound through movement has a poor level of service in the PM peak hour. All movements in the northbound approach suffer from levels of congestion in the AM and PM peak hour. Alriods.
- Blackmarsh Road @ Columbus Drive: The southbound left turning movement suffers from a poor level of service in the PM peak hour.
- Captain Whelan Drive @ Columbus Drive: All movements on the east and westbound approaches of this intersection suffer from poor levels of service and congestion during both the AM and PM peak traffic periods.
- Blackmarsh Road @ Topsail Road. The northbound left turning movement has a poor level of service in both the AM and PM peak hour traffic periods.
- Topsail Road @ Mount Carson Avenue. The eastbound right, westbound left and southbound through movement all suffer from poor levels of service and congestion during the PM peak hour.

Again, the analysis summaries are shown in Table 7 and Table 8 and detailed results for all approach movements are included in Appendix B-5.

## Unsignalized Intersection Warrants Analysis

There are a number of existing unsignalized intersections throughout the road network included within the study area that are currently operating at failing levels of service. A traffic signal warrants analysis was conducted on a number of these locations to determine whether or not the installation of traffic signals is needed.

The locations included:

- Outer Ring Road NB and SB Ramp terminals with Thorburn Road
- Topsail Road \& Blackmarsh Road
- Kelsey Drive \& Team Gushue Highway SB and NB Ramp terminals

The traffic signal warrant analysis followed the methodology of the Transportation Association of Canada's (TAC) Traffic Signal Warrant Handbook (TAC, March 2007). The warrant calculations were conducted using the six-hour traffic volumes collected by Hatch. The summary results of the warrant calculations are noted below. The detailed calculations are contained in Appendix B-6.

- Outer Ring Road SB/Thorburn Road warranted traffic signals with 105 warrant points.
- Outer Ring Road NB/Thorburn Road warranted traffic signals with 127 warrant points.
- Blackmarsh Road/ Topsail Road warranted traffic signals with 183 warrant points.
- Team Gushue Highway SB/Kelsey Drive warranted traffic signals with 119 warrant points.
- Team Gushue Highway NB/Kelsey Drive did not warrant traffic signals with 54 warrant points. It should be noted that traffic signals are likely to be warranted with the high traffic volumes projected in the PM peak hour in the 2025 Full Build-out (Scenario 2).


Figure 16 - Draft of Existing 2013 AM (PM) Peak Hour Traffic

Table 7 - Existing - 2013 Synchro Analysis AM Peak Hour Results

| Existing Road Network | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Synchro |  | SimTraffic |  |
|  | Delay/Veh <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS | Delay/Veh <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Equivalent <br> LOS |
| Outer Ring Road (SB) \& Thorburn Road | 2.9 | - | 51.8 | F |
| Outer Ring Road (NB) \& Thorburn Road | 126.0 | - | 23.5 | C |
| Goldstone Street \& Thorburn Road | 23.1 | C | 9.7 | A |
| Austin Street \& Thorburn Road | 26.0 | C | 15.2 | B |
| Mt Scio Road \& Thorburn Road | 17.3 | B | 15.3 | B |
| O'Leary Avenue \& Thorburn Road | 50.4 | D | 52.9 | D |
| Columbus Drive \& Thorburn Road | 35.8 | D | 33.7 | C |
| Thorburn Road \& Freshwater Road | 14.1 | B | 10.6 | B |
| Avalon Mall \& Kenmount Road | 9.0 | A | 5.1 | A |
| Pippy Place \& Kenmount Road | 20.9 | C | 15.6 | B |
| Kelsey Drive \& Kenmount Road | 13.8 | B | 13.2 | B |
| Kelsey Drive \& Kiwanis Street | 0.9 | - | 2.4 | A |
| Team Gushue Hwy (SB) \& Kelsey Drive | 206.7 | - | $\mathbf{6 6 . 1}$ | F |
| Team Gushue Hwy (NB) \& Kelsey Drive | 1.1 | - | 1.3 | A |
| Ladysmith Drive \& Kenmount Road | 26.9 | C | 24.8 | C |
| Great Eastern Avenue \& Kenmount Road | 11.5 | B | 13.5 | B |
| Wyatt Boulevard \& Kenmount Road | 127.0 | F | 55.5 | E |
| Brougham Drive \& Kenmount Road | 35.2 | D | 50.7 | D |
| Columbus Drive \& Old Pennywell Road | 41.8 | D | 33.8 | C |
| Columbus Drive \& Mundy Pond Road | 29.8 | C | 39.8 | D |
| Columbus Drive \& Blackmarsh Road | 37.1 | D | 32.3 | C |
| Columbus Drive \& Captain Whelan Drive | 21.6 | C | 170.2 | F |
| Hamlyn Road \& Captain Whelan Drive | 7.9 | - | 8.4 | A |
| Empire Avenue \& Blackmarsh Road | 1.5 | - | 10.4 | B |
| Blackmarsh Road \& Topsail Road | 6.1 | - | 10 | A |
| Blackmarsh Road \& Captain Whelan Drive | 5.6 | - | 3.6 | A |
| Mt Carson Ave/ Commonweath Ave \& Topsail Road | 32.7 | C | 36.4 | D |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8 - Existing - 2013 Synchro Analysis PM Peak Hour Results

| Existing Network | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Synchro |  | SimTraffic |  |
|  | Delay/Veh <br> (s) | LOS | Delay/Veh <br> (s) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Equivalent } \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ |
| Street ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Movement |  |  |  |  |
| Outer Ring Road (SB) \& Thorburn Road | 12.4 | - | 16.8 | C |
| Outer Ring Road (NB) \& Thorburn Road | 110.3 | - | 20.1 | C |
| Goldstone Street \& Thorburn Road | 12.8 | B | 11.3 | B |
| Austin Street \& Thorburn Road | 14.4 | B | 12.3 | B |
| Mt Scio Road \& Thorburn Road | 27.3 | C | 26.6 | C |
| O'Leary Avenue \& Thorburn Road | 36.8 | D | 35.1 | D |
| Columbus Drive \& Thorburn Road | 45.0 | D | 41.5 | D |
| Thorburn Road \& Freshwater Road | 12.5 | B | 9.0 | A |
| Avalon Mall \& Kenmount Road | 25.7 | C | 15.7 | B |
| Pippy Place \& Kenmount Road | 39.7 | D | 32.6 | C |
| Kelsey Drive \& Kenmount Road | 89.2 | F | 49.6 | D |
| Kelsey Drive \& Kiwanis Street | 3.0 | - | 4.6 | A |
| Team Gushue Hwy (SB) \& Kelsey Drive | 117.9 | - | 65.8 | F |
| Team Gushue Hwy (NB) \& Kelsey Drive | 3.1 | - | 25.7 | D |
| Ladysmith Drive \& Kenmount Road | 61.5 | E | 64.2 | E |
| Great Eastern Avenue \& Kenmount Road | 10.7 | B | 16.6 | B |
| Wyatt Boulevard \& Kenmount Road | 85.9 | F | 24.5 | C |
| Brougham Drive \& Kenmount Road | 47.9 | D | 104.6 | F |
| Columbus Drive \& Old Pennywell Road | 45.5 | D | 35.9 | D |
| Columbus Drive \& Mundy Pond Road | 28.5 | C | 25.0 | C |
| Columbus Drive \& Blackmarsh Road | 53.9 | D | 55.7 | E |
| Columbus Drive \& Captain Whelan Drive | 21.0 | C | 94.8 | F |
| Hamlyn Road \& Captain Whelan Drive | 8.7 | - | 4.0 | A |
| Empire Avenue \& Blackmarsh Road | 12.0 | - | 10.5 | B |
| Blackmarsh Road \& Topsail Road | 16.8 | - | 9.9 | A |
| Blackmarsh Road \& Captain Whelan Drive | 20.1 | - | 4.5 | A |
| Mt Carson Ave/ Commonwealth Ave \& Topsail Road | 62.7 | E | 4.4 | F |

2.2.3.2 Scenario 1 - 2025 Normal Growth with No Development

The Scenario 1 model is based on growth that is projected to occur regionally to the year 2025. It includes planned growth in the region; however, it does not include any of the planned development in the lands above the 190 metre contour. Some planned improvements for the road network include the following:

- Unsignalized intersection at Thorburn Road and Future Access Road \# 1 (Node 329)
- Unsignalized intersection at Ladysmith Drive and Kiwanis Street
- Signalized intersection at Blackmarsh Road and Captain Whelan Drive
- Signalized intersection at Captain Whelan Drive and Hamlyn Road
- Interchange at Team Gushue Highway and George's Pond Road

This VISUM model was used to obtain approach movement traffic volumes at all intersections included within the study area for both the AM and PM peak hours. A summary of the intersection turning movements under Scenario 1 is included in Appendix B-7. It is noted that the volumes used for the analyses of these scenarios were derived from the City's Transportation Travel Demand Model. Since the model calibration and validation has not been completed, these volumes should only be considered as a rough estimate of the projected volumes across screen lines and not as link-by-link detailed volumes.

## Discussion of the Results S1

The traffic conditions under Scenario 1 in the PM peak hour are poor with longs delays and congestion present on one or more approaches at most intersections within the study area. Intersections are expected to operate acceptably in the AM peak hour.

Congestion on the road network is showing up on the major east - west corridors of Kenmount and Thorburn Roads at selected intersections in the PM peak hour. It is also showing up in the north - south corridors including Columbus Drive particularly in the PM peak hour. It is also beginning to become apparent at the ramp terminals of the Thorburn Road interchange with the Outer Ring Road (TCH). This is probably due to a lack of alternative routes linking Paradise, Mount Pearl and the Cowan Heights area of St. John's.
2.2.3.3 Scenario 2-2025 Scenario 1 plus Full Development Build Out

The Scenario 2 model is based on growth that is projected to occur regionally to the year 2025. The model includes planned growth in the region and the full build out of the planned development in the lands above the 190 metre contour. Recommended improvements to the road network to support planned development include new connections and intersections of Future Access Road \#2 with Thorburn Road, and Future Access Roads \#3 and $\# 4$ with Kenmount Road.
The recommended street network for the north side, including Future Access Roads 1 (Messenger Drive Extension) and 2 (Ladysmith Drive Extension) at Thorburn Road (Nodes 328 and 329 respectively), provide opportunities to connect Thorburn Road to Kenmount Road to carry future demand between them. Future Access Road 1 will connect to Kenmount Road via Messenger Drive and then Kelsey Drive. Future Access Road 2 will be continued southerly to Ladysmith Drive which has intersection with Kenmount Road. For the reasons discussed in Section 2.2.9, the proposed network doesn't include direct connection to Mount Carson Avenue but has included connections to Kenmount Road on elther side of Mount Carson Avenue. Wis network arrangement was developed to locate a district park and preserve natural area north of Mount Carson Avenue/Wyatt Boulevard. As noted above, the feasibility of making a direct connection to Mount Carson Avenue/Wyatt Boulevard should be made in a subsequent more detailed neighbourhood planning stage.

This VISUM model was used to obtain approach movement traffic volumes at all intersections included with in the study area for both the AM and PM peak hours. A summary of the intersection turning movement volumes for Scenario 2 is included in Appendix B-7.

## Discussion of the Results S2

For the most part, many of the intersections within the study area have one or more movements that are performing poorly and predominantly so, in the PM peak hour.

Similar to Scenario 1, there is congestion at intersections along the major east-west routes of Thorburn Road and Kenmount Road, and the north-south route of Columbus Drive. The additional traffic from the full build out of planned developments has worsened traffic operations at the intersections of Kenmount Road with Great Eastern Avenue and Wyatt Boulevard to the western part of the study area; in particular, the intersections at Great Eastern Avenue and Wyatt Boulevard are significantly more congested in the AM and PM peak hours respectively, with poor levels of service. Traffic operations are also found to worsen significantly at the southbound off-ramp terminal of TGH. The new intersections of Future Access Road 2 with Thorburn Road and of Future Access Roads 3 and 4 with Kenmount Road operate below acceptable levels of service in the PM peak hours.
2.2.3.4 Scenario 3-2025 Scenario 2 plus Improvements

The Scenario 3 model is based on growth that is projected to occur regionally to the year 2025. The model includes planned growth in the region and the full build out of the planned development in the lands above the 190 metre contour. This VISUM model was used to obtain approach movement traffic volumes at all intersections included within the study area for both the AM and PM peak hours. A summary of the intersection traffic volumes under this scenario is provided in Appendix B-7.

In an effort to improve the level of service throughout the study area intersections, a number of improvements, including traffic signals, signal timing changes, and auxiliary lane additions were made to the road network within the study area. The improvements include widening Thorburn Road to two through lanes in each direction west of Team Gushue Highway and providing additional turning lanes at a number of intersections in the southern part of the study area. Traffic signals were considered at several intersections across the road network, as well as a roundabout at the intersection of Blackmarsh Road and Captain Whelan Drive. The changes are listed below.

- Additional Lanes
- Thorburn Road/Outer Ring Road SB Westbound Through Lane Eastbound Through Lane
- Thorburn Road/Outer Ring Road NB

Westbound Through Lane

- Eastbound Through Lane
- Westbound Right-turn Lane
- Mount Scio Road/Thorburn Road - Westbound Right-turn Lane Southbound Left-turn Lane
- Brougham Drive/Kenmount Road Northbound Right-turn Lane
- Topsail Road/Blackmarsh Road - Eastbound Left-turn

Westbound Right-turn Lane

- Columbus Drive/Mundy Pond Road Westbound Left-turn
- New Traffic Signals
- Thorburn Road/Outer Ring Road SB \& NB
- Future Access Road \#1, \#2 \& \#3
- Kelsey Drive/Team Gushue Highway SB \& NB
- Topsail Road/Blackmarsh Road
- Hamlyn Road/Captain Whelan Drive
- Blackmarsh Road/Captain Whelan Drive
- George's Pond Road/Team Gushue Highway SB \& NB
- New Roundabout
- Blackmarsh Road/Captain Whelan Drive


## Discussion of the Results of Scenario 3

Even with the improvements in place as noted above, several intersections along the main corridors in the study area continue to display poor levels of service during the PM peak hour.

There are a number of different approaches that could be taken by the City of St. John's with respect to dealing with the capacity issues that are presented by this development and accommodating future growth in the City. These include:

1. Do not allow any development above the 190 metre contour to proceed. Without the development, there will still be challenges in the study area in 2025 , some of which could be mitigated with the implementation of the suggested improvements listed above.
2. The City of St. John's could proceed with a widening plan for Kenmount Road, Thorburn Road and for Columbus Drive. While such an approach could theoretically obtain the required network capacity, it would be at a heavy price; the widening would be very expensive to build and would have serious implications to most if not all of the businesses in the Kenmount Road and O'Leary's Industrial Park areas.
3. The overall planned development for the lands above the 190 metre contour could be throttled or limited in size to reduce the amount of traffic that will be generated on full build out. This would however, have implications on the planning goals of creating complete sustainable neighbourhoods within this development. The economics associated with the cost of the infrastructure and the taxation return on the investment may be diminished with a reduced amount of development.
4. Knowing the outcomes and implications of the full build out of this development over the next 10-20 years, the City of St. John's could proceed with the development with the understanding that it will have to work in conjunction with other municipalities in the metro area and the Provincial Government cooperatively to collectively change the policies on sustainable development and to put in place equitable cost sharing agreements that will see a successful implementation of a regional transit service with the overall goal to be a significant network wide reduction of the reliance on single passenger
vehicles. A number of connections from the development to the external road network were identified in the study as key connections. These include connections to:

- Kenmount Road and Thorburn Road.
- Elizabeth Park, Wyatt Boulevard and the Outer Ring Road.
- Extension of Messenger Drive and Ladysmith Drive to complete the collector road grid.
- Creation of an east-west collector road to tie into a future Brier Avenue and secondary collector to connect to Empire Avenue and Blackmarsh Road is also desirable.

In conjunction with these connections, accommodation of the anticipated future growth in the City and in the study area will require a broader more integrated transportation strategy designed to offer inhabitants greater travel choices. This would include the following:

- Ensuring that the planned road network within the study area is transit supportive, and provides continuous mid-block collector roadways designed for transit routes through the neighbourhood, so that approximately 90 percent of inhabitants are within a 400 m walk of a transit stop and that internal roads are connected to existing similar facilities. For example, provision of a collector road west of Ladysmith Drive that connects as directly as possible to the planned transit hub and to Kenmount Road at Wyatt Boulevard/Mount Carson Avenue.
- Ensuring that internal and external roads incorporate active transportation facilities including on and off-road cycling facilities in accordance with the City's Cycling Master Plan, and sidewalks that are accessible to those who may be mobility challenged. Typical cross sections for selected arterial, collector and local streets are provided in Appendix B-4.
- Developing and implementing travel demand strategies designed to reduce the demand for the private automobile. Encouraging businesses, industry and developers to provide greater support for cycling and transit users. Also to consider providing transit improvements on key corridors to reduce the overall travel time for transit users. This might include the use of queue jump lanes, far side stop locations in protected bays and transit priority measures.
- Considering alternative types of intersection design and control at selected intersections. This would include considering the use of roundabouts where these intersections van provide improved operational and safety performance compared to signalized intersections.
- Undertaking corridor widening to accommodate vehicular travel demand, only when other measures have been explored fully.

It is recommended that the City adopt an integrated strategy to accommodating future travel in the study area that in conjunction with Approach 4 above includes each of these measures.

## (1) Roundabouts as an Alternative to Signals Control

There are a number of intersections within the study that could provide improved levels of service if they were configured as roundabouts as opposed to traditional type intersections with signals control. The ramp terminals of the Outer Ring Road with Thorburn Road is an example of where roundabouts would provide better levels of service over the traditional signals type of control. A concept plan of this configuration is shown in Figure 17.


Figure 17 - Draft of Outer Ring Road/Thorburn Road Roundabout Concept
The ARCADY analysis for both the AM and PM peak periods provides good level of service results, shown below Table 9 and Table 10

Table 9 - Outer Ring Road/Thorburn Road 2025 AM ARCADY Results

|  | AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (PCE) | 95\% Queue (PCE) | Delay (s) | v/C Ratio | Los | Intersection Delay ( $s$ ) | Intersection LOS |
|  | A1-2025 S5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ORR SB/ Thorburn Rd- WB | 0.24 | ? | 2.06 | 0.19 | A | 2.48 | A |
| ORR SB/ Thorburn Rd- SB | 0.01 | ? | 3.34 | 0.01 | A |  |  |
| ORR SB/ Thorburn Rd-EB | 0.57 | 1.02 | 2.67 | 0.36 | A |  |  |
| ORR NB/ Thorburn Rd-wB | 0.23 | ? | 1.86 | 0.19 | A | 2.90 | A |
| ORR NB/ Thorburn Rd-EB | 0.37 | ? | 2.31 | 0.27 | A |  |  |
| ORR NB/ Thorburn Rd - NB | 0.10 | ? | 4.06 | 0.09 | A |  |  |

Table 10 - Outer Ring Road/Thorburn Road 2025 PM ARCADY Results

|  | PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (PCE) | 95\% Queue (PCE) | Delay (s) | v/CRatio | Los | Intersection <br> Delay (s) | Intersection LOS |
|  | A1-2025 S5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ORR SB/ Thorburn Rd-wB | 5.01 | 14.28 | 10.05 | 0.84 | B | 12.04 | в |
| ORR SB/ Thorburn Rd-sB | 1.13 | 3.00 | 25.22 | 0.54 | D |  |  |
| ORR SB/ Thorburn Rd-EB | 1.09 | ? | 4.91 | 0.52 | A |  |  |
| ORR NB/ Thorburn Rd - wB | 2.78 | 4.00 | 6.39 | 0.74 | A | 5.68 | A |
| ORR NB/ Thorburn Rd-EB | 0.49 | 1.00 | 2.51 | 0.33 | A |  |  |
| ORR NB/ Thorburn Rd - NB | 0.67 | 1.00 | 6.66 | 0.40 | A |  |  |

Similarly, the proposed access through the Terra Nova Motors site to the development on the south side of Kenmount is also taxed from a level of service perspective when it operates with as a traffic signal under the

Scenario 3 volumes. Significant reductions in delay and improvement in the level of service are expected if this intersection were reconfigured to operate as a roundabout. Again, a possible concept plan for the Scenario 3 volumes is shown below in Figure 18. The 3-lane roundabout in Figure 18 is the design concept to accommodate the full buildout of the area that identifies areas where additional property may be required and that can be protected from future development. A staged implementation of this ultimate concept could occur in response to traffic growth in the area and could include an initial single-lane roundabout during the initial stages of development.


Figure 18 - Draft Roundabout Configuration - Kenmount Road at Great Eastern Avenue

The Thorburn Road intersection with Prince Philip Drive is another example of a relatively complex, busy intersection that is expected to suffer from congestion and poor levels of service under the S3 volumes. A roundabout conversion such as the one shown in Figure 19 may provide some reduction in delay and better levels of service. Please note this configuration was not analysed in ARCADY.

More complete drawings of the conceptual roundabout configurations shown above are contained in Appendix B-8.


Figure 19 - Draft Roundabout Configuration Thorburn Road Intersection with Prince Philip Drive
2.2.4 Existing Network Improvements / Costs

The improvements suggested in Section 2.2.3.4 have been conceptually estimated in the table below, Table 11, to show what is required on the existing road network to improve the congestion and provide the LOS as seen in Scenario 3. The location of each improvement referred to in Table 11 is shown in Figure 20. The design characteristics of each improvement including requirements for storage length, length of parallel lane, and length of taper for all auxiliary lanes are shown in Table 12 and have been used as a basis to estimate the costs for these improvement concepts. Three roundabouts have been added as optional items to be included in the road network, which will improve the congestion at those intersections. Schematic drawings for the roundabouts are provided in Figure 17 to Figure 19

Table 11 - Existing Road Network Improvements/ Costs

| Existing Network Improvements/ Costs |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM DESCRIPTION |  | ESTIMATED Cost |
| Outer Ring Road NB \& SB/Thorburn Road |  |  |
|  | Install Traficic Signals at both intersections | 250,000 |
| 2 | Instal WB Auxiliary Right Turning Lane at NB Intersection | 45,000 |
| ${ }_{4}$ | Install two additional through hanes (one each direction) | 6,300,000 |
|  | Sub-total | $1,500,000$ <br> 8.095000 |
| Future Access Rd \# 2/ Thorburn Road |  |  |
|  | Install Traficic Signals |  |
|  | Sub-toal | 200,000 |
| Future Access Rd \#1/ Thorburn Road |  |  |
|  | Install Traficic Signals | ${ }^{200,000}$ |
| Mt. Scio Street / Thorburn Road |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| ${ }_{8}$ | Instal WB Auxiiar Right Turning Lane | 18.000 45000 |
|  | Sub-tota | $4,0,000$ <br> 63, |
| ushue Highway $\mathrm{NB} \&$ SB / Kelsey Drive |  |  |
| 9 | Instal Trafic Signals | 250,000 |
|  | Sub-to | 250,000 |
| yatt Boulevard/Mt. Carson Avenue |  |  |
| 10 | Install EB Auxiliary Right Turring Lane at NB Intersection | 76,500 <br> 76,500 |
| Future Access Rd \# 3/ Kenmount Road |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 12 | Instal SB Additional Left-urn | 90,000 |
| 13 | Instal WB Auxiliary Right Turning Lanes | 90,000 |
|  | Install EB Auxiliary Left Turning Lanes | O |
| ougham Drive / Kenmount Road |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | Install NB Auxiliary Right Turring Lane Sub-tota | 45,000 45.000 |
| opsail | Road / Blackmarsh Road |  |
|  | Instal Traffic Signals | 250,000 |
|  | Install WB Auxiliar Right Turning Lanes | \$ 45,000 |
|  | Install EB Auxiliary Leff Turning Lanes |  |
| umbus Drive / Mundy Pond Road |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | Sub-tota | 54,000 <br> 54.000 |
| tain | Whelan Drive / Team Gushue Highway NB \& SB |  |
|  | Install trafic signals at both intersections | 250,000 |
|  | Great Eastern Avenue / Kenmount Road |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Instal roundabouts (Opitional) Sub-tota | $2,000,000$ <br> 2,00000 |
| Thorburn Road / Prince Philip Drive / Columbus Drive |  |  |
| ${ }^{22}$ | Install roundabouts (Optional) | 3,000,000 |
| General |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{23}$ |  | 25,000 |
| TOTAL \$ 15,068,500 |  |  |

Note: Please See Figure 20


Figure 20 - Draft of Existing Road Network Improvement Locations

Table 12 - Characteristic of Network Improvement

| Item | Description | Design Speed (kph) | Storage Length ( m ) | Parallel Lane Length $(m)$ | Taper (m) ${ }^{1}$ | Total Auxiliary Lane ( m ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Install WB Auxiliary Right Turning Lane at NB Intersection | 80 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 180 |
| 3 | Install two additional through lanes (one each direction) | Schematic drawing has been provided in the report |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Install roundabouts (Optional) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Install WB Auxiliary Right Turning Lane | 60 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 100 |
| 8 | Install SB Auxiliary Left Turning Lane | 60 | 50 |  | 200 | 250 |
| 10 | Install EB Auxiliry Right Turning Lane at NB Intersection | 60 | 15 | 30 | 50 | 95 |
| 12 | Install SB Additional Left-turn | 60 | 100 | - | 200 | 300 |
| 13 | Install WB Auxiliary Right Turning Lanes | 80 | 100 | 60 | 70 | 230 |
| 14 | Install EB Auxiliary Left Turning Lanes | 80 | 100 | 50 | 130 | 280 |
| 15 | Install NB Auxiliary Right Turning Lane | 60 | 50 | 30 | 50 | 130 |
| 17 | Install WB Auxiliary Right Turning Lanes | 80 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 180 |
| 18 | Install EB Auxiliary Left Turning Lanes | 80 | 50 | 50 | 130 | 230 |
| 19 | Install WB Auxiliary Left Turning Lane | 60 | 60 | - | 200 | 260 |
| 21 | Install roundabouts (Optional) | Schematic drawing has been provided in the reportSchematic drawing has been provided in the report |  |  |  | - |
| 22 | Install roundabouts (Optional) |  |  |  |  | - |

${ }^{1}$ :For dual left turn lanes the total auxiliary lane length is based on provision for two taper lengths and one storage length
2.3 Recommendations

Based on this transportation analysis of the development and road network planned for the lands above the 190 Contour, the following are recommended:
2.3.1 Access

Access to the lands on the north side of Kenmount Road be reserved to the following roads:

1. To Messenger Drive: With extension of Messenger Drive into the development area with a secondary connection to Thorburn Road. This will provide access from the development to Thorburn Road to the north and to Kelsey Drive and TGH interchange to the east.
2. To Ladysmith Drive: With the extension of Ladysmith to the north to connect to a north - south collector road that connects to Thorburn Road and traversing the entire development area, this will provide access from the development to a continuous collector road connecting Thorburn Road in the north and Kenmount Road in the south and provide connectivity between the north and south sides of the development.
3. To other roadways in Kenmount Terrace: Where feasible, connection of collector and/or local streets in the planned development area with Kenmount Terrace will provide opportunities for residents in both areas to access facilities and services without the need for travel on the boundary collector and arterial network.
4. To Thorburn Road: Two collector road connections to Thorburn Road should be preserved for collection to the collector road network. This might include the extension of Messenger Drive and Ladysmith Drive, noted in 1 above or to other collector roads through the development.
5. To Kenmount Road: A collector road connection aligning with Wyatt Boulevard/Mount Carson Avenue is desirable and should be examined further in subsequent more detailed neighbourhood planning. This access would serve primarily residential traffic from the development area. In conjunction with this access or in the absence of it, additional collector road access to the planned commercial and industrial development from the District Park and School westerly that provides a grid network with collector road spacing of approximately 400m on Kenmount Road.
6. Access Management Opportunities: Undertake a review of private access to Kenmount Road from the Boundary to Avalon Mall to identify opportunities where access can be consolidated or be limited to restricted turns (right turns only), or eliminated entirely.
7. Encourage the development of a possible interchange to the Outer Ring Road by the Town of Paradise If this interchange goes ahead, extend the collector street internal to the development area westerly to connect to this interchange.
8. Provide additional connections to the Town of Paradise roads into Elizabeth Park via Canterbury Drive and Ellesmere Avenue.

Access to the lands on the south side of Kenmount Road be reserved to the following roads:
9. To Kenmount Road: A collector road connection aligning with Great Eastern Avenue.
10. To Team Gushue Highway: at Brier Avenue via a primary connection of the extension of an east - west collector road; and via a connection to Georges Pond/Captain Whelan Drive.
11. To Old Pennywell Road: via an indirect minor link from the development lands.
12. To Mount Carson Avenue/Wyatt Boulevard: Potential connection to either Wyatt Boulevard/Mount Carson Avenue to be reviewed during subsequent neighbourhood planning stages.

### 2.3.2 Internal Road Network

13. In the development areas north of Kenmount Road there is a need to provide an additional continuous collector street that ties the three development sub-areas together and is located centrally to them. This
collector road could connect to Thorburn Road and to the extension of Ladysmith Drive in the north and to the east - west collector adjacent to the core areas of the District School and commercial area.
14. It is recommended that the community plan be reviewed in subsequent more detailed development planning to determine the feasibility of this collector alignment. As this roadway potentially will be serving travel between several of the sub-areas and adjacent existing development, direct residential frontage should be discouraged so that residences are not fronting onto a major community link. This community link will provide an access function to the neighbourhood to reduce potential for short-cutting on other local roads.
15. If this collector street is feasible, it would function as a primary transit route linking the area to the planned transit hub. It is recommended that it be located such that approximately 90 percent of residents are within 400 m of transit services on this road. Active transportation links are to be maintained on the collector road.
16. With the planned commercial, recreational and institutional uses in the southwest part of the development plan north of Kenmount Road, and links to the neighbouring communities, it is possible that these areas will evolve into vibrant village centres with the appropriate land use policies and direct collector road links to transit, pedestrian and cycling facilities. Continuous routing of this collector road between Thorburn Road in the north and to Kenmount Road and possibly Mount Carson Avenue/Wyatt Boulevard in the south would encourage and support this evolution.
2.3.3 Growth Management

This traffic analysis has demonstrated that a smart growth, sustainable approach to managing growth in the development lands and the City at large is desirable and necessary to avoid impacts associated with continually expanding existing roads to accommodate primarily single occupant private automobiles. It is recommended that the City adopt a balanced strategy to accommodating future travel in the study area that would involve the following actions.
16. Ensuring that the planned road network within the study area is transit supportive, and provides continuous mid-block collector roadways designed for transit routes through the neighbourhood, so that approximately 90 percent of inhabitants are within 400 m of transit service and that internal roads are connected to existing similar facilities. For example, provision of a collector road west of Ladysmith Drive that connects as directly as possible to the planned transit hub and to Kenmount Road at Wyatt Boulevard/Mount Carson Avenue.
17. Ensuring that internal and external roads incorporate active transportation facilities including on and off-road cycling facilities in accordance with the City's Cycling Master Plan, and sidewalks that are accessible to those who may be mobility challenged. Typical cross sections for selected arterial, collector and local streets are provided in the Appendix B-4
18. Develop and implement travel demand strategies designed to reduce the demand for the private automobile. Encouraging businesses, industry and developers to provide greater support for cycling and transit users. Also to consider providing transit improvements on key corridors to reduce the overall travel time for transit users. This might include the use of queue jump lanes, far side stop locations in protected bays and transit priority measures.
19. Considering alternative types of intersection design and control at selected intersections. This would include considering the use of roundabouts where these intersections van provide improved operational and safety performance compared to signalized intersections.
20. Undertaking corridor widening to accommodate vehicular travel demand, only when other measures have been explored fully.

## 3 Municipal Service

3.1 Sanitary Sewer Services

### 3.1.1 Reference Documents

Design of the sanitary sewer system was carried out following the requirements of the St. Johns Subdivision Design Manual, latest edition. Where the Design Manual doesn't comment on a particular design area, the DOE Guidelines for the Design, Construction, and Operation of Water and Sewerage Systems were referenced.
3.1.2 Sanitary Sewer Demand Estimate

The sanitary sewer flow rates were calculated using the sanitary sewer generation rates as indicated in the terms of reference and the City of St. John's Sub Division Design Manual.
Average Daily Demand: The average daily flows were calculated from flow allowances based on expected population and land-use types. The following flow allowances, as presented in the terms of reference, were used:

Table 13 - City of St. John's Average Sewage Flow Rates

| Land Use | Average Sewage - Flow Rate |
| :--- | :---: |
| Residential | $275 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{d}$ |
| Commercial | $28000 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{d}$ |
| Pusiness Park | $28000 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{d}$ |
| Industrial | $39000 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{d}$ |
| Institutional | $34000 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{d}$ |

Hourly Demand: A peaking factor was calculated for the development based on an equivalent population for the entire area. Equivalent population was calculated based on the methodology outlined in the Subdivision Design Manual. Once an equivalent population was determined, the Harman equation (below) was used to calculate the Peak Hourly factor.

$$
M=\frac{1+14}{4+P^{1 / 2}}
$$

Where:
$M=$ the ratio of the peak rate of flow to the average rate of flow
$P=\quad$ the equivalent population, in thousands. * The equivalent population of the nonresidential areas was adjusted in accordance with the Subdivision Design Guide.

Infiltration allowance rate: The infiltration allowance was calculated based on a minimum rate of 22,500 I/ha/day.

13 Sub-Catchments Area
The ArcGIS system files provided by the City of St. John's were utilized in the delineation of the sanitary sewer sub-catchment areas were, the determining the flow estimation and establishing the connection points to the existing sanitary sewer system. The sub-catchments were designed to maximize the use of gravity flow toward
the existing sanitary sewer connection points, which are illustrated in Figure 21. However, the use of lift stations could not be avoided completely and there are three (3) lift stations identified for the 190m development area (refer to Figure 21 for lift station locations). The three proposed lift stations are located as follows:

- Area B - The calculated peak dry weather flow (PDWF) to the lift station is $59.2 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$. It is proposed that this area be pumped up Kenmount Road and then gravity feed to the existing system behind Canadian Tire of Kelsey Drive via a new 300 mm diameter sewer main.
- Area H - The calculated PDWF design flow to the lift station is $15.3 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$
- Area F - The calculated PDWF design flow to the lift station is $5.0 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$.

The PDWF was calculated for each sub-catchment area using the land use designations identified in this report. The calculation sheets for the flow determination of each sub-catchment area is detailed in Appendix A. Table 14 below summaries the flow rates and potential connection points to the existing system.

Table 14 - Sanitary Sewer Flows and Connections

| Connection Locations | PDWF Flow Rate L/s | Catchment Area |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Existing 300 mm main on south side of Kenmount Road | 23.4 | A |
| New 300mm main on north side of Kenmount Road | 82.7 | B |
| Existing System at Lady Anderson Street | 18.0 | C |
| Existing System at Ladysmith Drive | 30.0 | D |
| Existing system at Blackmarsh Road/Canada Drive | 61.7 | E |
| Existing 300mm main on south side of Kenmount Road | 49.9 | F |
| Existing System on Great Eastern Avenue which will flow <br> To new 300mm main on north side of Kenmount Road | 49.5 | G |
| Existing system on Messenger Drive | 33.5 | H |

Following the design of the sub-catchments and suggested connection points to the existing system, the information was submitted to the City of St. John's Engineering Department to determine if there was sufficient capacity within the current system to accommodate the design flows from the 190 m contour development.


The analysis indicated the following upgrades would be required to accommodate full build out of the 190 m development.

1. The flows from sub-catchments $B$ and $G$ would require a new 300 mm diameter sanitary sewer line, with a minimum $2 \%$ slope, to be constructed from the new manhole \#45 on Kenmount Road to the existing trunk main behind Canadian Tire on Kelsey Drive. The sanitary sewer then flows into the Southwest Development Area Trunk Main. The new line would be approximately 3000m (refer to Figure 22).
2. The flows from sub-catchments $A$ and $F$ are proposed to connect to the existing sanitary sewer mains on Kenmount Road. Currently there is a 300 mm line on the south side of Kenmount Road which terminates approximately 285 m east of Wyatt Boulevard and there is a 300 mm line on the north side that terminates approximately 1250 m east of Wyatt Boulevard. In order to use these two lines, a 71 m section of existing 300 mm sanitary sewer main on Parrell's Lane would have to be upgraded to 375 mm diameter. Completing this upgrade would provide an additional capacity of $33 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ in the north side sewer and $72 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ in the south side sewer. Thus, it is proposed to connect to the existing 300 mm diameter sewer on the south side of Kenmount Road. Sub-catchment area F would also connect to the south sewer line. These two flows combine for a total of $73 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ which would leave the south sanitary sewer main with no additional capacity. However, the north side sewer main would still have an additional $33 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ capacity available.


Figure 22 - Draft of Upgrades to Existing System on Kenmount Road
3. The flows from sub-catchment $E$ is proposed to flow into the Waterford Valley System via a connection at Blackmarsh Road/Canada Drive intersection. In order accommodate the $61.7 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ flow seven (7) sections of 200 mm diameter sanitary sewer between Fair Haven Place and Burgeo Street will have to be upgraded to a 300 mm diameter line (refer to Figure 23).


Figure 23 - Draft of Upgrades Required at Fair Haven Place and Burgeo Place
3.1.4 Sanitary Sewer Distribution System

The main distribution system was identified in each of the sub-catchment areas. The catchment area was subdivided into smaller tributary areas to determine the main sanitary sewer distribution lines. The drawings in Appendix A detail the proposed main distribution collection system. The pipe sizes were determined for the main trunk lines in each sub-catchment. The distribution system, where possible, follows the road network and the slopes of the pipes shown on the drawings in general follow the contours of the development area. The minimum pipe gradient was $0.5 \%$ and maximum pipe gradient was kept to $12 \%$, which reflects the maximum local street gradient in the subdivision manual. The design calculations and capacity analysis of the proposed system was completed in the City of St. John's standard excel format, which is referenced in Appendix C.

The sanitary sewer design flows and pipe slopes should be revisited during the detailed design of the development area. The elevations used to perform the calculations were extracted from the undeveloped topography and slopes were assumed so that velocity criteria were met. The present design flows will inevitably change as the elevations and grades of the road network, and subsequently the network, are further developed.

### 3.1.5 Sanitary Sewer System Phasing

The required upgrades to the existing system are significant, especially the new 300 mm sanitary line required on Kenmount Road which extends behind Canadian Tire on Kelsey Drive (refer to Figure 22). Limited development can proceed in Sub-Catchments B and G areas with the upgrade of the 300 mm line on Parrell's Lane. This would provide an additional capacity of $105 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}(72 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ in the south side sewer main and $33 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ in the north side sewer main). These lines would also have to be extended up to the 190 m Contour development
area discharge points. It should be noted that the development in sub-catchment areas A / B / G / F would have to be limited to approximately $105 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ until the new 300 mm diameter trunk main can be completed on Kenmount Road.

Limited development can also occur in sub-catchment E until the upgrades are completed on the existing sanitary sewer sections between Fair Haven Place and Burgeo Place (refer to Figure 23). The current additional capacity at the Blackmarsh Road/Canada Drive Intersection, without any upgrades, is approximately $16 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$. Thus, the existing system can accommodate limited development before the proposed upgrades are required.


Figure 24 - Draft of Drainage Basin Oveniew


Figure 25 - Draft Overview of the CDA Kenmount Area Stormwater Analysis

### 3.2 Stormwater Analysis

### 3.2.1 Drainage Basin Delineation

The proposed development area is encompassed by the five drainage basins shown on Figure 24. The areas were delineated using 1 m interval contours that were provided by the City of St. John's.

The major watercourses located within the study area are Yellow Marsh Brook, Leary's Brook, and Kitty Gaul Brook, which drain the three largest basins. There are two smaller basins that drain towards Thorburn Road and Kenmount Road. The "Regional Stormwater Detention Feasibility Study" (CBCL, 2013) identified Yellow Marsh Brook as the only suitable watercourse on which to construct a regional stormwater detention facility. Therefore the drainage area of Yellow Marsh Brook was delineated only to the proposed location of the regional stormwater facility. The topography of the study area is characterized by the steep hills that surround the upper extent of the Kenmount Valley. The ground coverage consists of wetlands along flat areas and valleys, and coniferous forest and some barrens along the slopes and peaks. The ground coverage of the Kenmount Valley is visible on the aerial photography shown in Figure 25, which also shows an outline of the CDA Kenmount area.

### 3.2.2 Stormwater Detention Modeling

The CDA Kenmount area is subject to the City of St. John's Stormwater Management Plan which requires a zero net-increase in runoff between predevelopment and post development. The City of St. John's Subdivision Design Manual specifies that the Soil Conservation Service (SCS) method shall be used to estimate pre and post development runoff. SCS curve numbers were assigned to the various municipal zones provided by the City according to tables 8-4 through 8-5 of the design manual. The curve numbers are summarized in Table 15. Maps showing the respective predevelopment and post development municipal zones are shown on Figures 26
and 27 below. The drainage boundaries shown on Figure 27 were estimated to represent the post development basins based on the proposed road layout.

Table 15 - SCS Curve Numbers

| Zone Type | Zone Code | Curve Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Apartment - Low Density | A1 | 87 |
| Comprehensive Development Area - Kenmount <br> Road | CDA Kenmount | 69 |
| Commercial Highway | CH | 93 |
| Commercial Kenmount | CK | 93 |
| Commercial Neighbourhood | CN | 92 |
| Industrial General | IG | 88 |
| Institutional | INST | 92 |
| Open Space | O | 69 |
| Open Space Reserve | OR | 69 |
| Rural | R | 69 |
| Residential-Medium Density | R2 | 85 |
| Residential-High Density | R3 | 85 |
| Residential Kenmount | RK | 85 |
| Rural Residential | RR | 70 |
| Rural Residential Infill | RRI | 70 |
| Watershed | W | 69 |



Figure 26 - Draft Predevelopment Municipal Zones


Figure 27 - Draft of Proposed Municipal Zones
Lumped parameter runoff models of the proposed development area were generated with a number of different configurations using the computer program XPSWMM. The model configurations are as follow:

1. Pre and post development runoff models of the Yellow Marsh Brook basin
2. Post development runoff model of the Yellow Marsh Brook basin with a regional stormwater detention facility
3. Pre and post development runoff models of the sub-catchments located within the Thorburn Rd, Leary's Brook, Kenmount Rd, and Kitty Gaul Brook basins.
4. Post development models of the sub-catchments within the Thorburn Rd, Leary's Brook, Kenmount Rd, and Kitty Gaul Brook basins with local stormwater detention
3.2.3 Yellow Marsh Brook Regional Stormwater Detention Facility

The pre-development and post development results of the Yellow Marsh Brook simulations are summarized in Tables 16 and 17 respectively. The results of the 2013 Regional Stormwater Detention Study are included for comparison.

Table 16 - Pre-Development XPSWMM Results for Yellow Marsh Brook

| Study | Drainage <br> Area <br> $\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right)$ | Average <br> Weighted SCS <br> Curve Number | Time of <br> Concentration <br> $(\mathrm{mins})$ | Peak <br> Flow <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Present Study | 1.82 | 72.8 | 86.7 | 4.07 |
| Regional Stormwater <br> Detention Study (CBCL, <br> 2013) | 1.69 | 73.3 | 61.5 | 4.04 |

Table 17 - Post Development XPSWMM Results for Yellow Marsh Brook

| Study | Drainage <br> Area <br> $(\mathrm{km} 2)$ | Average <br> Weighted SCS <br> Curve Number | Time of <br> Concentration <br> $(\mathrm{mins})$ | Peak <br> Flow <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Present Study | 1.79 | 81.1 | 67.6 | 5.10 |
| Regional Stormwater <br> Detention Study (CBCL, <br> 2013) | 1.69 | 76.9 | 55.5 | 4.50 |

The increased post development flow is a result of additional impervious areas in the current proposed land development. A review of the assumed municipal zoning in the 2013 study indicates that a large part of the basin was expected to remain zoned as rural. However, the current development plans proposed by Hatch and Tract show this area as mostly low and medium density residential.

The 2013 study estimated that $14,300 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of storage is available at the proposed facility location. However, to maintain the existing peak flow based on the proposed land development, a regional detention facility would require approximately $32,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of storage volume. The available storage of $14,300 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ at Yellow Marsh may be used for stormwater detention initially, supplemented by local storm water detention for subsequent development once the Yellow Marsh storage capacity is exceeded. Preliminary pre- and post-development hydrographs were developed in support of the recommendations herein. However, because the final storage demand calculations and detention siting is outside the scope of this report, detailed modeling should be completed to support development as it occurs.

### 3.2.4 Local Stormwater Detention

A total of 22 sub-catchments that will potentially require local stormwater detention were delineated within the study area. Sub-catchments 4 through 11 drain into Yellow Marsh Brook but have been included in this analysis to estimate the volume that would be required for local detention. The sub-catchments are shown in Figures 28 and 29 below.


Figure 28 - Draft of Local Stormwater Detention Sub-Catchments
Small scale stormwater detention options will have to be considered by the land developers within each of the above sub-catchments. It is not feasible to determine preliminary designs of these small detention facilities given that the final development scheme is subject to change based on the proposed developments of individual stakeholders. Engineering design of the local stormwater detention facilities will require smaller scale studies that focus on the future developments within the sub-catchments. At this stage it is more practical to estimate the total stormwater storage volumes required for the sub-catchments as a whole. To accomplish this, storage nodes with a controlled outflow were assigned to the outlet of each sub-catchment in the XPSWMM model. The results of the simulations are summarized in Table 18 below. The post development hydrographs included stormwater detention facilities are included in Appendix C.

Table 18 - Pre and Post Development Peak Outflows and Storage Requirements

| Sub-Catchment | Drainage Area <br> $\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right)$ | Predevelopment <br> Peak Flow $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | Post development <br> Peak Flow $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | Approx. Required <br> Storage Volume <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.092 | 0.195 | 0.321 | 4538 |
| 2 | 0.105 | 0.225 | 0.361 | 5027 |
| 3 | 0.074 | 0.158 | 0.240 | 2944 |
| 4 | 0.057 | 0.120 | 0.176 | 1821 |
| 5 | 0.036 | 0.078 | 0.122 | 1665 |
| 6 | 0.052 | 0.113 | 0.178 | 2486 |
| 7 | 0.028 | 0.060 | 0.094 | 1318 |
| 8 | 0.012 | 0.026 | 0.042 | 605 |
| 9 | 0.039 | 0.083 | 0.134 | 1927 |
| 10 | 0.310 | 0.691 | 1.056 | 13544 |
| 11 | 0.153 | 0.328 | 0.464 | 4353 |
| 12 | 0.190 | 0.398 | 0.499 | 2992 |
| 13 | 0.653 | 1.359 | 2.074 | 23624 |
| 14 | 0.030 | 0.065 | 0.102 | 1365 |
| 15 | 0.131 | 0.283 | 0.346 | 1891 |
| 16 | 0.396 | 1.243 | 1.365 | 6024 |
| 17 | 0.219 | 0.525 | 0.811 | 11860 |
| 18 | 0.591 | 1.276 | 1.795 | 16964 |
| 19 | 0.302 | 0.648 | 0.976 | 11182 |
| 20 | 0.255 | 0.545 | 0.852 | 10930 |
| 21 | 0.043 | 0.094 | 0.148 | 2058 |
| 22 | 0.345 | 0.730 | 1.127 | 13627 |

### 3.2.5 Storm Sewer Design

Storm water inflows were calculated for the proposed storm sewer layout using the prescribed methodology in division 5 of the CSJ Subdivision Design Manual. A total of 73 preliminary manhole locations were identified and local drainage areas were delineated to each. The complete storm sewer calculation spreadsheet and distribution system is included in Appendix A and the inflows to each manhole are summarized in Table 19.


Figure 29 - Draft of Storm Sewer Catchments

Table 19 - Summary of Manhole Inflows

| Manhole Number | Total Drainage Area (ha) | Runoff (L/s) | Manhole Number | Total Drainage Area (ha) | Runoff (L/s) | Manhole Number | Total Drainage Area (ha) | Runoff (L/s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9.2 | 1310 | 26 | 16.8 | 5646 | 51 | 31.8 | 4553 |
| 2 | 10.5 | 1869 | 27 | 21.9 | 7396 | 52 | 34.5 | 5008 |
| 3 | 5.5 | 998 | 28 | 17.9 | 1714 | 53 | 8.9 | 1205 |
| 4 | 7.4 | 1283 | 29 | 18.8 | 2045 | 54 | 11.4 | 1626 |
| 5 | 1.1 | 207 | 30 | 19.7 | 2340 | 55 | 13.6 | 2275 |
| 6 | 1.9 | 359 | 31 | 18.7 | 2683 | 56 | 15.0 | 2643 |
| 7 | 24.0 | 3875 | 32 | 20.2 | 3203 | 57 | 18.4 | 3096 |
| 8 | 4.2 | 1068 | 33 | 21.2 | 3440 | 58 | 18.7 | 3174 |
| 9 | 5.1 | 1289 | 34 | 65.3 | 7728 | 59 | 20.7 | 3627 |
| 10 | 30.7 | 5589 | 35 | 8.0 | 1000 | 60 | 23.9 | 4213 |
| 11 | 5.4 | 1318 | 36 | 9.3 | 1152 | 61 | 24.2 | 4305 |
| 12 | 15.3 | 3850 | 37 | 10.7 | 1318 | 62 | 24.8 | 4430 |
| 13 | 3.9 | 727 | 38 | 12.3 | 1513 | 63 | 30.2 | 5325 |
| 14 | 3.6 | 639 | 39 | 12.8 | 1576 | 64 | 6.5 | 935 |
| 15 | 9.4 | 1612 | 40 | 19.0 | 2050 | 65 | 6.9 | 1065 |
| 16 | 9.7 | 1721 | 41 | 6.7 | 1177 | 66 | 7.4 | 1177 |
| 17 | 21.1 | 5623 | 42 | 7.6 | 1387 | 67 | 8.0 | 1329 |
| 18 | 21.3 | 5717 | 43 | 11.2 | 2306 | 68 | 29.6 | 6587 |
| 19 | 32.2 | 9438 | 44 | 9.7 | 1390 | 69 | 38.6 | 6831 |
| 20 | 32.3 | 9508 | 45 | 10.2 | 1489 | 70 | 39.2 | 7004 |
| 21 | 2.3 | 590 | 46 | 25.4 | 4456 | 71 | 51.4 | 8749 |
| 22 | 4.1 | 1204 | 47 | n/a | 4456 | 72 | 6.0 | 1011 |
| 23 | 5.1 | 1541 | 48 | n/a | 4456 | 73 | 59.1 | 10162 |
| 24 | 8.8 | 2839 | 49 | 30.4 | 4228 |  |  |  |
| 25 | 9.7 | 3145 | 50 | 31.0 | 4374 |  |  |  |

3.2.6 Recommendations

A summary of recommendations is provided below.

1. The available storage of $14,300 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ at Yellow Marsh may be used for stormwater detention initially supplemented by local storm water detention for subsequent development once the Yellow Marsh storage capacity is exceeded
2. Land developers can likely reduce the cost of local stormwater detention by investing end-of-pipe solutions in collaboration with adjacent developers. Otherwise, onsite detention will likely be required for individual developments.
3. The storm sewer design flows and pipe sizes should be revisited when engineering design of the roads has progressed further. The elevations used to perform the calculations were extracted from the undeveloped topography and slopes were assumed so that velocity criteria were met. The presen design flows will inevitably change as the elevations and grades of the road network, and subsequently the storm network, are further developed.
3.3 Water Services

### 3.3.1 Reference Documents

Design of the water service system was carried out following the requirements of the St. John's Subdivision Design Manual, latest edition. Where the Design Manual doesn't comment on a particular design area the Atlantic Canada Guidelines for Water Systems were referenced. Past reports concerning the regional supply system were also reviewed. In general, the following references were used:

- St. John's Subdivision Design Manual
- Atlantic Canada Guidelines for Water Systems, 2004
- Water Supply for Public Fire Protection, Fire Underwriters Survey (1999)
- Regional Water Study, Newfoundland Design Associates (1994)
- Regional Water Study Update, Newfoundland Design Associates (2007)
- Development Above the 190 Water System Analysis, Newfoundland Design Associates (2013), hereafter referred to as the 190 Water System, NDAL (2013)
3.3.2 Water Demand Estimates and Scenarios

Average daily domestic water demands were calculated using the sanitary sewer generation rates as indicated in the terms of reference, and assuming that sewage generation represents $90 \%$ of water consumption. This parallels the method used in 190 Water System, NDAL (2013).
Four different flow condition scenarios were developed for input in the model, including the following (refer to Appendix C for Calculations):

- Maximum Daily Demand
- Peak Hourly Demand
- Maximum Daily Demand Plus Fire Flow
- Minimum Hourly Demand

Average Daily Demand:
Average daily flows were calculated from flow allowances based on expected population and land-use types. Average daily flows form the basis to calculate design flows but are not included in the model because they are not related to key performance specifications. The following flow allowances, as presented in the terms of reference, were used:
Table 20 - City of St. John's Domestic Water Usage Rates

| Land Use | Average Sewage Flow Rate | Average Domestic Water Usage Rate * |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | $275 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{d}$ | $306 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{d}$ |
| Commercial/Business Park | $28000 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{d}$ | $31111 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{d}$ |
| Industrial | $39000 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{d}$ | $43333 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{d}$ |
| Institutional | $34000 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{d}$ | $37777 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{d}$ | | * Average Domestic Water Usage Rate was calculated by assuming Sewage represents 90\% of water consumption |
| :--- |
| rates (Sewage Rate/0.9) | rates (Sewage Rate/0.9)

Peaking factors used in water system model were selected for the modeled areas based on equivalen populations. Equivalent populations were calculated based on the methodology outlined in the Subdivision Design Manual.

Maximum Daily Demand: Utilizing the equivalent populations calculated for each area, the Maximum Day Demand factor was selected from the Atlantic Canada Guidelines for Water Systems (Table 7.1). Factors used in water system model were selected on a modeled area basis, as opposed to a single factor being used for the entire development.
Utilizing the equivalent populations calculated for each area, the Peak Hourly Demand factor was selected from the Atlantic Canada Guidelines for Water Systems (Table 7.1). Factors used in water system model were selected on a modeled area basis, as opposed to a single factor being used for the entire development.

Minimum Hourly Demand:

Max Day Demand + Fire Flow: Fire flow demands were calculated based on the procedures as outlined in the Water Supply for Public Fire Protection. When estimating fire flows for commercial and industrial areas, aerial photography was used to measure typical building sizes in the area.

The resulting flows for the various scenarios are summarized in the following table. Detailed calculation spreadsheets are included within Appendix C of this report

Table 21 - Water System - Design Flow Scenario

| Scenario | Peaking Factor |  | Flow (L/s) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Daily Flow | N/A |  | Overall-85 <br> Area 1 - 7 <br> Area 2 - 6 <br> Area 3-13 | Area 4-28 <br> Area 5-5 <br> Area 6-7 <br> Area 7 - 20 |
| Maximum Daily Flow | Overall-1.90 Area 1-2.25 Area 2-2.50 Area 3-2.00 | Area 4-2.00 Area $5-2.50$ Area $6-2.50$ Area $7-2.00$ | Overall-162 <br> Area 1 - 16 <br> Area 2 - 16 <br> Area 3-25 | Area 4-55 <br> Area 5-12 <br> Area 6-17 <br> Area 7 - 40 |
| Peak Hourly Flow | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Overall }-2.85 \\ \text { Area 1-3.38 } \\ \text { Area 2-3.75 } \\ \text { Area 3-3.00 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Area 4-3.00 <br> Area 5 - 3.75 <br> Area 6 - 3.75 <br> Area 7-3.00 | Overall-243 <br> Area 1 - 25 <br> Area 2 - 24 <br> Area 3-38 | Area 4-83 <br> Area 5 - 18 <br> Area 6 - 25 <br> Area 7 - 60 |
| Minimum Hourly | Overall-0.60 <br> Area $1-0.45$ <br> Area $2-0.45$ <br> Area 3-0.50 | Area 4-0.50 <br> Area 5-0.45 <br> Area 6-0.45 <br> Area 7-0.50 | Overall-51 <br> Area 1 - 3 <br> Area 2 - 3 <br> Area 3-6 | Area 4-14 <br> Area 5-2 <br> Area 6-3 <br> Area 7 - 10 |
| Fire Flow* <br> - Single Family Residential <br> - Townhouse Residential <br> - Low Density Apartment <br> - Commercial \& Industrial |  |  |  |  |

* Fire Flow demands presented were added to the model in addition to Maximum Daily Demands


### 3.3.3 Model Development

The proposed development was divided into seven distinct areas as shown in Appendix C. The areas are differentiated geographically as well as by proposed land use. While the delineated areas differ from the sanitary sewer sub-catchment areas depicted in Figure 21, sanitary generation rates are sufficiently detailed to allow direct correlation between the water and sanitary areas.

In order to accurately size the proposed system components and calculate the varying pressures throughout the system, it was necessary to develop a computerized model of the water network. Input parameters into the Bentley WaterGEMS model were selected based on various criteria from the Subdivision Design Guide and the Atlantic Canada Guidelines for Water Systems.

Model input parameters were as follows:

## 1. Pipe Data

The proposed pipe network configuration was developed using base map information combined with the proposed road network layout. These maps were brought into the WaterGEMS model as background images and the pipe network was drawn overtop. While only pipes 300 mm and larger needed to be sized as part of this study, additional network elements were added in order for the model to calculate residual pressures at key locations. Pipe material was assumed to be PVC and the Hazen Williams C factor was assumed to be 130.

## 2. Junction Data

Pipe junctions were placed in locations where two pipes connected, demands were allocated, and where pressure calculations were required. Elevations were automatically assigned to junctions based on the available DTM surface representing existing topography. These elevations were deemed to be sufficiently accurate for the purposes of this model, and were left at ground level because the pressure criteria are based at ground level.
3. Tank Data

A new tank was required as part of the proposed development, and it was assumed that it would be constructed on the hill at the South West corner of the development area. This area was selected as it is the highest location within the development area and the nature of the existing topography requires that the effective storage volume be above elevation 261 m . When the initial water level was set in the model, it was set at the minimum effective water level ( 261 m ) to ensure that minimum pressures were achieved throughout the system at all times.
4. Demand Allocation

Demands were calculated for each development area as described in Section 3.1.2 and assigned to representative nodes. The aggregation level included only the parts of the hydraulic network that have a significant impact of the behaviour of the system. Only tie-ins and main intersections were included. Some nodes with zero " 0 " demands weren't aggregated to other nodes, as it was intended to show other relevant information (ex. pressures at the ground level)

Where demands were particularly high, the total demand for the area was divided between a few nodes to more accurately reflect the spatial variation. Calculated Fire flow demands were assigned to representative node within each area.
3.3.4 Required Regional System Upgrades

Prior to this undertaking, Newfoundland Design Associates Ltd. was retained by the City to perform an evaluation of the impacts of various proposed developments above the 190m contour on the Bay Bulls Big Pond regiona water supply system. Several development scenarios were assessed using H2O Map modeling software and the various required upgrades to the regional system were identified. The following scenarios were particularly applicable to the Southwest Development Area (SWDA):

- June 2009 maximum day demand with 100\% SWDA demand.

June 2009 maximum day demand with 100\% Glencrest, Mount Pearl, and SWDA demands.

- 2026 demand with $100 \%$ SWDA demand.
- 2026 demand with $100 \%$ Glencrest, Mount Pearl, and SWDA demands.

The required upgrades were summarized as follows

Table 22 - Required Upgrades

| Scenario | 1 (c) | 1 (d) | 2 (c) | 2 (d) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | $\begin{gathered} 2026+ \\ 100 \% \text { SWDA } \end{gathered}$ | 2026 + 100\% Glencrest, MTP, \& SWDA | $\begin{array}{r} \text { June } 2009 \\ +100 \% \text { SWDA } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 2009 + } \\ \text { Glencrest, MTP \& SWDA } \end{gathered}$ |
| Demand ( $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ /day) | 123,670 | 152,057 | 97,420 | 125,807 |
| BBBP High Lift Pumps | +2 pumps | +2 pumps | - | +2 pumps |
| 1050mm T.M. BBBP to Ruby Line | - | 1200 mm | - |  |
| Ruby Line Pumps to Mount Pearl | +2 pumps | +2 pumps | - | +1 pump |
| 600 mm T.M. Topsail Rd. to Kenmount P.S. | - | - | 750 mm | 750 mm |

t is also worth noting that the reliable yield for Bay Bulls Big Pond is estimated to be approximately 104,600 $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ day, and additional capacity will be required.
3.3.5 Proposed Distribution System Requirements

The proposed zoning allows for a range of residential development types, as well as potentially large usage commercial and industrial developments. Combined with a topography which has a total range of nearly 70 m , the primary distribution system consists of an elevated storage tank and several defined pressure zones.

The following guidelines were considered when determining system requirements:
Table 23 - Water Analysis Design Guidelines

| Minimum Residual Pressure at ground level during Max day + Fire Flow | 150 kPa |
| :--- | :---: |
| Minimum Residual Pressure at ground level during Peak hour Flow | 300 kPa |
| Maximum Desirable Pressure during minimum hour demand | 650 kPa |
| Max Design Velocity during Maximum day conditions | $1.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Max Design Velocity during Fire Flow conditions | $3.0 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ |

### 3.3.6 Pumping Requirements

In order to lift water from the existing Kenmount tanks to the active storage elevation, between elevation 261 m and 267 m , a new booster pumping station is required. The existing Kenmount tanks operate in the range of approximately 224 m to 227.7 m , therefore the new pumping system will be required to deliver the maximum daily flow of $162 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ at approximately 40 m of lift.
In order to reduce up-front costs, it is recommended that two equivalent pumps capable of handling the average In order to reduce up-front costs, it is recommended that two equivalent pumps capable of handling the average
daily flow for full buildout conditions ( $85 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ ), with an empty slot for a third equivalent pump. This arrangement will provide redundant pumping capacity during the early phases of development, and an arrangement which will allow for pump cycling plus a standby pump when full buildout is achieved.
3.3.7 Storage Requirement

To meet the requirements of the development area, it is proposed that a new storage reservoir be constructed on the hill at the south west corner of the development area (peak El. 261m). The effective storage for this development will need to be above elevation 261m. Therefore, constructing the storage tank as high as possible on this hill will reduce the "dead" storage and thereby reduce cost. Another benefit of this location is that the hill has an existing access road, used for maintenance of the 3 existing radio towers.

Determining the required effective storage elevation for the new tank was an iterative process, balancing distribution piping size, tank construction cost, and pressure maintenance. Through the modeling process it was found that a minimum water elevation of 261 m satisfied the minimum residual pressure requirements throughout the system while minimizing pipe size requirements.

The storage volume requirement was calculated using the method as presented in the Atlantic Canada Guidelines for Water Systems. The calculation is summarized below:

$$
S=A+B+C
$$

Where:
$\mathrm{S}=$ Total Storage Requirement, m
$A=$ Fire Storage, $m^{3}$ (equal to the required fire flow over required duration)
$B=$ Peak Balancing Storage, $m^{3}$ ( $25 \%$ of maximum day demand)
C = Emergency Storage, m ${ }^{3}$ ( $25 \%$ of A + B)

Table 24 - Water Storage Tank Volume Analysis

|  | Calculation Information | Storage Volume $\left(m^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| [A] (Fire Storage) | Max Fire Flow Demand $=16,000 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ <br> Fire Flow Duration $=3.5$ hrs <br> (City of St. John's Specifications) | $3360 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| [B] (Peak Balancing Storage) | Maximum Day Demand $=162 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ | $3500 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| [C] (Emergency Storage) | $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}=6860 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | $1715 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
|  |  | $8575 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |

It is proposed to construct two (2) storage tanks, each of approximately $4300 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ storage above the minimum water elevation 261 m for a total of $8600 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of storage. The tanks would be located at an approximate elevation of 252 m to 255 m . Ideally the tanks would be located at the crest of the of hill which is at elevation 261 m as this would eliminate the dead storage and enable the tanks be smaller. However, given the close proximity of communication towers it is unclear what effects the storage tanks would have on communications. Reservoir style tanks with width greater than height would be preferred over standpipe style tanks with height greater than width to mitigate interference with the towers. One storage tank would be constructed immediately and the second tank would be constructed as the 190m area development area progresses and there is a need for additional storage capacity.

### 3.3.8 Water Age Analysis

The water age analysis for the facilities considers the permanent system under full development conditions because development staging and levels of service required for initial stages of development have not been defined. Actual average daily demand for a given phase of development will depend on the area of each phase coming on line as well as the percentage land use of each area. However, it is possible to make some general statements about approaches to ensure adequate turnover during phased development. Actual storage and flow demands will be developed during detail design of development phases.
Adequate turnover time is 72 hours as recommended in the Atlantic Canada Guidelines for Water Systems. At the average daily demand of $85 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ for the final development, the turnover time for $8600 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of stored water is approximately 28 hours. A daily demand of $34 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ will have a turnover time of approximately 70 hours.

For the single tank system with $4300 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of storage, a daily demand of $34 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ will have a turnover time of approximately 35 hours. A daily demand of $17 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ will have a turnover time of approximately 70 hours.

Because average day demand is an average, actual daily demand will fluctuate. It is not recommended to operate the tanks at theoretical average day demands that approach 70 hours. Instead, the single tank should be used until the storage requirements, determined by the method in Section 3.3.7, are no longer adequate for the interim phases of development. This approach will minimize turnover time during initial phases of development.
Once detailed analysis of development phasing requires more than $4300 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of storage, the second tank can be brought online.

If initial stages of development produce average daily demands with turnover times less than 72 hours for the single tank, the level control will need to be set to limit the tank fill level. Fill levels should be set to provide only the minimum storage volume by the methods of Section 3.3.7, thus minimizing the turnover time required.

### 3.3.9 Distribution Piping System

It was required, as part of this review, that all pipes sized 300 mm or larger need to be established in the overall water network. While there are maximum velocity requirements which guide pipe size selection, it is often a combination of factors which govern. In the proposed development, due to the range of topography and the resulting pressure range, pipe sizes were selected which resulted in flow velocities below the maximum permissible.
In addition, a number of PRV were proposed in order to maintain maximum pressures during minimum demands. Some nodes, however, are shown to be above the maximum desirable level. It is recommended that further system optimization should be made to some areas, especially Area 7 in the southwest sector of the proposed development. See the Appendix C for calculation details.
Maintenance of residual pressures during Fire Flow conditions governed the selected of pipe sizes in most cases. Due to the length of the required transmission main, pipe size has a large influence over the head loss in the system, especially on the north side of Kenmount road.
It was determined that a 500 mm PVC transmission main provided a good balance between capacity and tank height, while still maintaining a level of flow velocity (see Figure 30 for a system schematic).
3.3.10 Analysis Results

The water distribution system developed using WaterGEMS software was analyzed to assess conformance with the City of St. John's requirements. Detailed results are contained in Appendix C, and are summarized as follows;

Minimum Residual Pressure at ground level during Max day + Fire Flow $\geq 150 \mathrm{kPa}$
Minimum Residual Pressure at ground level during Peak hour Flow $\geq 300 \mathrm{kPa}$
Maximum Desirable Pressure during minimum hour demand $\leq 650 \mathrm{kPa}$
Max Design Velocity during Maximum day conditions $\leq 1.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
Max Design Velocity during Fire Flow conditions $\leq 3.0 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$

* Meeting this desired requirement at all nodes fell outside the scope of this work; further system optimization during detail design will be required to refine this performance specification.


Figure 30 - Draft Water Distribution System Schematic (also refer to Appendix C)
3.4 Cost Estimate

A Class' D' cost (+/-20\%) was developed for the 190 m contour development area water infrastructure and includes the following items:

- Water transmission mains 300 mm diameter and above
- Water storage tanks and chlorination system off the tank
- Pumphouse outside the existing storage tanks to supply the new tank (s)
- Pressure reducing valves.

The total estimated cost for water system infrastructure identified above (considering only pipe sizes 300 mm and above) is $\$ 23.3 \mathrm{M}$. A detailed breakdown can be found in Appendix C.
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1 - TURNING MOVEMENT COUNTS FROM THE CITY OF ST. JOHN'S

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division

Blackmarsh Rd @ Captain Whelan Drive Turning Movement Count October 162013

File Name : Blackmarsh @ Captain Whalen October 162013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/10/16
Page No :1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | CAPT WHEALAN From North |  |  |  | BLACKMARSH From East |  |  |  | CAPT WHEALAN From South |  |  |  | BLACKMARSH From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Right Cut Off From Blackmars | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 67 | 0 | 8 | 125 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 16 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 112 | 0 | 6 | 195 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 21 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 3 | 156 | 0 | 17 | 291 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 34 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 184 | 0 | 23 | 357 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 183 | 80 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 9 | 519 | 0 | 54 | 968 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 41 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 202 | 0 | 24 | 386 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 34 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 8 | 211 | 0 | 26 | 408 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 37 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 145 | 0 | 15 | 291 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 40 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 113 | 0 | 17 | 249 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 208 | 152 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 21 | 671 | 0 | 82 | 1334 |


| 04:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $04: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $04: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 94 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 71 | 0 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 98 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 79 | 0 | 14 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 76 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 107 | 0 | 16 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 59 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 65 | 0 | 18 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 417 | 327 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 15 | 322 | 0 | 69 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1379 | 958 | 0 | 520 | 0 | 192 | 0 | 63 | 1857 | 0 | 269 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 41 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 2.9 | 84.8 | 0 | 12.3 |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26.3 | 18.3 | 0 | 9.9 | 0 | 3.7 | 0 | 1.2 | 35.5 | 0 | 5.1 |

## City Of St. J ohn's

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : Blackmarsh @ Captain Whalen October 162013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/10/16
Page No : 2


## City Of St. J ohn's

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : Blackmarsh @ Captain Whalen October 162013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/10/16
Page No : 3

|  | CAPT WHEALAN From North |  |  |  |  | BLACKMARSH From East |  |  |  |  | CAPT WHEALAN From South |  |  |  |  | BLACKMARSH From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Right cut offrom Blackmars | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 21 | 0 | 75 | 24 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 40 | 3 | 156 | 0 | 17 | 176 | 291 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 34 | 0 | 103 | 30 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 43 | 4 | 184 | 0 | 23 | 211 | 357 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 41 | 0 | 96 | 48 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 58 | 6 | 202 | 0 | 24 | 232 | 386 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 34 | 0 | 97 | 52 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 66 | 8 | 211 | 0 | 26 | 245 | 408 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 241 | 130 | 0 | 371 | 154 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 207 | 21 | 753 | 0 | 90 | 864 | 1442 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 65 | 35 | 0 |  | 74.4 | 0 | 25.6 | 0 |  | 2.4 | 87.2 | 0 | 10.4 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 873 | . 793 | . 000 | . 900 | . 740 | . 000 | . 828 | . 000 | . 784 | . 656 | . 892 | . 000 | . 865 | . 882 | . 884 |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Data <br> Peak Hour Begins at 07:30 AM <br> Unshifted |  |
|  |  |  |

## City Of St. J ohn's

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name: Blackmarsh @ Captain Whalen October 162013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/10/16
Page No : 4

|  | CAPT WHEALAN From North |  |  |  |  | BLACKMARSH From East |  |  |  |  | CAPT WHEALAN From South |  |  |  |  | BLACKMARSH From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Right cut Off foom Blackmars | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:15 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 103 | 0 | 258 | 27 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 45 | 8 | 82 | 0 | 20 | 110 | 413 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 89 | 0 | 244 | 32 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 47 | 5 | 82 | 0 | 14 | 101 | 392 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 138 | 0 | 270 | 38 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 54 | 4 | 111 | 0 | 18 | 133 | 457 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 94 | 0 | 223 | 44 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 54 | 5 | 71 | 0 | 21 | 97 | 374 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 571 | 424 | 0 | 995 | 141 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 200 | 22 | 346 | 0 | 73 | 441 | 1636 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 57.4 | 42.6 | 0 |  | 70.5 | 0 | 29.5 | 0 |  | 5 | 78.5 | 0 | 16.6 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 921 | 768 | . 000 | . 921 | . 801 | . 000 | . 819 | . 000 | . 926 | . 688 | 779 | . 000 | . 869 | . 829 | . 895 |



## City Of St. J ohn's

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division

Captain Whelan Drive @ Hamlyn Road Turning Movement Count
October 162013

File Name : Captain Whelan Drive @ Hamlyn Road October 162013 Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/10/16
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | HAMLYN RD From North |  |  |  | CAPTAIN WHELAN DR From East |  |  |  | HAMLYN RD From South |  |  |  | CAPTAIN WHELAN DR From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 76 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 35 | 2 | 24 | 0 | 18 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 109 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 14 | 0 | 53 | 2 | 43 | 0 | 24 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 170 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 18 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 35 | 1 | 35 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 199 |
| Total | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 11 | 34 | 50 | 0 | 177 | 4 | 114 | 1 | 91 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 554 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 23 | 1 | 87 | 1 | 60 | 1 | 54 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 256 |
| 08:15 AM | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 26 | 1 | 68 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 55 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 247 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 17 | 2 | 42 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 44 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 175 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 11 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 37 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 134 |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 30 | 77 | 4 | 225 | 1 | 193 | 1 | 190 | 66 | 0 | 1 | 812 |


| 04:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 42 | 3 | 28 | 1 | 51 | 2 | 73 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 233 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $04: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 38 | 0 | 32 | 2 | 46 | 1 | 111 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 258 |
| $04: 30$ PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 41 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 119 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 268 |
| $04: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 53 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 44 | 2 | 119 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 287 |
| Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 51 | 174 | 3 | 130 | 3 | 189 | 5 | 422 | 64 | 0 | 3 | 1046 |


| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 39 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 103 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 246 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 50 | 2 | 29 | 2 | 40 | 0 | 100 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 245 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 29 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 82 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 205 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 40 | 1 | 34 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 48 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 189 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 158 | 4 | 118 | 2 | 159 | 0 | 333 | 71 | 0 | 2 | 885 |


| Grand Total | 1 | 3 | 19 | 1 | 22 | 153 | 459 | 11 | 650 | 10 | 655 | 7 | 1036 | 264 | 0 | 6 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Apprch \% | 4.2 | 12.5 | 79.2 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 23.7 | 71.2 | 1.7 | 49.2 | 0.8 | 49.5 | 0.5 | 79.3 | 20.2 | 0 | 0.5 |
| Total \% | 0 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.7 | 4.6 | 13.9 | 0.3 | 19.7 | 0.3 | 19.9 | 0.2 | 31.4 | 8 | 0 | 0.2 |

## City Of St. J ohn's

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : Captain Whelan Drive @ Hamlyn Road October 162013 Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/10/16
Page No :2


## City Of St. J ohn's

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : Captain Whelan Drive @ Hamlyn Road October 162013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/10/16
Page No : 3

|  | HAMLYN RD From North |  |  |  |  | CAPTAIN WHELAN DR From East |  |  |  |  | HAMLYN RD From South |  |  |  |  | CAPTAIN WHELAN DR From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 18 | 0 | 33 | 63 | 0 | 35 | 1 | 99 | 35 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 199 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 23 | 1 | 37 | 87 | 1 | 60 | 1 | 149 | 54 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 256 |
| 08:15 AM | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 26 | 1 | 37 | 68 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 129 | 55 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 247 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 17 | 2 | 27 | 42 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 85 | 44 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 175 |
| Total Volume | 1 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 33 | 84 | 4 | 134 | 260 | 1 | 199 | 2 | 462 | 188 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 269 | 877 |
| \% App. Total | 8.3 | 8.3 | 75 | 8.3 |  | 9.7 | 24.6 | 62.7 | 3 |  | 56.3 | 0.2 | 43.1 | 0.4 |  | 69.9 | 30.1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 250 | . 250 | . 750 | . 250 | . 750 | . 650 | . 750 | . 808 | . 500 | . 905 | . 747 | . 250 | . 816 | . 500 | . 775 | . 855 | . 675 | . 000 | . 000 | . 873 | . 856 |



## City Of St. J ohn's

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : Captain Whelan Drive @ Hamlyn Road October 162013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/10/16
Page No : 4

|  | HAMLYN RD From North |  |  |  |  | CAPTAIN WHELAN DR From East |  |  |  |  | HAMLYN RD From South |  |  |  |  | CAPTAIN WHELAN DR From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:15 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 38 | 0 | 45 | 32 | 2 | 46 | 1 | 81 | 111 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 132 | 258 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 41 | 0 | 60 | 30 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 78 | 119 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 268 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 53 | 0 | 65 | 40 | 0 | 44 | 2 | 86 | 119 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 136 | 287 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 39 | 1 | 50 | 33 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 75 | 103 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 246 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 47 | 171 | 1 | 220 | 135 | 2 | 180 | 3 | 320 | 452 | 64 | 0 | 3 | 519 | 1059 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0.5 | 21.4 | 77.7 | 0.5 |  | 42.2 | 0.6 | 56.2 | 0.9 |  | 87.1 | 12.3 | 0 | 0.6 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 653 | . 807 | . 250 | . 846 | . 844 | . 250 | . 938 | . 375 | . 930 | . 950 | . 800 | . 000 | . 375 | . 954 | . 922 |



Department of Engineering
Traffic division

Columbus Dr @ Blackmarsh Rd
Turning Movement Count
April 162013

File Name : Columbus @ Blackmarsh 2013 Site Code : 00000000 Start Date : 2013/04/16
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | COLUMBUS DR Southbound |  |  |  | BLACKMARSH RD Westbound |  |  |  | COLUMBUS DR Northbound |  |  |  | BLACKMARSH RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 10 | 80 | 9 | 1 | 15 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 144 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 25 | 15 | 0 | 328 |
| 07:15 AM | 5 | 81 | 13 | 2 | 27 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 28 | 204 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 45 | 27 | 0 | 457 |
| 07:30 AM | 3 | 120 | 22 | 0 | 27 | 27 | 12 | 0 | 27 | 253 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 71 | 35 | 1 | 601 |
| 07:45 AM | 15 | 179 | 29 | 2 | 43 | 37 | 20 | 0 | 31 | 288 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 73 | 36 | 0 | 757 |
| Total | 33 | 460 | 73 | 5 | 112 | 89 | 47 | 0 | 95 | 889 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 214 | 113 | 1 | 2143 |
| 08:00 AM | 12 | 169 | 21 | 2 | 32 | 23 | 18 | 0 | 22 | 329 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 62 | 58 | 1 | 752 |
| 08:15 AM | 13 | 171 | 27 | 0 | 44 | 33 | 28 | 0 | 31 | 310 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 82 | 44 | 1 | 790 |
| 08:30 AM | 7 | 153 | 25 | 5 | 37 | 30 | 31 | 1 | 32 | 314 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 69 | 45 | 0 | 752 |
| 08:45 AM | 8 | 156 | 21 | 0 | 43 | 34 | 20 | 1 | 21 | 227 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 64 | 23 | 0 | 621 |
| Total | 40 | 649 | 94 | 7 | 156 | 120 | 97 | 2 | 106 | 1180 | 5 | 1 | 9 | 277 | 170 | 2 | 2915 |


| 04:00 PM | 18 | 287 | 46 | 3 | 36 | 98 | 54 | 0 | 35 | 251 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 37 | 27 | 2 | 902 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 24 | 316 | 38 | 4 | 38 | 113 | 59 | 1 | 32 | 206 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 48 | 30 | 2 | 924 |
| 04:30 PM | 15 | 339 | 48 | 1 | 46 | 114 | 47 | 0 | 30 | 249 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 43 | 21 | 0 | 966 |
| 04:45 PM | 22 | 361 | 73 | 0 | 47 | 82 | 65 | 1 | 40 | 214 | 15 | 1 | 5 | 49 | 23 | 1 | 999 |
| Total | 79 | 1303 | 205 | 8 | 167 | 407 | 225 | 2 | 137 | 920 | 34 | 4 | 17 | 177 | 101 | 5 | 3791 |
| 05:00 PM | 22 | 310 | 66 | 3 | 65 | 89 | 52 | 0 | 39 | 221 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 61 | 21 | 0 | 964 |
| 05:15 PM | 20 | 304 | 68 | 2 | 50 | 83 | 59 | 0 | 48 | 198 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 40 | 39 | 0 | 926 |
| 05:30 PM | 17 | 236 | 48 | 5 | 31 | 66 | 53 | 4 | 35 | 196 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 44 | 18 | 1 | 769 |
| 05:45 PM | 18 | 198 | 44 | 1 | 31 | 61 | 33 | 0 | 30 | 199 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 53 | 26 | 0 | 700 |
| Total | 77 | 1048 | 226 | 11 | 177 | 299 | 197 | 4 | 152 | 814 | 26 | 2 | 23 | 198 | 104 | 1 | 3359 |
| Grand Total | 229 | 3460 | 598 | 31 | 612 | 915 | 566 | 8 | 490 | 3803 | 70 | 7 | 56 | 866 | 488 | 9 | 12208 |
| Apprch \% | 5.3 | 80.1 | 13.8 | 0.7 | 29.1 | 43.6 | 26.9 | 0.4 | 11.2 | 87 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 3.9 | 61 | 34.4 | 0.6 |  |
| Total \% | 1.9 | 28.3 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 5 | 7.5 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 4 | 31.2 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 7.1 | 4 | 0.1 |  |

City of St. J ohn's
Department of Engineering
Traffic division

Columbus Dr @ Blackmarsh Rd
Turning Movement Count April 162013

File Name : Columbus @ Blackmarsh 2013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/04/16
Page No : 2


Department of Engineering
Traffic division

Columbus Dr @ Blackmarsh Rd
Turning Movement Count
April 162013

File Name : Columbus @ Blackmarsh 2013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/04/16
Page No : 3

|  | COLUMBUS DR Southbound |  |  |  |  | BLACKMARSH RD Westbound |  |  |  |  | COLUMBUS DR Northbound |  |  |  |  | BLACKMARSH RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 AM | 15 | 179 | 29 | 2 | 225 | 43 | 37 | 20 | 0 | 100 | 31 | 288 | 2 | 0 | 321 | 2 | 73 | 36 | 0 | 111 | 757 |
| 08:00 AM | 12 | 169 | 21 | 2 | 204 | 32 | 23 | 18 | 0 | 73 | 22 | 329 | 1 | 0 | 352 | 2 | 62 | 58 | 1 | 123 | 752 |
| 08:15 AM | 13 | 171 | 27 | 0 | 211 | 44 | 33 | 28 | 0 | 105 | 31 | 310 | 2 | 0 | 343 | 4 | 82 | 44 | 1 | 131 | 790 |
| 08:30 AM | 7 | 153 | 25 | 5 | 190 | 37 | 30 | 31 | 1 | 99 | 32 | 314 | 1 | 0 | 347 | 2 | 69 | 45 | 0 | 116 | 752 |
| Total Volume | 47 | 672 | 102 | 9 | 830 | 156 | 123 | 97 | 1 | 377 | 116 | 1241 | 6 | 0 | 1363 | 10 | 286 | 183 | 2 | 481 | 3051 |
| \% App. Total | 5.7 | 81 | 12.3 | 1.1 |  | 41.4 | 32.6 | 25.7 | 0.3 |  | 8.5 | 91 | 0.4 | 0 |  | 2.1 | 59.5 | 38 | 0.4 |  |  |
| PHF | 783 | 939 | . 879 | 450 | 922 | 886 | . 831 | . 782 | 250 | . 898 | . 906 | 943 | . 750 | . 000 | . 968 | 625 | 872 | . 789 | . 500 | 918 | 966 |



Department of Engineering
Traffic division

Columbus Dr @ Blackmarsh Rd
Turning Movement Count
April 162013

File Name : Columbus @ Blackmarsh 2013 Site Code : 00000000 Start Date : 2013/04/16
Page No : 4

|  | COLUMBUS DR Southbound |  |  |  |  | BLACKMARSH RD Westbound |  |  |  |  | COLUMBUS DR Northbound |  |  |  |  | BLACKMARSH RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 PM | 15 | 339 | 48 | 1 | 403 | 46 | 114 | 47 | 0 | 207 | 30 | 249 | 8 | 0 | 287 | 5 | 43 | 21 | 0 | 69 | 966 |
| 04:45 PM | 22 | 361 | 73 | 0 | 456 | 47 | 82 | 65 | 1 | 195 | 40 | 214 | 15 | 1 | 270 | 5 | 49 | 23 | 1 | 78 | 999 |
| 05:00 PM | 22 | 310 | 66 | 3 | 401 | 65 | 89 | 52 | 0 | 206 | 39 | 221 | 9 | 0 | 269 | 6 | 61 | 21 | 0 | 88 | 964 |
| 05:15 PM | 20 | 304 | 68 | 2 | 394 | 50 | 83 | 59 | 0 | 192 | 48 | 198 | 8 | 2 | 256 | 5 | 40 | 39 | 0 | 84 | 926 |
| Total Volume | 79 | 1314 | 255 | 6 | 1654 | 208 | 368 | 223 | 1 | 800 | 157 | 882 | 40 | 3 | 1082 | 21 | 193 | 104 | 1 | 319 | 3855 |
| \% App. Total | 4.8 | 79.4 | 15.4 | 0.4 |  | 26 | 46 | 27.9 | 0.1 |  | 14.5 | 81.5 | 3.7 | 0.3 |  | 6.6 | 60.5 | 32.6 | 0.3 |  |  |
| PHF | . 898 | . 910 | . 873 | . 500 | . 907 | 800 | . 807 | . 858 | 250 | 966 | . 818 | . 886 | . 667 | . 375 | . 943 | 875 | . 791 | . 667 | . 250 | 906 | 96 |



# City Of St. J ohn's <br> Department of Engineering Traffic Division 

Columbus Dr @ Captain Whelan Dr Turning Movement Count April 252013

File Name : Columbus @ Capt Whelan 2013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/04/25
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted - Bank 1

|  | CAPT WHELAN <br> From North |  |  |  | COLUMBUS DR From East |  |  |  | CAPT WHELAN <br> From South |  |  |  | COLUMBUS DR From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 4 | 4 | 18 | 1 | 9 | 74 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 168 | 5 | 0 | 310 |
| 07:15 AM | 5 | 6 | 18 | 0 | 11 | 79 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 208 | 6 | 3 | 351 |
| 07:30 AM | 5 | 10 | 34 | 0 | 20 | 106 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 237 | 3 | 0 | 449 |
| 07:45 AM | 11 | 19 | 33 | 0 | 48 | 163 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 15 | 282 | 7 | 1 | 608 |
| Total | 25 | 39 | 103 | 1 | 88 | 422 | 9 | 0 | 42 | 23 | 18 | 0 | 28 | 895 | 21 | 4 | 1718 |
| 08:00 AM | 9 | 24 | 46 | 1 | 36 | 130 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 252 | 1 | 1 | 563 |
| 08:15 AM | 6 | 33 | 54 | 0 | 50 | 131 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 28 | 259 | 7 | 0 | 605 |
| 08:30 AM | 3 | 23 | 42 | 0 | 33 | 151 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 249 | 4 | 1 | 544 |
| 08:45 AM | 6 | 12 | 29 | 0 | 20 | 163 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 210 | 3 | 0 | 474 |
| Total | 24 | 92 | 171 | 1 | 139 | 575 | 17 | 0 | 52 | 44 | 21 | 0 | 63 | 970 | 15 | 2 | 2186 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 19 | 17 | 44 | 0 | 24 | 309 | 16 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 16 | 230 | 6 | 2 | 709 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 16 | 13 | 32 | 0 | 34 | 359 | 12 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 195 | 4 | 0 | 701 |
| 04:30 PM | 21 | 17 | 47 | 1 | 32 | 332 | 14 | 0 | 12 | 14 | 10 | 1 | 12 | 210 | 5 | 0 | 728 |
| 04:45 PM | 11 | 20 | 32 | 1 | 41 | 337 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 11 | 185 | 6 | 0 | 685 |
| Total | 67 | 67 | 155 | 2 | 131 | 1337 | 52 | 0 | 38 | 48 | 33 | 3 | 47 | 820 | 21 | 2 | 2823 |
| 05:00 PM | 20 | 19 | 40 | 0 | 30 | 322 | 19 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 11 | 1 | 12 | 193 | 3 | 0 | 685 |
| 05:15 PM | 17 | 18 | 26 | 0 | 30 | 328 | 14 | 0 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 202 | 3 | 2 | 683 |
| 05:30 PM | 9 | 8 | 24 | 0 | 34 | 227 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 98 | 7 | 2 | 449 |
| 05:45 PM | 12 | 13 | 31 | 0 | 18 | 243 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 209 | 4 | 0 | 578 |
| Total | 58 | 58 | 121 | 0 | 112 | 1120 | 46 | 0 | 31 | 46 | 35 | 2 | 43 | 702 | 17 | 4 | 2395 |
| Grand Total | 174 | 256 | 550 | 4 | 470 | 3454 | 124 | 0 | 163 | 161 | 107 | 5 | 181 | 3387 | 74 | 12 | 9122 |
| Apprch \% | 17.7 | 26 | 55.9 | 0.4 | 11.6 | 85.3 | 3.1 | 0 | 37.4 | 36.9 | 24.5 | 1.1 | 5 | 92.7 | 2 | 0.3 |  |
| Total \% | 1.9 | 2.8 | 6 | 0 | 5.2 | 37.9 | 1.4 | 0 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 2 | 37.1 | 0.8 | 0.1 |  |
| Unshifted | 174 | 256 | 550 | 4 | 470 | 3454 | 124 | 0 | 163 | 161 | 107 | 5 | 181 | 3387 | 74 | 12 | 9122 |
| \% Unshifted | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Bank 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% Bank 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## City Of St. J ohn's

Department of Engineering Traffic Division

Columbus Dr @ Captain Whelan Dr Turning Movement Count April 252013

File Name : Columbus @ Capt Whelan 2013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/04/25
Page No : 2


# City Of St. J ohn's 

Department of Engineering
Traffic Division

Columbus Dr @ Captain Whelan Dr Turning Movement Count April 252013

File Name : Columbus @ Capt Whelan 2013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/04/25
Page No : 3

|  | CAPT WHELAN <br> From North |  |  |  |  | COLUMBUS DR From East |  |  |  |  | CAPT WHELAN <br> From South |  |  |  |  | COLUMBUS DR <br> From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 AM | 11 | 19 | 33 | 0 | 63 | 48 | 163 | 2 | 0 | 213 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 27 | 15 | 282 | 7 | 1 | 305 | 608 |
| 08:00 AM | 9 | 24 | 46 | 1 | 80 | 36 | 130 | 8 | 0 | 174 | 12 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 38 | 17 | 252 | 1 | 1 | 271 | 563 |
| 08:15 AM | 6 | 33 | 54 | 0 | 93 | 50 | 131 | 4 | 0 | 185 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 33 | 28 | 259 | 7 | 0 | 294 | 605 |
| 08:30 AM | 3 | 23 | 42 | 0 | 68 | 33 | 151 | 3 | 0 | 187 | 15 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 22 | 13 | 249 | 4 | 1 | 267 | 544 |
| Total Volume | 29 | 99 | 175 | 1 | 304 | 167 | 575 | 17 | 0 | 759 | 52 | 44 | 24 | 0 | 120 | 73 | 1042 | 19 | 3 | 1137 | 2320 |
| \% App. Total | 9.5 | 32.6 | 57.6 | 0.3 |  | 22 | 75.8 | 2.2 | 0 |  | 43.3 | 36.7 | 20 | 0 |  | 6.4 | 91.6 | 1.7 | 0.3 |  |  |
| PHF | . 659 | . 750 | . 810 | . 250 | . 817 | 835 | . 882 | . 531 | . 000 | . 891 | . 867 | . 500 | . 667 | . 000 | .789 | . 652 | . 924 | . 679 | . 750 | . 932 | 954 |



# City Of St. J ohn's 

Department of Engineering Traffic Division

Columbus Dr @ Captain Whelan Dr Turning Movement Count April 252013

File Name : Columbus @ Capt Whelan 2013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/04/25
Page No : 4

|  | CAPT WHELAN <br> From North |  |  |  |  | COLUMBUS DR <br> From East |  |  |  |  | CAPT WHELAN <br> From South |  |  |  |  | COLUMBUS DR From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Rig ht | Thr <br> u | Left | Ped s | App. Toal | Rig ht | Thr <br> u | Left | Ped s | App. Total | Right | $\mathrm{Thr}$ $\mathrm{u}$ | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Thr} \\ \mathrm{u} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 PM | 19 | 17 | 44 | 0 | 80 | 24 | 309 | 16 | 0 | 349 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 26 | 16 | 230 | 6 | 2 | 254 | 709 |
| 04:15 PM | 16 | 13 | 32 | 0 | 61 | 34 | 359 | 12 | 0 | 405 | 7 | 11 | 9 | 1 | 28 | 8 | 195 | 4 | 0 | 207 | 701 |
| 04:30 PM | 21 | 17 | 47 | 1 | 86 | 32 | 332 | 14 | 0 | 378 | 12 | 14 | 10 | 1 | 37 | 12 | 210 | 5 | 0 | 227 | 728 |
| 04:45 PM | 11 | 20 | 32 | 1 | 64 | 41 | 337 | 10 | 0 | 388 | 10 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 31 | 11 | 185 | 6 | 0 | 202 | 685 |
| Total Volume | 67 | 67 | 155 | 2 | 291 | 131 | 1337 | 52 | 0 | 1520 | 38 | 48 | 33 | 3 | 122 | 47 | 820 | 21 | 2 | 890 | 2823 |
| \% App. Total | 23 | 23 | 53.3 | 0.7 |  | 8.6 | 88 | 3.4 | 0 |  | 31.1 | 39.3 | 27 | 2.5 |  | 5.3 | 92.1 | 2.4 | 0.2 |  |  |
| PHF | . 798 | . 838 | . 824 | . 500 | . 846 | . 799 | . 931 | . 813 | . 000 | . 938 | . 792 | . 857 | . 825 | . 750 | . 824 | . 734 | . 891 | . 875 | . 250 | . 876 | . 969 |



Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | COLUMBUS DRIVE Southbound |  |  |  | MUNDY POND RD Westbound |  |  |  | COLUMBUS DRIVE <br> Northbound |  |  |  | MUNDY POND RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 4 | 68 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 30 | 0 | 289 |
| 07:15 AM | 2 | 59 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 22 | 8 | 0 | 15 | 171 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 45 | 37 | 0 | 381 |
| 07:30 AM | 7 | 107 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 18 | 6 | 0 | 15 | 282 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 39 | 46 | 0 | 542 |
| 07:45 AM | 12 | 160 | 14 | 1 | 7 | 26 | 15 | 0 | 22 | 349 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 60 | 44 | 0 | 716 |
| Total | 25 | 394 | 29 | 1 | 33 | 76 | 32 | 0 | 65 | 937 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 164 | 157 | 0 | 1928 |
| 08:00 AM | 7 | 194 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 30 | 8 | 0 | 26 | 343 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 61 | 55 | 0 | 744 |
| 08:15 AM | 13 | 195 | 6 | 1 | 19 | 28 | 12 | 0 | 38 | 382 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 76 | 58 | 0 | 831 |
| 08:30 AM | 7 | 171 | 8 | 0 | 23 | 40 | 12 | 0 | 14 | 347 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 75 | 63 | 0 | 762 |
| 08:45 AM | 11 | 160 | 12 | 1 | 16 | 25 | 13 | 0 | 23 | 276 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 63 | 45 | 0 | 646 |
| Total | 38 | 720 | 36 | 2 | 65 | 123 | 45 | 0 | 101 | 1348 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 275 | 221 | 0 | 2983 |


| 04:00 PM | 20 | 160 | 6 | 1 | 21 | 72 | 39 | 0 | 18 | 235 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 21 | 0 | 617 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 34 | 308 | 20 | 0 | 16 | 57 | 27 | 1 | 16 | 237 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 18 | 0 | 760 |
| 04:30 PM | 32 | 354 | 11 | 0 | 13 | 64 | 36 | 0 | 22 | 271 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 21 | 17 | 0 | 846 |
| 04:45 PM | 38 | 363 | 17 | 2 | 13 | 58 | 28 | 0 | 20 | 277 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 35 | 22 | 0 | 882 |
| Total | 124 | 1185 | 54 | 3 | 63 | 251 | 130 | 1 | 76 | 1020 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 100 | 78 | 0 | 3105 |
| 05:00 PM | 25 | 357 | 10 | 0 | 14 | 80 | 46 | 0 | 18 | 253 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 41 | 18 | 0 | 864 |
| 05:15 PM | 20 | 364 | 20 | 0 | 7 | 34 | 32 | 0 | 21 | 229 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 26 | 18 | 0 | 775 |
| 05:30 PM | 21 | 264 | 21 | 0 | 13 | 27 | 21 | 0 | 23 | 207 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 18 | 2 | 646 |
| 05:45 PM | 19 | 200 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 38 | 19 | 0 | 17 | 205 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 37 | 15 | 0 | 578 |
| Total | 85 | 1185 | 63 | 0 | 46 | 179 | 118 | 0 | 79 | 894 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 129 | 69 | 2 | 2863 |


| Grand Total | 272 | 3484 | 182 | 6 | 207 | 629 | 325 | 1 | 321 | 4199 | 10 | 4 | 44 | 668 | 525 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Apprch \% | 6.9 | 88.3 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 17.8 | 54.1 | 28 | 0.1 | 7.1 | 92.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 53.9 | 42.4 |
| Total \% | 2.5 | 32 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 5.8 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 38.6 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.4 | 6.1 | 4.8 |
| 0 | 0879 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

City of St. J ohn's
Department of Engineering
Traffic division

Columbus Dr @ Mundy Pond Rd
Turning Movement Count
April 172013
Power outage 7:00-7:34

File Name : Not Named 1
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/04/17
Page No : 2


Department of Engineering
Traffic division

Columbus Dr @ Mundy Pond Rd
Turning Movement Count
April 172013
Power outage 7:00-7:34

File Name : Not Named Site Code : 00000000 Start Date : 2013/04/17
Page No : 3

|  | COLUMBUS DRIVE Southbound |  |  |  |  | MUNDY POND RD Westbound |  |  |  |  | COLUMBUS DRIVE Northbound |  |  |  |  | MUNDY POND RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for | Entire | Interse | tion B | gins | t 07:45 A | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 AM | 12 | 160 | 14 | 1 | 187 | 7 | 26 | 15 | 0 | 48 | 22 | 349 | 1 | 0 | 372 | 5 | 60 | 44 | 0 | 109 | 716 |
| 08:00 AM | 7 | 194 | 10 | 0 | 211 | 7 | 30 | 8 | 0 | 45 | 26 | 343 | 0 | 1 | 370 | 2 | 61 | 55 | 0 | 118 | 744 |
| 08:15 AM | 13 | 195 | 6 | 1 | 215 | 19 | 28 | 12 | 0 | 59 | 38 | 382 | 0 | 0 | 420 | 3 | 76 | 58 | 0 | 137 | 831 |
| 08:30 AM | 7 | 171 | 8 | 0 | 186 | 23 | 40 | 12 | 0 | 75 | 14 | 347 | 0 | 0 | 361 | 2 | 75 | 63 | 0 | 140 | 762 |
| Total Volume | 39 | 720 | 38 | 2 | 799 | 56 | 124 | 47 | 0 | 227 | 100 | 1421 | 1 | 1 | 1523 | 12 | 272 | 220 | 0 | 504 | 3053 |
| \% App. Total | 4.9 | 90.1 | 4.8 | 0.3 |  | 24.7 | 54.6 | 20.7 | 0 |  | 6.6 | 93.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  | 2.4 | 54 | 43.7 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 750 | . 923 | . 679 | . 500 | . 929 | . 609 | . 775 | . 783 | . 000 | . 757 | . 658 | . 930 | . 250 | . 250 | . 907 | . 600 | . 895 | . 873 | . 000 | . 900 | . 918 |



Department of Engineering
Traffic division

Columbus Dr @ Mundy Pond Rd
Turning Movement Count
April 172013
Power outage 7:00-7:34

File Name : Not Named Site Code : 00000000 Start Date : 2013/04/17 Page No : 4

|  | COLUMBUS DRIVE Southbound |  |  |  |  | MUNDY POND RD Westbound |  |  |  |  | COLUMBUS DRIVE Northbound |  |  |  |  | MUNDY POND RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 PM | 32 | 354 | 11 | 0 | 397 | 13 | 64 | 36 | 0 | 113 | 22 | 271 | 2 | 0 | 295 | 3 | 21 | 17 | 0 | 41 | 846 |
| 04:45 PM | 38 | 363 | 17 | 2 | 420 | 13 | 58 | 28 | 0 | 99 | 20 | 277 | 2 | 0 | 299 | 7 | 35 | 22 | 0 | 64 | 882 |
| 05:00 PM | 25 | 357 | 10 | 0 | 392 | 14 | 80 | 46 | 0 | 140 | 18 | 253 | 0 | 0 | 271 | 2 | 41 | 18 | 0 | 61 | 864 |
| 05:15 PM | 20 | 364 | 20 | 0 | 404 | 7 | 34 | 32 | 0 | 73 | 21 | 229 | 0 | 2 | 252 | 2 | 26 | 18 | 0 | 46 | 775 |
| Total Volume | 115 | 1438 | 58 | 2 | 1613 | 47 | 236 | 142 | 0 | 425 | 81 | 1030 | 4 | 2 | 1117 | 14 | 123 | 75 | 0 | 212 | 3367 |
| \% App. Total | 7.1 | 89.2 | 3.6 | 0.1 |  | 11.1 | 55.5 | 33.4 | 0 |  | 7.3 | 92.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 |  | 6.6 | 58 | 35.4 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | 757 | . 988 | . 725 | . 250 | . 960 | . 839 | . 738 | . 772 | . 000 | . 759 | . 920 | . 930 | . 500 | . 250 | . 934 | . 500 | 750 | . 852 | . 000 | . 828 | 954 |



# City of St. J ohn's <br> Department of Engineering <br> Traffic division 

Columbus Drive @ Thorburn Rd
March 14, 2013
Turning Movement Count

File Name : Columbus Dr @ Thorburn Road March 142013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/03/14
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | COLUMBUS DR Southbound |  |  |  | THORBURN RD Westbound |  |  |  | COLUMBUS DR Northbound |  |  |  | THORBURN RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 07:00 AM | 23 | 66 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 136 | 38 | 0 | 29 | 21 | 105 | 0 | 458 |
| 07:15 AM | 35 | 84 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 185 | 38 | 0 | 31 | 24 | 152 | 1 | 615 |
| 07:30 AM | 53 | 123 | 5 | 0 | 16 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 232 | 66 | 1 | 50 | 47 | 137 | 0 | 825 |
| 07:45 AM | 71 | 196 | 14 | 0 | 18 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 301 | 96 | 1 | 80 | 48 | 134 | 0 | 1086 |
| Total | 182 | 469 | 21 | 0 | 50 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 221 | 854 | 238 | 2 | 190 | 140 | 528 | 1 | 2984 |
| 08:00 AM | 46 | 156 | 11 | 0 | 18 | 42 | 0 | 1 | 56 | 288 | 100 | 3 | 91 | 85 | 136 | 0 | 1033 |
| 08:15 AM | 48 | 133 | 12 | 0 | 18 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 327 | 108 | 2 | 77 | 90 | 130 | 0 | 1092 |
| 08:30 AM | 56 | 145 | 11 | 0 | 15 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 318 | 97 | 2 | 76 | 77 | 158 | 1 | 1100 |
| 08:45 AM | 51 | 143 | 9 | 0 | 22 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 266 | 99 | 0 | 63 | 63 | 124 | 1 | 982 |
| Total | 201 | 577 | 43 | 0 | 73 | 229 | 0 | 1 | 301 | 1199 | 404 | 7 | 307 | 315 | 548 | 2 | 4207 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 66 | 145 | 13 | 0 | 27 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 133 | 87 | 1 | 135 | 74 | 121 | 1 | 953 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 162 | 294 | 19 | 0 | 34 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 148 | 75 | 3 | 96 | 42 | 106 | 3 | 1140 |
| 04:30 PM | 137 | 295 | 13 | 0 | 26 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 192 | 78 | 5 | 142 | 68 | 114 | 0 | 1240 |
| 04:45 PM | 128 | 285 | 11 | 0 | 30 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 159 | 96 | 5 | 113 | 60 | 119 | 1 | 1167 |
| Total | 493 | 1019 | 56 | 0 | 117 | 368 | 0 | 0 | 270 | 632 | 336 | 14 | 486 | 244 | 460 | 5 | 4500 |
| 05:00 PM | 144 | 307 | 11 | 0 | 34 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 175 | 84 | 3 | 128 | 74 | 99 | 13 | 1220 |
| 05:15 PM | 110 | 270 | 15 | 0 | 19 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 165 | 103 | 2 | 95 | 42 | 96 | 0 | 1051 |
| 05:30 PM | 108 | 243 | 12 | 0 | 9 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 129 | 84 | 2 | 72 | 45 | 83 | 1 | 903 |
| 05:45 PM | 79 | 177 | 7 | 0 | 18 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 127 | 81 | 3 | 66 | 37 | 90 | 2 | 811 |
| Total | 441 | 997 | 45 | 0 | 80 | 290 | 0 | 0 | 231 | 596 | 352 | 10 | 361 | 198 | 368 | 16 | 3985 |
| Grand Total | 1317 | 3062 | 165 | 0 | 320 | 975 | 0 | 1 | 1023 | 3281 | 1330 | 33 | 1344 | 897 | 1904 | 24 | 15676 |
| Apprch \% | 29 | 67.4 | 3.6 | 0 | 24.7 | 75.2 | 0 | 0.1 | 18.1 | 57.9 | 23.5 | 0.6 | 32.2 | 21.5 | 45.7 | 0.6 |  |
| Total \% | 8.4 | 19.5 | 1.1 | 0 | 2 | 6.2 | 0 | 0 | 6.5 | 20.9 | 8.5 | 0.2 | 8.6 | 5.7 | 12.1 | 0.2 |  |

Columbus Drive @ Thorburn Rd
March 14, 2013
Turning Movement Count

File Name : Columbus Dr @ Thorburn Road March 142013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/03/14
Page No : 2


Columbus Drive @ Thorburn Rd
March 14, 2013
Turning Movement Count

File Name : Columbus Dr @ Thorburn Road March 142013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/03/14
Page No : 3

|  | COLUMBUS DR Southbound |  |  |  |  | THORBURN RD Westbound |  |  |  |  | COLUMBUS DR Northbound |  |  |  |  | THORBURN RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 AM | 71 | 196 | 14 |  | 281 | 18 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 AM | 46 | 156 | 11 | 0 | 213 | 18 | 42 | 0 | 1 | 61 | 56 | 288 | 100 | 3 | 447 | 91 | 85 | 136 | 0 | 312 | 1033 |
| 08:15 AM | 48 | 133 | 12 | 0 | 193 | 18 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 86 | 327 | 108 | 2 | 523 | 77 | 90 | 130 | 0 | 297 | 1092 |
| 08:30 AM | 56 | 145 | 11 | 0 | 212 | 15 | 69 |  |  | 84 | 75 | 318 | 97 | 2 | 492 | 76 | 77 | 158 | 1 |  | 1100 |
| Total Volume \% App. Total | 221 | 630 | 48 | 0 | 899 | 69 | 210 | 0 | 1 | 280 | 306 | 1234 | 401 | 8 | 1949 | 324 | 300 | 558 | 1 | 1183 | 4311 |
| PHF | . 778 | . 804 | . 857 | . 000 | . 800 | 958 | . 761 | . 000 | 250 | 833 | . 860 | . 943 | . 928 | . 667 | . 932 | . 890 | . 833 | . 883 | . 250 | 948 | . 980 |



Columbus Drive @ Thorburn Rd
March 14, 2013
Turning Movement Count

File Name : Columbus Dr @ Thorburn Road March 142013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/03/14
Page No : 4

|  | COLUMBUS DR Southbound |  |  |  |  | THORBURN RD Westbound |  |  |  |  | COLUMBUS DR <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | THORBURN RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:15 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15 PM | 162 |  | 19 |  | 475 | 34 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 69 | 148 | 75 | 3 | 295 | 96 | 42 | 106 | 3 | 247 | 1140 |
| 04:30 PM | 137 | 295 | 13 | 0 | 445 | 26 | 106 |  |  | 132 | 64 | 192 |  | 5 | 339 | 142 |  |  |  | 324 | 1240 |
| 04:45 PM | 128 | 285 | 11 | 0 | 424 | 30 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 76 |  | 96 | 5 | 336 | 113 | 60 | 119 |  |  |  |
| 05:00 PM | 144 | 307 | 11 | 0 | 462 | 34 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 112 | 70 | 175 | 84 | 3 | 332 | 128 | 74 | 99 | 13 | 314 | 1220 |
| Total Volume | 571 | 1181 | 54 | 0 | 1806 | 124 | 357 | 0 | 0 | 481 | 279 | 674 | 333 | 16 | 1302 | 479 | 244 | 438 | 17 | 1178 | 4767 |
| \% App. Total | 31.6 | 65.4 | 3 | 0 |  | 25.8 | 74.2 | 0 | 0 |  | 21.4 | 51.8 | 25.6 | 1.2 |  | 40.7 | 20.7 | 37.2 | 1.4 |  |  |
| PHF | . 881 | . 962 | . 711 | . 000 | . 951 | . 912 | . 842 | . 000 | . 000 | . 911 | 918 | . 878 | . 867 | . 800 | . 960 | . 843 | . 824 | . 920 | . 327 | . 909 | 961 |



Department of Public Works
Traffic Division

Columbus Dr @ Old Pennywell Rd
Turning Movement Count
April 112013

File Name : Columbus @ Empire Pennywell 2013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/04/11
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | COLUMBUS DR From North |  |  |  | OLD PENNYWELL From East |  |  |  | COLUMBUS DR From South |  |  |  | OLD PENNYWELL From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 5 | 78 | 18 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 28 | 166 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 23 | 26 | 0 | 377 |
| 07:15 AM | 6 | 138 | 21 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 21 | 145 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 25 | 23 | 0 | 412 |
| 07:30 AM | 5 | 147 | 23 | 3 | 38 | 8 | 19 | 0 | 34 | 313 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 29 | 31 | 1 | 659 |
| 07:45 AM | 15 | 173 | 37 | 1 | 30 | 6 | 30 | 0 | 65 | 371 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 40 | 48 | 0 | 833 |
| Total | 31 | 536 | 99 | 4 | 87 | 22 | 63 | 0 | 148 | 995 | 16 | 0 | 34 | 117 | 128 | 1 | 2281 |
| 08:00 AM | 12 | 176 | 41 | 2 | 33 | 12 | 40 | 0 | 51 | 391 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 40 | 43 | 0 | 850 |
| 08:15 AM | 7 | 212 | 48 | 6 | 35 | 11 | 46 | 0 | 83 | 418 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 52 | 46 | 1 | 977 |
| 08:30 AM | 9 | 148 | 40 | 3 | 32 | 11 | 39 | 0 | 75 | 377 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 42 | 44 | 2 | 829 |
| 08:45 AM | 7 | 177 | 47 | 2 | 25 | 4 | 33 | 0 | 51 | 334 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 31 | 29 | 1 | 756 |
| Total | 35 | 713 | 176 | 13 | 125 | 38 | 158 | 0 | 260 | 1520 | 12 | 1 | 30 | 165 | 162 | 4 | 3412 |


| 04:00 PM | 44 | 367 | 44 | 2 | 29 | 36 | 90 | 0 | 47 | 235 | 8 | 0 | 28 | 44 | 29 | 0 | 1003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 27 | 326 | 45 | 0 | 33 | 54 | 105 | 0 | 46 | 249 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 27 | 28 | 0 | 963 |
| 04:30 PM | 39 | 366 | 55 | 0 | 42 | 53 | 132 | 0 | 51 | 214 | 10 | 0 | 45 | 52 | 26 | 0 | 1085 |
| 04:45 PM | 53 | 374 | 48 | 3 | 24 | 43 | 94 | 0 | 40 | 259 | 11 | 0 | 47 | 39 | 39 | 0 | 1074 |
| Total | 163 | 1433 | 192 | 5 | 128 | 186 | 421 | 0 | 184 | 957 | 34 | 0 | 138 | 162 | 122 | 0 | 4125 |
| 05:00 PM | 46 | 392 | 60 | 1 | 27 | 40 | 117 | 0 | 35 | 260 | 3 | 1 | 52 | 27 | 23 | 0 | 1084 |
| 05:15 PM | 26 | 325 | 51 | 6 | 21 | 25 | 79 | 0 | 45 | 250 | 11 | 3 | 30 | 24 | 29 | 0 | 925 |
| 05:30 PM | 27 | 265 | 50 | 2 | 28 | 31 | 81 | 0 | 42 | 197 | 9 | 0 | 24 | 31 | 29 | 0 | 816 |
| 05:45 PM | 16 | 206 | 32 | 2 | 34 | 26 | 55 | 0 | 35 | 202 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 30 | 32 | 0 | 697 |
| Total | 115 | 1188 | 193 | 11 | 110 | 122 | 332 | 0 | 157 | 909 | 29 | 4 | 127 | 112 | 113 | 0 | 3522 |
| Grand Total | 344 | 3870 | 660 | 33 | 450 | 368 | 974 | 0 | 749 | 4381 | 91 | 5 | 329 | 556 | 525 | 5 | 13340 |
| Apprch \% | 7 | 78.9 | 13.5 | 0.7 | 25.1 | 20.5 | 54.4 | 0 | 14.3 | 83.8 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 23.3 | 39.3 | 37.1 | 0.4 |  |
| Total \% | 2.6 | 29 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 7.3 | 0 | 5.6 | 32.8 | 0.7 | 0 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 0 |  |

## City Of St. J ohn's

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division

Columbus Dr @ Old Pennywell Rd Turning Movement Count April 112013

File Name : Columbus @ Empire Pennywell 2013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/04/11
Page No : 2


## City Of St. J ohn's

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division

Columbus Dr @ Old Pennywell Rd Turning Movement Count April 112013

File Name : Columbus @ Empire Pennywell 2013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/04/11
Page No : 3

|  | COLUMBUS DR From North |  |  |  |  | OLD PENNYWELL From East |  |  |  |  | COLUMBUS DR From South |  |  |  |  | OLD PENNYWELL From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Ap. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 AM | 15 | 173 | 37 | 1 | 226 | 30 | 6 | 30 | 0 | 66 | 65 | 371 | 3 | 0 | 439 | 14 | 40 | 48 | 0 | 102 | 833 |
| 08:00 AM | 12 | 176 | 41 | 2 | 231 | 33 | 12 | 40 | 0 | 85 | 51 | 391 | 3 | 0 | 445 | 6 | 40 | 43 | 0 | 89 | 850 |
| 08:15 AM | 7 | 212 | 48 | 6 | 273 | 35 | 11 | 46 | 0 | 92 | 83 | 418 | 2 | 1 | 504 | 9 | 52 | 46 | 1 | 108 | 977 |
| 08:30 AM | 9 | 148 | 40 | 3 | 200 | 32 | 11 | 39 | 0 | 82 | 75 | 377 |  | 0 | 454 | 5 | 42 | 44 | 2 | 93 | 829 |
| Total Volume | 43 | 709 | 166 | 12 | 930 | 130 | 40 | 155 | 0 | 325 | 274 | 1557 | 10 | 1 | 1842 | 34 | 174 | 181 | 3 | 392 | 3489 |
| \% App. Total | 4.6 | 76.2 | 17.8 | 1.3 |  | 40 | 12.3 | 47.7 | 0 |  | 14.9 | 84.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 |  | 8.7 | 44.4 | 46.2 | 0.8 |  |  |
| PHF | . 717 | . 836 | . 865 | . 500 | . 852 | . 929 | . 833 | . 842 | . 000 | . 883 | . 825 | . 931 | . 833 | 250 | . 914 | 607 | . 837 | . 943 | . 375 | . 907 | 893 |



## City Of St. J ohn's

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division

Columbus Dr @ Old Pennywell Rd Turning Movement Count
April 112013

File Name : Columbus @ Empire Pennywell 2013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/04/11
Page No : 4

|  | COLUMBUS DR From North |  |  |  |  | OLD PENNYWELL From East |  |  |  |  | COLUMBUS DR From South |  |  |  |  | OLD PENNYWELL From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:15 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15 PM | 27 | 326 | 45 | 0 | 398 | 33 | 54 | 105 | 0 | 192 | 46 | 249 | 5 | 0 | 300 | 18 | 27 | 28 | 0 | 73 | 963 |
| 04:30 PM | 39 | 366 | 55 | 0 | 460 | 42 | 53 | 132 | 0 | 227 | 51 | 214 | 10 | 0 | 275 | 45 | 52 | 26 | 0 | 123 | 1085 |
| 04:45 PM | 53 | 374 | 48 | 3 | 478 | 24 | 43 | 94 | 0 | 161 | 40 | 259 | 11 | 0 | 310 | 47 | 39 | 39 | 0 | 125 | 1074 |
| 05:00 PM | 46 | 392 | 60 | 1 | 499 | 27 | 40 | 117 | 0 | 184 | 35 | 260 | 3 | 1 | 299 | 52 | 27 | 23 | 0 | 102 | 1084 |
| Total Volume | 165 | 1458 | 208 | 4 | 1835 | 126 | 190 | 448 | 0 | 764 | 172 | 982 | 29 | 1 | 1184 | 162 | 145 | 116 | 0 | 423 | 4206 |
| \% App. Total | 9 | 79.5 | 11.3 | 0.2 |  | 16.5 | 24.9 | 58.6 | 0 |  | 14.5 | 82.9 | 2.4 | 0.1 |  | 38.3 | 34.3 | 27.4 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | 778 | 930 | . 867 | . 333 | . 919 | 750 | . 880 | . 848 | . 000 | . 841 | 843 | . 944 | . 659 | . 250 | . 955 | 779 | . 697 | . 744 | . 000 | . 846 | 969 |



## Kenmount Road @ Avalon Mall October 16, 2012 <br> Turning Movement Count

File Name : Kenmount @ Avalon Mall 16 Oct 2012
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2012/10/16
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | AVALON MALL <br> Southbound |  |  |  | KENMOUNT ROAD Westbound |  |  |  | AVALON MALL <br> Northbound |  |  |  | KENMOUNT ROAD <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 2 | 1 | 203 |
| 07:15 AM | 1 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 16 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 3 | 5 | 290 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 17 | 240 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 148 | 4 | 6 | 441 |
| 07:45 AM | 2 | 1 | 22 | 2 | 38 | 271 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 208 | 3 | 9 | 562 |
| Total | 4 | 3 | 54 | 3 | 78 | 743 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 569 | 12 | 21 | 1496 |
| 08:00 AM | 3 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 32 | 212 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 231 | 6 | 0 | 501 |
| 08:15 AM | 2 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 31 | 279 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 7 | 5 | 566 |
| 08:30 AM | 3 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 43 | 246 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 247 | 4 | 1 | 574 |
| 08:45 AM | 6 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 38 | 246 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 215 | 10 | 7 | 555 |
| Total | 14 | 2 | 84 | 1 | 144 | 983 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 910 | 27 | 13 | 2196 |

***BREAK***

| 04:00 PM | 29 | 2 | 74 | 0 | 73 | 253 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 276 | 20 | 17 | 766 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 29 | 2 | 66 | 0 | 72 | 285 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 263 | 14 | 15 | 767 |
| 04:30 PM | 40 | 1 | 83 | 0 | 69 | 259 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 319 | 10 | 13 | 812 |
| 04:45 PM | 21 | 1 | 80 | 0 | 109 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 283 | 19 | 26 | 802 |
| Total | 119 | 6 | 303 | 0 | 323 | 1047 | 11 | 0 | 30 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 19 | 1141 | 63 | 71 | 3147 |
| 05:00 PM | 35 | 3 | 87 | 0 | 71 | 247 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 305 | 20 | 8 | 792 |
| 05:15 PM | 24 | 2 | 64 | 0 | 93 | 280 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 229 | 16 | 14 | 739 |
| 05:30 PM | 16 | 1 | 68 | 0 | 68 | 199 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 213 | 24 | 21 | 618 |
| 05:45 PM | 19 | 1 | 54 | 0 | 76 | 194 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 182 | 14 | 8 | 564 |
| Total | 94 | 7 | 273 | 0 | 308 | 920 | 12 | 0 | 22 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 929 | 74 | 51 | 2713 |
| Grand Total | 231 | 18 | 714 | 4 | 853 | 3693 | 32 | 0 | 60 | 7 | 22 | 0 | 37 | 3549 | 176 | 156 | 9552 |
| Apprch \% | 23.9 | 1.9 | 73.8 | 0.4 | 18.6 | 80.7 | 0.7 | 0 | 67.4 | 7.9 | 24.7 | 0 | 0.9 | 90.6 | 4.5 | 4 |  |
| Total \% | 2.4 | 0.2 | 7.5 | 0 | 8.9 | 38.7 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.4 | 37.2 | 1.8 | 1.6 |  |

City of St. J ohn's
Department of Engineering
Traffic division
File Name: Kenmount @ Avalon Mall 16 Oct 2012
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2012/10/16
Page No : 2


File Name : Kenmount @ Avalon Mall 16 Oct 2012
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2012/10/16
Page No : 3

|  | AVALON MALL Southbound |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT ROAD <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | AVALON MALL <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT ROAD <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Enti 07:45 AM | $\begin{gathered} \text { Intersectic } \\ \mathbf{2} \end{gathered}$ | Begins | $\begin{array}{r} 07: 45 \\ 22 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{M}$ | ${ }_{27}$ | 38 | 271 | 2 | 0 | 311 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 208 | 3 | 9 | 220 | 562 |
| 08:00 AM | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 231 | 6 | 0 | 238 | 501 |
| 08:15 AM | 2 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 23 | 31 | 279 |  |  | 312 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 5 | 229 | 566 |
| 08:30 AM | 3 | 1 | 23 |  |  | 43 | 246 | 1 | 0 | 290 | 3 | 0 | 2 |  | 5 | 0 | 247 |  |  | 252 | 574 |
| Total Volume | 10 | 3 | 78 | 3 | 94 | 144 | 1008 | 6 | 0 | 1158 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 903 | 20 | 15 | 939 | 2203 |
| \% App. Total | 10.6 | 3.2 | 83 | 3.2 |  | 12.4 | 87 | 0.5 | 0 |  | 58.3 | 0 | 41.7 | 0 |  | 0.1 | 96.2 | 2.1 | 1.6 |  |  |
| PHF | . 833 | . 750 | . 848 | . 375 | . 870 | . 837 | . 903 | . 750 | . 000 | . 928 | . 583 | . 000 | . 625 | . 000 | . 600 | 250 | . 914 | . 714 | . 417 | . 932 | 959 |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Data <br> Peak Hour Begins at 07:45 AM <br> Unshifted |  |
|  |  |  |

File Name: Kenmount @ Avalon Mall 16 Oct 2012
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2012/10/16
Page No : 4

|  | AVALON MALL Southbound |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT ROAD Westbound |  |  |  |  | AVALON MALL <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT ROAD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entir 04:15 PM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Intersecti } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Begins } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 04:15 P } \\ \mathbf{6 6} \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 97 | 72 | ${ }^{285}$ | 6 | 0 | ${ }_{36} 3$ | 6 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 263 | 14 | 15 | 296 | 767 |
| 04:30 PM | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  | 14 | 3 | 319 |  |  | 345 | 812 |
| 04:45 PM | 21 | 1 | 80 | 0 | 102 | 109 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 359 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 283 | 19 | 26 | 333 | 802 |
| 05:00 PM | 35 | 3 | 87 |  | 125 | 71 | 247 | 0 | 0 | 318 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 305 | 20 | 8 | 341 | 792 |
| Total Volume | 125 | 7 | 316 | 0 | 448 | 321 | 1041 | 7 | 0 | 1369 | 26 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 41 | 20 | 1170 | 63 | 62 | 1315 | 3173 |
| \% App. Total | 27.9 | 1.6 | 70.5 | 0 |  | 23.4 | 76 | 0.5 | 0 |  | 63.4 | 9.8 | 26.8 | 0 |  | 1.5 | 89 | 4.8 | 4.7 |  |  |
| PHF | . 781 | . 583 | . 908 | . 000 | . 896 | . 736 | . 913 | . 292 | . 000 | . 943 | . 650 | 1.00 | . 688 | . 000 | 732 | . 625 | . 917 | . 788 | . 596 | 953 | . 977 |



# City Of St. J ohn's <br> Department of Public Works 

Traffic Division

## Kenmount Road @ Great Eastern Ave Turn Movement Count March 2, 2011

File Name : Kenmount @ Great Eastern March 2011 Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2011/03/02
Page No :1

| Groups Printed- Unshifted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GREAT EASTERN From North |  |  |  | KENMOUNT From East |  |  |  | GREAT EASTERN From South |  |  |  | KENMOUNT From West |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 07:30 AM | 15 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 78 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 391 | 16 | 0 | 529 |
| 07:45 AM | 21 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 235 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 476 | 9 | 0 | 760 |
| Total | 36 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 313 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 867 | 25 | 0 | 1289 |
| 08:00 AM | 22 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 193 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 484 | 22 | 0 | 737 |
| 08:15 AM | 23 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 187 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 470 | 11 | 0 | 710 |
| 08:30 AM | 15 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 207 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 440 | 21 | 0 | 700 |
| 08:45 AM | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 172 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 319 | 14 | 0 | 530 |
| Total | 69 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 759 | 26 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 1713 | 68 | 0 | 2677 |
| 09:00 AM | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 171 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 270 | 5 | 0 | 469 |
| 09:15 AM | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 186 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 246 | 7 | 0 | 465 |
| Total | 22 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 357 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 516 | 12 | 0 | 934 |


| 04:00 PM | 14 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 402 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 222 | 21 | 0 | 679 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 12 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 375 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 13 | 0 | 656 |
| 04:30 PM | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 430 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 242 | 11 | 0 | 726 |
| 04:45 PM | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 421 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 231 | 18 | 0 | 699 |
| Total | 48 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 12 | 1628 | 14 | 0 | 25 | 2 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 927 | 63 | 0 | 2760 |
| 05:00 PM | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 384 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 263 | 19 | 0 | 702 |
| 05:15 PM | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 331 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 257 | 22 | 0 | 648 |
| 05:30 PM | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 318 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 214 | 16 | 0 | 580 |
| 05:45 PM | 18 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 284 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 208 | 14 | 0 | 531 |
| Total | 54 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 16 | 1317 | 12 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 23 | 0 | 2 | 942 | 71 | 0 | 2461 |
| Grand Total | 229 | 4 | 32 | 0 | 58 | 4374 | 70 | 0 | 54 | 8 | 66 | 0 | 22 | 4965 | 239 | 0 | 10121 |
| Apprch \% | 86.4 | 1.5 | 12.1 | 0 | 1.3 | 97.2 | 1.6 | 0 | 42.2 | 6.2 | 51.6 | 0 | 0.4 | 95 | 4.6 | 0 |  |
| Total \% | 2.3 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.6 | 43.2 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.2 | 49.1 | 2.4 | 0 |  |

## City Of St. J ohn's

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : Kenmount @ Great Eastern March 2011
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2011/03/02
Page No : 2


## City Of St. J ohn's

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : Kenmount @ Great Eastern March 2011
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2011/03/02
Page No : 3

|  | GREAT EASTERN From North |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT From East |  |  |  |  | GREAT EASTERN From South |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:30 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 AM | 21 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 2 | 235 | 9 | 0 | 246 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 476 | 9 | 0 | 489 | 760 |
| 08:00 AM | 22 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 25 | 4 | 193 | 6 | 0 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 484 | 22 | 0 | 508 | 737 |
| 08:15 AM | 23 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 187 | 9 | 0 | 198 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 470 | 11 | 0 | 481 | 710 |
| 08:30 AM | 15 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 207 | 6 | 0 | 215 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 440 | 21 | 0 | 461 | 700 |
| Total Volume | 81 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 91 | 10 | 822 | 30 | 0 | 862 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 15 | 6 | 1870 | 63 | 0 | 1939 | 2907 |
| \% App. Total | 89 | 1.1 | 9.9 | 0 |  | 1.2 | 95.4 | 3.5 | 0 |  | 40 | 0 | 60 | 0 |  | 0.3 | 96.4 | 3.2 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 880 | . 250 | . 563 | . 000 | . 910 | . 625 | . 874 | . 833 | . 000 | . 876 | . 300 | . 000 | . 450 | . 000 | . 536 | . 375 | . 966 | . 716 | . 000 | . 954 | . 956 |



# City Of St. J ohn's 

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : Kenmount @ Great Eastern March 2011
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2011/03/02
Page No : 4

|  | GREAT EASTERN From North |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT From East |  |  |  |  | GREAT EASTERN From South |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT <br> From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:15 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15 PM | 12 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 5 | 375 | 5 | 0 | 385 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 232 | 13 | 0 | 245 | 656 |
| 04:30 PM | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 430 | 3 | 0 | 434 | 15 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 242 | 11 | 0 | 254 | 726 |
| 04:45 PM | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 421 | 1 | 0 | 426 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 231 | 18 | 0 | 249 | 699 |
| 05:00 PM | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 5 | 384 | 3 | 0 | 392 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 263 | 19 | 0 | 282 | 702 |
| Total Volume | 44 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 54 | 15 | 1610 | 12 | 0 | 1637 | 26 | 2 | 34 | 0 | 62 | 1 | 968 | 61 | 0 | 1030 | 2783 |
| \% App. Total | 81.5 | 1.9 | 16.7 | 0 |  | 0.9 | 98.4 | 0.7 | 0 |  | 41.9 | 3.2 | 54.8 | 0 |  | 0.1 | 94 | 5.9 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 917 | . 250 | . 750 | . 000 | . 844 | . 750 | . 936 | . 600 | . 000 | . 943 | . 433 | . 500 | . 850 | . 000 | . 620 | 250 | . 920 | . 803 | . 000 | . 913 | . 958 |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Data <br> Peak Hour Begins at 04:15 PM <br> Unshifted |  |
|  |  |  |

# City Of St. J ohn's 

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division

## Kenmount Road @ Kelsey Drive <br> Turning Movement Count

File Name : Not Named
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/06/18
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | KELSEY DR <br> From North |  |  |  | KENMOUNT RD From East |  |  |  | KELSEY DR <br> From South |  |  |  | KENMOUNT RD From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 22 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 13 | 82 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 226 | 23 | 0 | 380 |
| 07:15 AM | 39 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 10 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 269 | 25 | 0 | 502 |
| 07:30 AM | 82 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 28 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 411 | 32 | 0 | 734 |
| 07:45 AM | 76 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 242 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 480 | 57 | 0 | 885 |
| Total | 219 | 0 | 49 | 1 | 66 | 640 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1386 | 137 | 0 | 2501 |
| 08:00 AM | 72 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 35 | 236 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 448 | 44 | 0 | 848 |
| 08:15 AM | 69 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 29 | 194 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 463 | 62 | 0 | 830 |
| 08:30 AM | 59 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 32 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 443 | 50 | 0 | 820 |
| 08:45 AM | 71 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 33 | 199 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 375 | 59 | 0 | 769 |
| Total | 271 | 1 | 80 | 0 | 129 | 832 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1729 | 215 | 0 | 3267 |


| 04:00 PM | 163 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 85 | 356 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 274 | 67 | 0 | 1050 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 179 | 6 | 60 | 0 | 102 | 338 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 247 | 92 | 0 | 1027 |
| 04:30 PM | 153 | 1 | 80 | 2 | 89 | 404 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 353 | 76 | 0 | 1158 |
| 04:45 PM | 184 | 0 | 68 | 2 | 105 | 321 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 317 | 117 | 0 | 1115 |
| Total | 679 | 7 | 305 | 4 | 381 | 1419 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1191 | 352 | 0 | 4350 |


| 05:00 PM | 152 | 0 | 68 | 1 | 98 | 357 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 317 | 74 | 0 | 1068 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 128 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 85 | 310 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 244 | 95 | 0 | 933 |
| 05:30 PM | 148 | 0 | 45 | 1 | 93 | 264 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 235 | 84 | 0 | 871 |
| 05:45 PM | 113 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 76 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 78 | 0 | 754 |
| Total | 541 | 0 | 226 | 2 | 352 | 1143 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1029 | 331 | 0 | 3626 |


| Grand Total | 1710 | 8 | 660 | 7 | 928 | 4034 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5335 | 1035 | 0 | 13744 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Apprch \% | 71.7 | 0.3 | 27.7 | 0.3 | 18.6 | 81.1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 72.7 | 9.1 | 18.2 | 0 | 83.7 | 16.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

City Of St. J ohn's
Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : Not Named
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/06/18
Page No :2


City Of St. J ohn's
Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : Not Named
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/06/18
Page No : 3

|  | KELSEY DR <br> From North |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT RD From East |  |  |  |  | KELSEY DR <br> From South |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT RD From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 AM | 76 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 91 | 15 | 242 | 0 | 0 | 257 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 480 | 57 | 0 | 537 | 885 |
| 08:00 AM | 72 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 85 | 35 | 236 | 0 | 0 | 271 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 448 | 44 | 0 | 492 | 848 |
| 08:15 AM | 69 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 82 | 29 | 194 | 0 | 0 | 223 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 463 | 62 | 0 | 525 | 830 |
| 08:30 AM | 59 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 92 | 32 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 235 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 443 | 50 | 0 | 493 | 820 |
| Total Volume | 276 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 350 | 111 | 875 | 0 | 0 | 986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1834 | 213 | 0 | 2047 | 3383 |
| \% App. Total | 78.9 | 0 | 21.1 | 0 |  | 11.3 | 88.7 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 89.6 | 10.4 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 908 | . 000 | . 561 | . 000 | . 951 | . 793 | . 904 | . 000 | . 000 | . 910 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 955 | . 859 | . 000 | . 953 | . 956 |



# City Of St. J ohn's 

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : Not Named
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/06/18
Page No : 4

|  | KELSEY DR <br> From North |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT RD From East |  |  |  |  | KELSEY DR <br> From South |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT RD From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:15 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15 PM | 179 | 6 | 60 | 0 | 245 | 102 | 338 | 2 | 0 | 442 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 247 | 92 | 0 | 340 | 1027 |
| 04:30 PM | 153 | 1 | 80 | 2 | 236 | 89 | 404 | 0 | 0 | 493 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 353 | 76 | 0 | 429 | 1158 |
| 04:45 PM | 184 | 0 | 68 | 2 | 254 | 105 | 321 | 0 | 0 | 426 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 317 | 117 | 0 | 434 | 1115 |
| 05:00 PM | 152 | 0 | 68 | 1 | 221 | 98 | 357 | 0 | 0 | 455 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 317 | 74 | 0 | 391 | 1068 |
| Total Volume | 668 | 7 | 276 | 5 | 956 | 394 | 1420 | 2 | 0 | 1816 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1234 | 359 | 0 | 1594 | 4368 |
| \% App. Total | 69.9 | 0.7 | 28.9 | 0.5 |  | 21.7 | 78.2 | 0.1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 50 | 50 |  | 0.1 | 77.4 | 22.5 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 908 | . 292 | . 863 | . 625 | . 941 | . 938 | . 879 | . 250 | . 000 | . 921 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 250 | . 500 | 250 | . 874 | 767 | . 000 | . 918 | . 943 |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Data <br> Peak Hour Begins at 04:15 PM <br> Unshifted |  |
|  |  |  |

# City Of St. J ohn's <br> Department of Public Works 

Traffic Division

## Kenmount Road @ Lady Smith Drive <br> Turn Movement Count

File Name : Kenmount @ Lady Smith March 32011
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2011/03/03
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | LADY SMITH From North |  |  |  | KENMOUNT From East |  |  |  | LADY SMITH From South |  |  |  | KENMOUNT From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 07:30 AM | 6 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 14 | 209 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 371 | 6 | 0 | 665 |
| 07:45 AM | 7 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 20 | 251 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 461 | 1 | 0 | 810 |
| Total | 13 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 34 | 460 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 832 | 7 | 0 | 1475 |
| 08:00 AM | 5 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 17 | 182 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 443 | 10 | 0 | 719 |
| 08:15 AM | 7 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 23 | 223 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 501 | 3 | 0 | 818 |
| 08:30 AM | 6 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 17 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 374 | 4 | 0 | 658 |
| 08:45 AM | 4 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 20 | 209 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 355 | 3 | 0 | 634 |
| Total | 22 | 0 | 216 | 0 | 77 | 821 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1673 | 20 | 0 | 2829 |
| 09:00 AM | 6 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 19 | 178 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 5 | 0 | 486 |
| 09:15 AM | 4 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 13 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 235 | 1 | 0 | 463 |
| Total | 10 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 32 | 373 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 487 | 6 | 0 | 949 |
| 04:00 PM | 11 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 43 | 410 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 256 | 4 | 0 | 756 |
| 04:15 PM | 5 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 53 | 398 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 257 | 4 | 0 | 748 |
| 04:30 PM | 7 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 54 | 455 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 316 | 3 | 0 | 862 |
| 04:45 PM | 9 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 57 | 397 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 295 | 9 | 0 | 800 |
| Total | 32 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 207 | 1660 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1124 | 20 | 0 | 3166 |
| 05:00 PM | 8 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 62 | 404 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 327 | 10 | 0 | 846 |
| 05:15 PM | 5 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 52 | 364 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222 | 2 | 0 | 674 |
| 05:30 PM | 6 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 54 | 319 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222 | 2 | 0 | 652 |
| 05:45 PM | 2 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 39 | 261 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 5 | 0 | 526 |
| Total | 21 | 0 | 141 | 0 | 207 | 1348 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 962 | 19 | 0 | 2698 |
| Grand Total | 98 | 0 | 650 | 0 | 557 | 4662 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5078 | 72 | 0 | 11117 |
| Apprch \% | 13.1 | 0 | 86.9 | 0 | 10.7 | 89.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98.6 | 1.4 | 0 |  |
| Total \% | 0.9 | 0 | 5.8 | 0 | 5 | 41.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45.7 | 0.6 | 0 |  |

## City Of St. J ohn's

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : Kenmount @ Lady Smith March 32011
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2011/03/03
Page No : 2


## City Of St. J ohn's

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : Kenmount @ Lady Smith March 32011
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2011/03/03
Page No : 3

|  | LADY SMITH From North |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT From East |  |  |  |  | LADY SMITH From South |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT <br> From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:30 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 AM | 6 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 65 | 14 | 209 | 0 | 0 | 223 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 371 | 6 | 0 | 377 | 665 |
| 07:45 AM | 7 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 77 | 20 | 251 | 0 | 0 | 271 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 461 | 1 | 0 | 462 | 810 |
| 08:00 AM | 5 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 67 | 17 | 182 | 0 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 443 | 10 | 0 | 453 | 719 |
| 08:15 AM | 7 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 68 | 23 | 223 | 0 | 0 | 246 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 501 | 3 | 0 | 504 | 818 |
| Total Volume | 25 | 0 | 252 | 0 | 277 | 74 | 865 | 0 | 0 | 939 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1776 | 20 | 0 | 1796 | 3012 |
| \% App. Total | 9 | 0 | 91 | 0 |  | 7.9 | 92.1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 98.9 | 1.1 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 893 | . 000 | . 900 | . 000 | . 899 | . 804 | . 862 | . 000 | . 000 | . 866 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 886 | . 500 | . 000 | . 891 | . 921 |



## City Of St. J ohn's

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : Kenmount @ Lady Smith March 32011
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2011/03/03
Page No : 4

|  | LADY SMITH From North |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT From East |  |  |  |  | LADY SMITH From South |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:15 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15 PM | 5 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 36 | 53 | 398 | 0 | 0 | 451 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 257 | 4 | 0 | 261 | 748 |
| 04:30 PM | 7 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 34 | 54 | 455 | 0 | 0 | 509 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 316 | 3 | 0 | 319 | 862 |
| 04:45 PM | 9 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 42 | 57 | 397 | 0 | 0 | 454 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 295 | 9 | 0 | 304 | 800 |
| 05:00 PM | 8 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 43 | 62 | 404 | 0 | 0 | 466 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 327 | 10 | 0 | 337 | 846 |
| Total Volume | 29 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 155 | 226 | 1654 | 0 | 0 | 1880 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1195 | 26 | 0 | 1221 | 3256 |
| \% App. Total | 18.7 | 0 | 81.3 | 0 |  | 12 | 88 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 97.9 | 2.1 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 806 | . 000 | . 900 | . 000 | . 901 | . 911 | . 909 | . 000 | . 000 | . 923 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 914 | . 650 | . 000 | . 906 | . 944 |



# City Of St. J ohn's <br> Department of Public Works <br> Traffic Division 

Kenmount Rd @ Pippy Place
Ocotober 18, 2012
Turning Movement Count

File Name : kenmount @ pippy 18 oct 2012
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2012/10/18
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | PIPPY PL <br> From North |  |  |  | KENMOUNT <br> From East |  |  |  | PIPPY PL <br> From South |  |  |  | KENMOUNT <br> From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 19 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 65 | 0 | 314 |
| 07:15 AM | 9 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 86 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 194 | 78 | 0 | 388 |
| 07:30 AM | 16 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 16 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 293 | 92 | 1 | 601 |
| 07:45 AM | 21 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 52 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 342 | 96 | 0 | 714 |
| Total | 51 | 0 | 58 | 3 | 99 | 503 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 964 | 331 | 1 | 2017 |
| 08:00 AM | 21 | 1 | 38 | 5 | 46 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 328 | 112 | 1 | 697 |
| 08:15 AM | 19 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 59 | 155 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 351 | 125 | 1 | 740 |
| 08:30 AM | 38 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 47 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 369 | 126 | 2 | 766 |
| 08:45 AM | 24 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 52 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 264 | 92 | 1 | 621 |
| Total | 102 | 1 | 128 | 6 | 204 | 603 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1312 | 455 | 5 | 2824 |


| 04:00 PM | 112 | 1 | 40 | 1 | 30 | 335 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 251 | 76 | 1 | 848 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 82 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 31 | 331 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 236 | 88 | 0 | 815 |
| 04:30 PM | 116 | 1 | 51 | 6 | 23 | 355 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 306 | 66 | 1 | 926 |
| 04:45 PM | 92 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 23 | 358 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 258 | 63 | 0 | 848 |
| Total | 402 | 2 | 191 | 7 | 107 | 1379 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1051 | 293 | 2 | 3437 |


| 05:00 PM | 129 | 1 | 48 | 1 | 20 | 344 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 284 | 48 | 1 | 884 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 89 | 0 | 35 | 1 | 21 | 322 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 271 | 68 | 1 | 810 |
| 05:30 PM | 54 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 16 | 258 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 237 | 54 | 0 | 650 |
| 05:45 PM | 46 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 27 | 310 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 223 | 43 | 0 | 677 |
| Total | 318 | 1 | 139 | 3 | 84 | 1234 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1015 | 213 | 2 | 3021 |


| Grand Total | 873 | 4 | 516 | 19 | 494 | 3719 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 4342 | 1292 | 10 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Apprch \% | 61.8 | 0.3 | 36.5 | 1.3 | 11.7 | 88.1 | 0.2 | 0 | 41.2 | 17.6 | 35.3 | 5.9 | 0.1 | 76.8 | 22.9 | 0.2 |
| Total \% | 7.7 | 0 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 4.4 | 32.9 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 38.4 | 11.4 | 0.1 |

## City Of St. J ohn's

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : kenmount @ pippy 18 oct 2012
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2012/10/18
Page No
: 2


# City Of St. J ohn's 

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : kenmount @ pippy 18 oct 2012
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date: 2012/10/18
Page No : 3

|  | PIPPY PL <br> From North |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT <br> From East |  |  |  |  | PIPPY PL <br> From South |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 AM | 21 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 44 | 52 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 231 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 342 | 96 | 0 | 439 | 714 |
| 08:00 AM | 21 | 1 | 38 | 5 | 65 | 46 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 190 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 328 | 112 | 1 | 441 | 697 |
| 08:15 AM | 19 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 46 | 59 | 155 | 1 | 0 | 215 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 351 | 125 | 1 | 477 | 740 |
| 08:30 AM | 38 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 69 | 47 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 197 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 369 | 126 | 2 | 498 | 766 |
| Total Volume | 99 | 1 | 117 | 7 | 224 | 204 | 628 | 1 | 0 | 833 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 1390 | 459 | 4 | 1855 | 2917 |
| \% App. Total | 44.2 | 0.4 | 52.2 | 3.1 |  | 24.5 | 75.4 | 0.1 | 0 |  | 20 | 20 | 60 | 0 |  | 0.1 | 74.9 | 24.7 | 0.2 |  |  |
| PHF | . 651 | . 250 | . 770 | . 350 | . 812 | . 864 | . 877 | . 250 | . 000 | . 902 | . 250 | . 250 | . 375 | . 000 | . 625 | . 500 | . 942 | . 911 | . 500 | . 931 | . 952 |



# City Of St. J ohn's <br> Department of Public Works <br> Traffic Division 

File Name : kenmount @ pippy 18 oct 2012
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2012/10/18
Page No : 4

|  | PIPPY PL <br> From North |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT <br> From East |  |  |  |  | PIPPY PL <br> From South |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Rig ht | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Thr} \\ \mathrm{u} \end{array}$ | Left | Ped s | App. Toal | Rig ht | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Thr} \\ \mathrm{u} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Left | Ped s | App. Toal | Right | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Thr} \\ \mathrm{u} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thr <br> u | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:15 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15 PM | 82 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 129 | 31 | 331 | 0 | 0 | 362 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 236 | 88 | 0 | 324 | 815 |
| 04:30 PM | 116 | 1 | 51 | 6 | 174 | 23 | 355 | 0 | 0 | 378 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 306 | 66 | 1 | 373 | 926 |
| 04:45 PM | 92 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 145 | 23 | 358 | 0 | 0 | 381 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 258 | 63 | 0 | 321 | 848 |
| 05:00 PM | 129 | 1 | 48 | 1 | 179 | 20 | 344 | 3 | 0 | 367 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 284 | 48 | 1 | 335 | 884 |
| Total Volume | 419 | 2 | 199 | 7 | 627 | 97 | 1388 | 3 | 0 | 1488 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 1084 | 265 | 2 | 1353 | 3473 |
| \% App. Total | 66.8 | 0.3 | 31.7 | 1.1 |  | 6.5 | 93.3 | 0.2 | 0 |  | 80 | 0 | 20 | 0 |  | 0.1 | 80.1 | 19.6 | 0.1 |  |  |
| PHF | . 812 | . 500 | . 939 | . 292 | . 876 | . 782 | . 969 | . 250 | . 000 | . 976 | . 333 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 417 | . 250 | . 886 | . 753 | . 500 | . 907 | . 938 |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Data <br> Peak Hour Begins at 04:15 PM <br> Unshifted |  |
|  |  |  |

# City of St. J ohn's <br> Department of Engineering <br> Traffic division 

Kenmount Rd @ Thorburn Loop RampFile Name : Kenmount Rd @ Thorburn Loop Ramp October 30, 2012 October 30, 2012 Site Code : 00000000 Turning Movement Count Start Date : 2012/10/30 Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { THORBURN RD LOOP } \\ \text { RAMP } \\ \text { Southbound } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | KENMOUNT ROAD Westbound |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { THORBURN ROAD LOOP } \\ \text { RAMP } \\ \text { Northbound } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | KENMOUNT ROAD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 22 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 17 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 189 |
| 07:15 AM | 36 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 20 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 1 | 0 | 238 |
| 07:30 AM | 65 | 0 | 51 | 2 | 35 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 385 |
| 07:45 AM | 94 | 0 | 70 | 1 | 47 | 152 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 2 | 526 |
| Total | 217 | 0 | 166 | 5 | 119 | 384 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 444 | 1 | 2 | 1338 |
| 08:00 AM | 87 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 71 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 511 |
| 08:15 AM | 78 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 71 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 0 | 574 |
| 08:30 AM | 72 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 72 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 203 | 0 | 1 | 644 |
| 08:45 AM | 80 | 0 | 95 | 3 | 64 | 177 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 195 | 1 | 0 | 615 |
| Total | 317 | 0 | 368 | 3 | 278 | 610 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 766 | 1 | 1 | 2344 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 65 | 0 | 80 | 10 | 76 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 165 | 1 | 2 | 606 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 69 | 0 | 67 | 5 | 80 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 171 | 0 | 2 | 586 |
| 04:30 PM | 61 | 0 | 72 | 4 | 125 | 208 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 242 | 0 | 2 | 714 |
| 04:45 PM | 57 | 0 | 81 | 6 | 116 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 3 | 657 |
| Total | 252 | 0 | 300 | 25 | 397 | 802 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 777 | 1 | 9 | 2563 |
| 05:00 PM | 71 | 0 | 97 | 7 | 104 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 226 | 0 | 1 | 707 |
| 05:15 PM | 43 | 0 | 80 | 3 | 98 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 2 | 3 | 623 |
| 05:30 PM | 51 | 0 | 75 | 3 | 86 | 198 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 172 | 0 | 2 | 587 |
| 05:45 PM | 54 | 0 | 68 | 9 | 61 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 1 | 2 | 524 |
| Total | 219 | 0 | 320 | 22 | 349 | 784 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 736 | 3 | 8 | 2441 |
| Grand Total | 1005 | 0 | 1154 | 55 | 1143 | 2580 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2723 | 6 | 20 | 8686 |
| Apprch \% | 45.4 | 0 | 52.1 | 2.5 | 30.7 | 69.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 |  |
| Total \% | 11.6 | 0 | 13.3 | 0.6 | 13.2 | 29.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |  |

Kenmount Rd @ Thorburn Loop RampFile Name : Kenmount Rd @ Thorburn Loop Ramp October 30, 2012
October 30, 2012 Site Code : 00000000
Turning Movement Count Start Date :2012/10/30
Page No :2

Kenmount Rd @ Thorburn Loop RampFile Name $:$ Kenmount Rd @ Thorburn Loop Ramp October 30, 2012
October 30, 2012
Site Code
Turning Movement Count

|  | THORBURN RD LOOP RAMP Southbound |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT ROAD Westbound |  |  |  |  | THORBURN ROAD LOOP RAMP Northbound |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT ROAD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 08:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 AM | $87$ | 0 | 90 | 0 | 168 | 71 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 08:15 АМ } \\ & \mathbf{0 8 : 3 0} \text { АМ } \end{aligned}$ | 72 | 0 | 117 | 0 | $189$ | $72$ | $\begin{aligned} & 136 \\ & 179 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 | $251$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 199 \\ & 203 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 1 | $204$ | $644$ |
| 08:45 AM | 80 | 0 | 95 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Total Volume | 317 | 0 | 368 | 3 | 688 | 278 | 610 | 0 | 0 | 888 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 766 | 1 | 1 | 768 | 2344 |
| \% App. Total | 46.1 | 0 | 53.5 | 0.4 |  | 31.3 | 68.7 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 99.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |
| PHF | . 911 | . 000 | . 786 | . 250 | . 910 | . 965 | . 852 | . 000 | . 000 | . 884 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 943 | . 250 | . 250 | . 941 | . 910 |


Kenmount Rd @ Thorburn Loop RampFile Name : Kenmount Rd @ Thorburn Loop Ramp October 30, 2012
October 30, 2012
Site Code $: 00000000$
Turning Movement Count

|  | THORBURN RD LOOP RAMP Southbound |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT ROAD Westbound |  |  |  |  | THORBURN ROAD LOOP RAMP <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | KENMOUNT ROAD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 PM | 61 | 0 | 72 | 4 | 137 | 125 | 208 | 0 | 0 | 333 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 242 | 0 | 2 | 244 | 714 |
| 04:45 PM | 57 | 0 | 81 | 6 | 144 | 116 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 311 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 3 |  |  |
| 05:00 PM | 71 | 0 | 97 | 7 | 175 | 104 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 305 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 226 | 0 | 1 | 227 | 707 |
| 05:15 PM | 43 | 0 | 80 | 3 | 126 | 98 | 212 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Total Volume | 232 | 0 | 330 | 20 | 582 | 443 | 816 | 0 | 0 | 1259 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 849 | 2 | 9 | 860 | 2701 |
| \% App. Total | 39.9 | 0 | 56.7 | 3.4 |  | 35.2 | 64.8 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 98.7 | 0.2 | 1 |  |  |
| PHF | . 817 | . 000 | . 851 | . 714 | . 831 | . 886 | . 962 | . 000 | . 000 | . 945 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 877 | . 250 | . 750 | . 881 | . 946 |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Data <br> Peak Hour Begins at 04:30 PM <br> Unshifted |  |
|  |  |  |

# City Of St. J ohn's 

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division

## Kenmount Road @ Wyatt Blvd Turning Movement Count

File Name : Kenmount @ Wyatt June 192013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/06/19
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | WYATT BLVD From North |  |  |  | KENMOUMT RD From East |  |  |  | WYATT BLVD From South |  |  |  | KENMOUMT RD From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 46 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 360 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 68 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 15 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 473 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 109 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 21 | 215 | 0 | 0 | 777 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 176 | 133 | 1 | 317 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 13 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 886 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 437 | 356 | 1 | 897 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 59 | 710 | 0 | 0 | 2496 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 148 | 127 | 0 | 278 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 18 | 234 | 0 | 0 | 822 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 168 | 148 | 0 | 270 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 12 | 227 | 0 | 0 | 840 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 147 | 0 | 228 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 25 | 182 | 0 | 0 | 703 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 121 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 15 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 647 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 522 | 543 | 0 | 965 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 70 | 853 | 0 | 0 | 3012 |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 209 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 19 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 767 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 289 | 220 | 0 | 246 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 10 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 916 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 297 | 194 | 0 | 299 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 22 | 186 | 0 | 0 | 1032 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 221 | 244 | 0 | 212 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 22 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 869 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1007 | 867 | 0 | 899 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 73 | 651 | 0 | 0 | 3584 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 217 | 0 | 210 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 19 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 741 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 243 | 249 | 0 | 169 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 19 | 152 | 0 | 0 | 842 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 179 | 228 | 0 | 187 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 17 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 783 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 208 | 0 | 181 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 690 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 659 | 902 | 0 | 747 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 75 | 605 | 0 | 0 | 3056 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2625 | 2668 | 1 | 3508 | 0 | 249 | 0 | 277 | 2819 | 0 | 0 | 12148 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49.6 | 50.4 | 0 | 93.4 | 0 | 6.6 | 0 | 8.9 | 91.1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21.6 | 22 | 0 | 28.9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2.3 | 23.2 | 0 | 0 |  |

City Of St. J ohn's
Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : Kenmount @ Wyatt June 192013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/06/19
Page No : 2


City Of St. J ohn's
Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : Kenmount @ Wyatt June 192013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/06/19
Page No : 3

|  | WYATT BLVD From North |  |  |  |  | KENMOUMT RD From East |  |  |  |  | WYATT BLVD From South |  |  |  |  | KENMOUMT RD From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 109 | 0 | 232 | 300 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 309 | 21 | 215 | 0 | 0 | 236 | 777 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 176 | 133 | 1 | 310 | 317 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 331 | 13 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 245 | 886 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 148 | 127 | 0 | 275 | 278 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 295 | 18 | 234 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 822 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 168 | 148 | 0 | 317 | 270 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 284 | 12 | 227 | 0 | 0 | 239 | 840 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 615 | 517 | 1 | 1134 | 1165 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 1219 | 64 | 908 | 0 | 0 | 972 | 3325 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0.1 | 54.2 | 45.6 | 0.1 |  | 95.6 | 0 | 4.4 | 0 |  | 6.6 | 93.4 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 874 | . 873 | . 250 | . 894 | . 919 | . 000 | . 794 | . 000 | . 921 | . 762 | . 970 | . 000 | . 000 | . 964 | . 938 |



City Of St. J ohn's
Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : Kenmount @ Wyatt June 192013
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2013/06/19
Page No : 4

|  | WYATT BLVD From North |  |  |  |  | KENMOUMT RD From East |  |  |  |  | WYATT BLVD From South |  |  |  |  | KENMOUMT RD From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 209 | 0 | 409 | 142 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 156 | 19 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 202 | 767 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 289 | 220 | 0 | 509 | 246 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 262 | 10 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 145 | 916 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 297 | 194 | 0 | 491 | 299 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 333 | 22 | 186 | 0 | 0 | 208 | 1032 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 221 | 244 | 0 | 465 | 212 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 235 | 22 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 169 | 869 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1007 | 867 | 0 | 1874 | 899 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 986 | 73 | 651 | 0 | 0 | 724 | 3584 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 53.7 | 46.3 | 0 |  | 91.2 | 0 | 8.8 | 0 |  | 10.1 | 89.9 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 848 | . 888 | . 000 | . 920 | . 752 | . 000 | . 640 | . 000 | . 740 | . 830 | . 875 | . 000 | . 000 | . 870 | . 868 |



City of St. J ohn's<br>Department of Engineering Traffic division

Thorburn Road @ Bambrick/Austin
November 1, 2012
Turning Movement Count

File Name : Thorburn Road @ Austin Nov 1, 2012
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2012/11/01
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | THORBURN Southbound |  |  |  | BAMBRICK <br> Westbound |  |  |  | THORBURN Northbound |  |  |  | BAMBRICK Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 07:00 AM | 4 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 40 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 194 |
| 07:15 AM | 5 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 71 | 31 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 289 |
| 07:30 AM | 12 | 233 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 6 | 104 | 22 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 423 |
| 07:45 AM | 21 | 245 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 2 | 117 | 66 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 508 |
| Total | 42 | 749 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 69 | 1 | 12 | 332 | 134 | 2 | 59 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1414 |
| 08:00 AM | 15 | 258 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 3 | 10 | 117 | 50 | 1 | 27 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 518 |
| 08:15 AM | 26 | 258 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 36 | 0 | 3 | 68 | 73 | 1 | 41 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 512 |
| 08:30 AM | 22 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 26 | 3 | 5 | 138 | 92 | 0 | 32 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 529 |
| 08:45 AM | 15 | 161 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 5 | 120 | 59 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 418 |
| Total | 78 | 878 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 114 | 7 | 23 | 443 | 274 | 3 | 131 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 1977 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 9 | 114 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 15 | 129 | 34 | 0 | 70 | 3 | 24 | 0 | 408 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 1 | 114 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 20 | 226 | 33 | 0 | 58 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 485 |
| 04:30 PM | 5 | 132 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 20 | 305 | 38 | 2 | 104 | 2 | 39 | 2 | 660 |
| 04:45 PM | 4 | 124 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 14 | 290 | 24 | 0 | 54 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 546 |
| Total | 19 | 484 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 39 | 3 | 69 | 950 | 129 | 2 | 286 | 10 | 97 | 2 | 2099 |


| 05:00 PM | 1 | 114 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 38 | 297 | 29 | 2 | 105 | 7 | 44 | 1 | 656 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 2 | 131 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 19 | 351 | 27 | 0 | 55 | 3 | 14 | 1 | 622 |
| 05:30 PM | 2 | 116 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 27 | 334 | 27 | 1 | 36 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 568 |
| 05:45 PM | 2 | 130 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 18 | 279 | 22 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 481 |
| Total | 7 | 491 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 53 | 2 | 102 | 1261 | 105 | 3 | 207 | 12 | 73 | 3 | 2327 |
| Grand Total | 146 | 2602 | 12 | 3 | 12 | 12 | 275 | 13 | 206 | 2986 | 642 | 10 | 683 | 25 | 183 | 7 | 7817 |
| Apprch \% | 5.3 | 94.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 88.1 | 4.2 | 5.4 | 77.7 | 16.7 | 0.3 | 76.1 | 2.8 | 20.4 | 0.8 |  |
| Total \% | 1.9 | 33.3 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 2.6 | 38.2 | 8.2 | 0.1 | 8.7 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 0.1 |  |

# City of St. J ohn's <br> Department of Engineering <br> Traffic division 

Thorburn Road @ Bambrick/Austin
November 1, 2012
Turning Movement Count

File Name : Thorburn Road @ Austin Nov 1, 2012
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2012/11/01
Page No : 2


# City of St. J ohn's <br> Department of Engineering <br> Traffic division 

Thorburn Road @ Bambrick/Austin
November 1, 2012
Turning Movement Count

File Name : Thorburn Road @ Austin Nov 1, 2012
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2012/11/01
Page No : 3

|  | THORBURN Southbound |  |  |  |  | BAMBRICK <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | THORBURN Northbound |  |  |  |  | BAMBRICK <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 AM | 21 | 245 | 2 | 0 | 268 | 2 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 28 | 2 | 117 | 66 | 0 | 185 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 508 |
| 08:00 AM | 15 | 258 | 1 | 0 | 274 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 3 | 35 | 10 | 117 | 50 | 1 | 178 | 27 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 31 | 518 |
| 08:15 AM | 26 | 258 | 0 | 0 | 284 | 1 | 2 | 36 | 0 | 39 | 3 | 68 | 73 | 1 | 145 | 41 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 44 | 512 |
| 08:30 AM | 22 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 223 | 3 | 2 | 26 | 3 | 34 | 5 | 138 | 92 | 0 | 235 | 32 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 37 | 529 |
| Total Volume | 84 | 962 | 3 | 0 | 1049 | 6 | 4 | 120 | 6 | 136 | 20 | 440 | 281 | 2 | 743 | 126 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 139 | 2067 |
| \% App. Total | 8 | 91.7 | 0.3 | 0 |  | 4.4 | 2.9 | 88.2 | 4.4 |  | 2.7 | 59.2 | 37.8 | 0.3 |  | 90.6 | 1.4 | 6.5 | 1.4 |  |  |
| PHF | . 808 | . 932 | . 375 | . 000 | . 923 | . 500 | . 500 | . 833 | . 500 | . 872 | . 500 | . 797 | . 764 | . 500 | 790 | . 768 | . 500 | . 750 | . 500 | 790 | . 977 |



# City of St. J ohn's <br> Department of Engineering <br> Traffic division 

Thorburn Road @ Bambrick/Austin
November 1, 2012
Turning Movement Count

File Name : Thorburn Road @ Austin Nov 1, 2012
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2012/11/01
Page No : 4

|  | THORBURN Southbound |  |  |  |  | BAMBRICK <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | THORBURN Northbound |  |  |  |  | BAMBRICK Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 PM | 5 | 132 | 0 | 1 | 138 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 10 | 20 | 305 | 38 | 2 | 365 | 104 | 2 | 39 | 2 | 147 | 660 |
| 04:45 PM | 4 | 124 | 2 | 1 | 131 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 12 | 14 | 290 | 24 | 0 | 328 | 54 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 75 | 546 |
| 05:00 PM | 1 | 114 | 1 | 0 | 116 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 17 | 38 | 297 | 29 | 2 | 366 | 105 | 7 | 44 | 1 | 157 | 656 |
| 05:15 PM | 2 | 131 | 1 | 0 | 134 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 18 | 19 | 351 | 27 | 0 | 397 | 55 | 3 | 14 | 1 | 73 | 622 |
| Total Volume | 12 | 501 | 4 | 2 | 519 | 1 | 4 | 49 | 3 | 57 | 91 | 1243 | 118 | 4 | 1456 | 318 | 14 | 116 | 4 | 452 | 2484 |
| \% App. Total | 2.3 | 96.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 |  | 1.8 | 7 | 86 | 5.3 |  | 6.2 | 85.4 | 8.1 | 0.3 |  | 70.4 | 3.1 | 25.7 | 0.9 |  |  |
| PHF | . 600 | . 949 | . 500 | . 500 | . 940 | . 250 | 1.00 | . 766 | . 750 | . 792 | . 599 | . 885 | . 776 | . 500 | . 917 | . 757 | . 500 | . 659 | . 500 | . 720 | . 941 |



# City of St. Jahn's <br> Department of Engineering 

Traffic division
Default Comments
Change These in The Preferences Window
Select File/Preference in the Main Scree
Then Click the Comments Tab

File Name : Thorburn Rd @ Goldstone St, February 21, 2012 Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2012/02/21
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | GOLDSTONE ST Southbound |  |  |  | THORBURN RD Westbound |  |  |  | GOLDSTONE ST <br> Northbound |  |  |  | THORBURN RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 07:30 AM | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 48 | 56 | 0 | 32 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 35 | 202 | 1 | 0 | 391 |
| 07:45 AM | 2 | 12 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 28 | 57 | 0 | 43 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 38 | 200 | 1 | 0 | 403 |
| Total | 3 | 15 | 12 | 1 | 8 | 76 | 113 | 0 | 75 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 73 | 402 | 2 | 0 | 794 |
| 08:00 AM | 3 | 8 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 62 | 0 | 57 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 75 | 286 | 0 | 0 | 539 |
| 08:15 AM | 1 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 34 | 79 | 0 | 58 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 63 | 250 | 1 | 0 | 510 |
| 08:30 AM | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 36 | 60 | 0 | 54 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 48 | 180 | 1 | 0 | 396 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 50 | 59 | 0 | 48 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 33 | 137 | 1 | 0 | 348 |
| Total | 5 | 27 | 22 | 0 | 3 | 152 | 260 | 0 | 217 | 12 | 20 | 0 | 219 | 853 | 3 | 0 | 1793 |
| 09:00 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 43 | 0 | 42 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 20 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 224 |
| 09:15 AM | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 43 | 0 | 41 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 17 | 67 | 1 | 0 | 219 |
| *** BREAK *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 86 | 0 | 83 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 37 | 144 | 1 | 0 | 443 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 171 | 83 | 0 | 37 | 8 | 26 | 0 | 10 | 52 | 1 | 1 | 398 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 156 | 70 | 0 | 49 | 7 | 23 | 0 | 13 | 66 | 1 | 0 | 396 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 212 | 90 | 1 | 35 | 9 | 41 | 3 | 15 | 74 | 4 | 0 | 497 |
| 04:45 PM | 1 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 227 | 89 | 0 | 51 | 15 | 29 | 0 | 16 | 62 | 1 | 0 | 510 |
| Total | 2 | 23 | 5 | 0 | 23 | 766 | 332 | 1 | 172 | 39 | 119 | 3 | 54 | 254 | 7 | 1 | 1801 |
| 05:00 PM | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 187 | 79 | 0 | 57 | 9 | 52 | 0 | 10 | 65 | 7 | 1 | 483 |
| 05:15 PM | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 183 | 70 | 0 | 54 | 12 | 27 | 1 | 8 | 52 | 4 | 1 | 425 |
| 05:30 PM | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 112 | 44 | 0 | 41 | 8 | 16 | 0 | 10 | 46 | 3 | 0 | 292 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 88 | 35 | 0 | 43 | 5 | 18 | 0 | 14 | 56 | 3 | 0 | 270 |
| Total | 3 | 12 | 9 | 3 | 22 | 570 | 228 | 0 | 195 | 34 | 113 | 1 | 42 | 219 | 17 | 2 | 1470 |
| Grand Total | 14 | 83 | 52 | 4 | 56 | 1628 | 1019 | 1 | 742 | 93 | 275 | 4 | 425 | 1872 | 30 | 3 | 6301 |
| Apprch \% | 9.2 | 54.2 | 34 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 60.2 | 37.7 | 0 | 66.6 | 8.3 | 24.7 | 0.4 | 18.2 | 80.3 | 1.3 | 0.1 |  |
| Total \% | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 25.8 | 16.2 | 0 | 11.8 | 1.5 | 4.4 | 0.1 | 6.7 | 29.7 | 0.5 | 0 |  |

City of St. John's
Department of Engineering Traffic division

File Name : Thorburn Rd @ Goldstone St, February 21, 2012
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2012/02/21
Page No : 2


# City of St. Jahn's 

Department of Engineering
Traffic division
File Name : Thorburn Rd @ Goldstone St, February 21, 2012
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date: 2012/02/21
Page No : 3

|  | GOLDSTONE ST <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  | THORBURN RD Westbound |  |  |  |  | GOLDSTONE ST <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | THORBURN RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:30 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 AM | 2 | 12 | 9 | 1 | 24 | 5 | 28 | 57 | 0 | 90 | 43 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 50 | 38 | 200 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 08:00 AM | 3 | 8 | 11 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 32 | 62 | 0 | 94 | 57 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 62 | 75 | 286 | 0 | 0 | 361 | 539 |
| 08:15 AM | 1 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 34 | 79 |  | 114 | 58 | 4 |  |  | 66 | 63 | 250 | 1 | 0 | 314 | 510 |
| 08:30 AM | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 36 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 0 | 64 | 48 | 180 | 1 | 0 | 229 | 396 |
| Total Volume | 7 | 33 | 27 | 1 | 68 | 7 | 130 | 258 | 0 | 395 | 212 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 242 | 224 | 916 | 3 | 0 | 1143 | 1848 |
| \% App. Total | 10.3 | 48.5 | 39.7 | 1.5 |  | 1.8 | 32.9 | 65.3 | 0 |  | 87.6 | 4.1 | 8.3 | 0 |  | 19.6 | 80.1 | 0.3 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 583 | . 688 | . 614 | . 250 | . 708 | . 350 | . 903 | . 816 | . 000 | . 866 | . 914 | . 625 | . 625 | . 000 | . 917 | . 747 | . 801 | . 750 | . 000 | . 792 | . 857 |



# City of St. Jahn's <br> Department of Engineering Traffic division 

File Name : Thorburn Rd @ Goldstone St, February 21, 2012
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2012/02/21
Page No : 4

|  | GOLDSTONE ST <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  | THORBURN RD Westbound |  |  |  |  | GOLDSTONE ST <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | THORBURN RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 212 | 90 | 1 | 307 | 35 | 9 | 41 | 3 |  |  | 74 | 4 | 0 | 93 | 497 |
| 04:45 PM | 1 |  | 4 |  | 12 | 8 | 227 |  |  | 324 | 51 | 15 |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  | 510 |
| 05:00 PM | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 9 | 187 | 79 | 0 | 275 | 57 |  | 52 | 0 | 118 | 10 | 65 | 7 | 1 |  |  |
| 05:15 PM | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 183 | 70 | 0 | 258 | 54 | 12 | 27 | 1 | 94 | 8 | 52 | 4 | 1 | 65 | 425 |
| Total Volume | 3 | 20 | 10 | 3 | 36 | 26 | 809 | 328 | 1 | 1164 | 197 | 45 | 149 | 4 | 395 | 49 | 253 | 16 | 2 | 320 | 1915 |
| \% App. Total | 8.3 | 55.6 | 27.8 | 8.3 |  | 2.2 | 69.5 | 28.2 | 0.1 |  | 49.9 | 11.4 | 37.7 | 1 |  | 15.3 | 79.1 | 5 | 0.6 |  |  |
| PHF | . 750 | . 625 | . 625 | . 375 | . 750 | . 722 | . 891 | . 911 | . 250 | . 898 | . 864 | . 750 | . 716 | . 333 | . 837 | . 766 | . 855 | . 571 | . 500 | . 860 | . 939 |



# City Of St. J ohn's 

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division

Thorburn Rd @ O'Leary/Larkhall
Turning Movement Count
October 2, 2012

File Name : Thorburn Rs @ Larkhall St Oct 22012
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2012/10/02
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | THORBURN RD From North |  |  |  | LARKHALL ST From East |  |  |  | THORBURN RD From South |  |  |  | LARKHALL ST From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 19 | 120 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 65 | 12 | 0 | 49 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 297 |
| 07:15 AM | 16 | 150 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 76 | 17 | 2 | 53 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 350 |
| 07:30 AM | 16 | 197 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 97 | 31 | 3 | 46 | 17 | 10 | 2 | 454 |
| 07:45 AM | 42 | 214 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 136 | 47 | 2 | 63 | 23 | 16 | 2 | 591 |
| Total | 93 | 681 | 27 | 3 | 25 | 22 | 19 | 5 | 9 | 374 | 107 | 7 | 211 | 57 | 47 | 5 | 1692 |
| 08:00 AM | 34 | 201 | 20 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 110 | 42 | 0 | 88 | 23 | 17 | 1 | 557 |
| 08:15 AM | 29 | 212 | 21 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 15 | 13 | 16 | 129 | 33 | 5 | 88 | 38 | 25 | 2 | 644 |
| 08:30 AM | 37 | 222 | 20 | 8 | 11 | 14 | 19 | 5 | 7 | 164 | 46 | 0 | 63 | 26 | 22 | 3 | 667 |
| 08:45 AM | 31 | 162 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 113 | 55 | 4 | 71 | 20 | 18 | 0 | 515 |
| Total | 131 | 797 | 75 | 13 | 32 | 38 | 44 | 21 | 26 | 516 | 176 | 9 | 310 | 107 | 82 | 6 | 2383 |


| 04:00 PM | 43 | 179 | 15 | 0 | 11 | 13 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 214 | 50 | 5 | 101 | 24 | 52 | 1 | 729 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 32 | 138 | 14 | 0 | 25 | 16 | 13 | 3 | 4 | 191 | 56 | 3 | 77 | 18 | 54 | 6 | 650 |
| 04:30 PM | 29 | 158 | 17 | 2 | 14 | 15 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 233 | 34 | 4 | 125 | 25 | 81 | 10 | 770 |
| 04:45 PM | 43 | 156 | 11 | 3 | 13 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 196 | 60 | 3 | 101 | 23 | 66 | 9 | 710 |
| Total | 147 | 631 | 57 | 5 | 63 | 60 | 35 | 15 | 24 | 834 | 200 | 15 | 404 | 90 | 253 | 26 | 2859 |


| 05:00 PM | 33 | 151 | 17 | 1 | 17 | 16 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 223 | 50 | 3 | 105 | 23 | 75 | 4 | 732 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 45 | 158 | 18 | 0 | 13 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 189 | 41 | 5 | 91 | 20 | 52 | 7 | 659 |
| 05:30 PM | 33 | 140 | 10 | 5 | 12 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 145 | 39 | 7 | 71 | 16 | 45 | 4 | 552 |
| 05:45 PM | 41 | 139 | 14 | 0 | 11 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 140 | 41 | 6 | 61 | 17 | 38 | 5 | 534 |
| Total | 152 | 588 | 59 | 6 | 53 | 40 | 30 | 6 | 20 | 697 | 171 | 21 | 328 | 76 | 210 | 20 | 2477 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Grand Total | 523 | 2697 | 218 | 27 | 173 | 160 | 128 | 47 | 79 | 2421 | 654 | 52 | 1253 | 330 | 592 | 57 | 9411 |
| Apprch \% | 15.1 | 77.8 | 6.3 | 0.8 | 34.1 | 31.5 | 25.2 | 9.3 | 2.5 | 75.5 | 20.4 | 1.6 | 56.1 | 14.8 | 26.5 | 2.6 |  |
| Total \% | 5.6 | 28.7 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 25.7 | 6.9 | 0.6 | 13.3 | 3.5 | 6.3 | 0.6 |  |

City Of St. J ohn's
Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : Thorburn Rs @ Larkhall St Oct 22012
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2012/10/02
Page No :2


## City Of St. J ohn's

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : Thorburn Rs @ Larkhall St Oct 22012
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2012/10/02
Page No : 3

|  | THORBURN RD From North |  |  |  |  | LARKHALL ST From East |  |  |  |  | THORBURN RD From South |  |  |  |  | LARKHALL ST From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 AM | 42 | 214 | 10 | 1 | 267 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 2 | 30 | 5 | 136 | 47 | 2 | 190 | 63 | 23 | 16 | 2 | 104 | 591 |
| 08:00 AM | 34 | 201 | 20 | 2 | 257 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 110 | 42 | 0 | 153 | 88 | 23 | 17 | 1 | 129 | 557 |
| 08:15 AM | 29 | 212 | 21 | 1 | 263 | 8 | 9 | 15 | 13 | 45 | 16 | 129 | 33 | 5 | 183 | 88 | 38 | 25 | 2 | 153 | 644 |
| 08:30 AM | 37 | 222 | 20 | 8 | 287 | 11 | 14 | 19 | 5 | 49 | 7 | 164 | 46 | 0 | 217 | 63 | 26 | 22 | 3 | 114 | 667 |
| Total Volume | 142 | 849 | 71 | 12 | 1074 | 36 | 39 | 47 | 20 | 142 | 29 | 539 | 168 | 7 | 743 | 302 | 110 | 80 | 8 | 500 | 2459 |
| \% App. Total | 13.2 | 79.1 | 6.6 | 1.1 |  | 25.4 | 27.5 | 33.1 | 14.1 |  | 3.9 | 72.5 | 22.6 | 0.9 |  | 60.4 | 22 | 16 | 1.6 |  |  |
| PHF | . 845 | . 956 | . 845 | . 375 | . 936 | . 818 | . 696 | . 618 | . 385 | . 724 | 453 | . 822 | . 894 | 350 | . 856 | . 858 | . 724 | . 800 | . 667 | . 817 | . 922 |



## City Of St. J ohn's

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : Thorburn Rs @ Larkhall St Oct 22012
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2012/10/02
Page No : 4

|  | THORBURN RD From North |  |  |  |  | LARKHALL ST From East |  |  |  |  | THORBURN RD From South |  |  |  |  | LARKHALL ST From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 PM | 29 | 158 | 17 | 2 | 206 | 14 | 15 | 7 | 7 | 43 | 9 | 233 | 34 | 4 | 280 | 125 | 25 | 81 | 10 | 241 | 770 |
| 04:45 PM | 43 | 156 | 11 | 3 | 213 | 13 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 33 | 6 | 196 | 60 | 3 | 265 | 101 | 23 | 66 | 9 | 199 | 710 |
| 05:00 PM | 33 | 151 | 17 | 1 | 202 | 17 | 16 | 7 | 3 | 43 | 4 | 223 | 50 | 3 | 280 | 105 | 23 | 75 | 4 | 207 | 732 |
| 05:15 PM | 45 | 158 | 18 | 0 | 221 | 13 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 28 | 5 | 189 | 41 | 5 | 240 | 91 | 20 | 52 | 7 | 170 | 659 |
| Total Volume | 150 | 623 | 63 | 6 | 842 | 57 | 55 | 23 | 12 | 147 | 24 | 841 | 185 | 15 | 1065 | 422 | 91 | 274 | 30 | 817 | 2871 |
| \% App. Total | 17.8 | 74 | 7.5 | 0.7 |  | 38.8 | 37.4 | 15.6 | 8.2 |  | 2.3 | 79 | 17.4 | 1.4 |  | 51.7 | 11.1 | 33.5 | 3.7 |  |  |
| PHF | . 833 | . 986 | . 875 | . 500 | . 952 | . 838 | . 859 | . 821 | . 429 | . 855 | . 667 | . 902 | . 771 | . 750 | . 951 | . 844 | . 910 | . 846 | 750 | . 848 | . 932 |



# City Of St. J ohn's 

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division

Turning Movement Thorburn Rd @ Mt. Scio Rd Jan 19, 2012

File Name : Thorburn Rd. @ Mt. Scio Rd. Jan 19, 2012
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2012/01/19
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | MOUNT SCIO RD From North |  |  |  | THORBURN RD From East |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { MOUNT SCIO RD } \\ \text { From South } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | THORBURN RD From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 07:30 AM | 40 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 23 | 120 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 276 | 23 | 0 | 530 |
| 07:45 AM | 53 | 0 | 58 | 1 | 28 | 124 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 243 | 31 | 1 | 540 |
| Total | 93 | 0 | 105 | 1 | 51 | 244 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 519 | 54 | 1 | 1070 |
| 08:00 AM | 48 | 0 | 85 | 3 | 41 | 110 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 255 | 44 | 0 | 588 |
| 08:15 AM | 59 | 0 | 67 | 2 | 42 | 159 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 306 | 33 | 0 | 669 |
| 08:30 AM | 66 | 0 | 52 | 1 | 66 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 251 | 35 | 0 | 615 |
| 08:45 AM | 52 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 44 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 186 | 20 | 0 | 481 |
| Total | 225 | 0 | 248 | 6 | 193 | 548 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 998 | 132 | 0 | 2353 |
| 09:00 AM | 18 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 19 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 15 | 0 | 352 |
| 09:15 AM | 26 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 22 | 96 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 13 | 0 | 344 |
| *** BREAK *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 44 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 41 | 206 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 28 | 0 | 696 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 64 | 0 | 54 | 1 | 51 | 289 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 161 | 51 | 0 | 676 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 57 | 0 | 56 | 1 | 38 | 298 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 51 | 0 | 684 |
| 04:30 PM | 54 | 0 | 83 | 6 | 48 | 269 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 185 | 61 | 0 | 707 |
| 04:45 PM | 51 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 57 | 306 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 171 | 37 | 0 | 682 |
| Total | 226 | 0 | 253 | 8 | 194 | 1162 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 699 | 200 | 0 | 2749 |
| 05:00 PM | 31 | 0 | 78 | 1 | 61 | 280 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 161 | 58 | 1 | 673 |
| 05:15 PM | 39 | 0 | 56 | 1 | 41 | 236 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 40 | 1 | 549 |
| 05:30 PM | 22 | 0 | 49 | 2 | 35 | 148 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 22 | 0 | 398 |
| 05:45 PM | 22 | 0 | 35 | 2 | 30 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 29 | 1 | 382 |
| Total | 114 | 0 | 218 | 6 | 167 | 810 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 531 | 149 | 3 | 2002 |
| Grand Total | 702 | 0 | 880 | 21 | 646 | 2970 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3067 | 563 | 4 | 8870 |
| Apprch \% | 43.8 | 0 | 54.9 | 1.3 | 17.8 | 81.8 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 84.4 | 15.5 | 0.1 |  |
| Total \% | 7.9 | 0 | 9.9 | 0.2 | 7.3 | 33.5 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34.6 | 6.3 | 0 |  |

## City Of St. J ohn's

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : Thorburn Rd. @ Mt. Scio Rd. Jan 19, 2012
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2012/01/19
Page No : 2


## City Of St. J ohn's

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : Thorburn Rd. @ Mt. Scio Rd. Jan 19, 2012
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2012/01/19
Page No : 3

|  | MOUNT SCIO RD From North |  |  |  |  | THORBURN RD From East |  |  |  |  | MOUNT SCIO RDFrom South |  |  |  |  | THORBURN RD From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:30 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 AM | 53 | 0 | 58 | 1 | 112 | 28 | 124 | 0 | 1 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 243 | 31 | 1 | 275 | 540 |
| 08:00 AM | 48 | 0 | 85 | 3 | 136 | 41 | 110 | 0 | 2 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 255 | 44 | 0 | 299 | 588 |
| 08:15 AM | 59 | 0 | 67 | 2 | 128 | 42 | 159 | 0 | 1 | 202 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 306 | 33 | 0 | 339 | 669 |
| 08:30 AM | 66 | 0 | 52 | 1 | 119 | 66 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 251 | 35 | 0 | 286 | 615 |
| Total Volume | 226 | 0 | 262 | 7 | 495 | 177 | 537 | 0 | 4 | 718 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1055 | 143 | 1 | 1199 | 2412 |
| \% App. Total | 45.7 | 0 | 52.9 | 1.4 |  | 24.7 | 74.8 | 0 | 0.6 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 88 | 11.9 | 0.1 |  |  |
| PHF | . 856 | . 000 | . 771 | . 583 | . 910 | . 670 | . 844 | . 000 | . 500 | . 855 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 862 | . 813 | . 250 | . 884 | . 901 |



# City Of St. J ohn's 

Department of Public Works
Traffic Division
File Name : Thorburn Rd. @ Mt. Scio Rd. Jan 19, 2012
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2012/01/19
Page No : 4

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MOUNT SCIO RD } \\ \text { From North } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | THORBURN RD From East |  |  |  |  | MOUNT SCIO RD <br> From South |  |  |  |  | THORBURN RD From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Rig ht | Thr <br> u | Left | Ped $\mathrm{s}$ | App. Toal | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Rig } \\ \mathrm{ht} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Thr <br> u | Left | Ped $\mathrm{s}$ | App. Toal | Right | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Thr} \\ \mathrm{u} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Thr} \\ \mathrm{u} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 PM | 64 | 0 | 54 | 1 | 119 | 51 | 289 | 0 | 3 | 343 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 161 | 51 | 0 | 214 | 676 |
| 04:15 PM | 57 | 0 | 56 | 1 | 114 | 38 | 298 | 0 | 1 | 337 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 51 | 0 | 233 | 684 |
| 04:30 PM | 54 | 0 | 83 | 6 | 143 | 48 | 269 | 0 | 1 | 318 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 185 | 61 | 0 | 246 | 707 |
| 04:45 PM | 51 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 111 | 57 | 306 | 0 | 0 | 363 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 171 | 37 | 0 | 208 | 682 |
| Total Volume | 226 | 0 | 253 | 8 | 487 | 194 | 1162 | 0 | 5 | 1361 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 699 | 200 | 0 | 901 | 2749 |
| \% App. Total | 46.4 | 0 | 52 | 1.6 |  | 14.3 | 85.4 | 0 | 0.4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0.2 | 77.6 | 22.2 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 883 | . 000 | . 762 | . 333 | . 851 | . 851 | . 949 | . 000 | . 417 | . 937 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 945 | . 820 | . 000 | . 916 | . 972 |



INTERSECTION TURNING MOVEMENT COUNT - SUMMARY

Intersection: Topsail Road / Blackmarsh Road
Comments

$$
\text { Date: Thursday, September 12, } 2013
$$

Counted by: Zhimin Ma \& Scott

| Time Period Starting: | Eastbound Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  | Westbound Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  | Northbound Approach |  |  |  | Southbound Approach | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 15-\mathrm{min} \\ \text { Vehicle } \\ \text { Volumes } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Hourly Vehicle Volumes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left |  | Through |  | Right |  | Peds | Left |  | Through |  | Right |  | Peds | Left |  |  | Peds |  |  |  |
|  | Cars | HV | Cars | HV | Cars | HV |  | Cars | HV | Cars | HV | Cars | HV |  | Cars | HV |  |  |  |  |  |


| 7:15 AM | 70 | 3 | 57 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 48 | 1 | 5 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 |  | 217 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:30 AM | 114 | 9 | 122 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 4 |  | 469 |  |
| 7:45 AM | 115 | 6 | 138 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 12 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 6 |  | 482 |  |
| 8:00 AM | 141 | 3 | 120 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 95 | 2 | 14 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 2 |  | 433 | 1601 |
| 8:15 AM | 118 | 4 | 167 | 7 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 123 | 3 | 4 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 0 |  | 514 | 1898 |
| 8:30 AM | 126 | 5 | 170 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 112 | 5 | 10 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 1 |  | 481 | 1910 |
| 8:45 AM | 93 | 7 | 143 | 6 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 102 | 1 | 9 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 5 |  | 413 | 1841 |
| 9:00 AM | 63 | 6 | 119 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 70 | 3 | 5 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 5 |  | 330 | 1738 |
| 2-Hour Totals: | 840 | 43 | 1036 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 776 | 22 | 63 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 502 | 23 | 0 | 3339 |  |
| 2-Hour Vehicles: | 883 |  | 1065 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 798 |  | 65 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 3 |  | 0 |  | 525 |  |  | 3339 |  |
| Truck Percentage: | 5\% |  | 3\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | 0\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 4\% |  |  | 4\% |  |
| AM Peak Hour: | 500 | 18 | 595 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 456 | 10 | 40 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 266 | 9 | 0 | 1910 | PHF: |
| 7:45 AM - 8:45 AM | 518 |  | 608 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 466 |  | 42 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 275 |  |  | 1910 | 0.93 |
| Truck Percentage: | 3\% |  | 2\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 2\% |  | 0\% |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | 0\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 0\% |  |  | 3\% |  |


| 4:00 PM | 58 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 129 | 2 | 5 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 3 |  | 354 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:15 PM | 99 | 4 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 148 | 5 | 6 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 1 |  | 473 |  |
| 4:30 PM | 110 | 1 | 144 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 222 | 4 | 10 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 7 |  | 625 |  |
| 4:45 PM | 111 | 1 | 129 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 186 | 2 | 13 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 3 |  | 606 | 2058 |
| 5:00 PM | 140 | 0 | 148 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 15 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 4 |  | 594 | 2298 |
| 5:15 PM | 112 | 3 | 108 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 145 | 6 | 6 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 0 |  | 497 | 2322 |
| 5:30 PM | 113 | 0 | 113 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 153 | 3 | 7 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 5 |  | 490 | 2187 |
| 5:45 PM | 84 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 1 |  | 354 | 1935 |
| 2-Hour Totals: | 827 | 9 | 911 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1271 | 22 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 844 | 24 | 0 | 3993 |  |
| 2-Hour Vehicles: | 836 |  | 920 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 1293 |  | 65 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 11 |  | 0 |  | 868 |  |  | 3993 |  |
| Truck Percentage: | 1\% |  | 1\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 2\% |  | 0\% |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | 0\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 3\% |  |  | 2\% |  |
| PM Peak Hour: | 473 | 5 | 529 | 7 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 738 | 12 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 494 | 14 | 0 | 2322 | PHF: |
| 4:30-5:30 PM | 478 |  | 536 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 750 |  | 44 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 6 |  | 0 |  | 508 |  |  | 2322 | 0.93 |
| Peak Hour Factor: | 1\% |  | 1\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 2\% |  | 0\% |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | 0\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 0\% |  |  | 2\% |  |


HV - heavy vehicles - includes trucks and buses

## INTERSECTION TURNING MOVEMENT COUNT - SUMMARY

Project \#: 325052
Weather
Intersection: Topsail Road/ Commonwealth Avenue/ Mount Carson Avenue
Comments Scott was 5 minutes late and added $50 \%$ to the $4: 00-4: 15$ count (EB \& SB) [PM]
Date: September 17 (AM) \& 25 (PM), 2013
Counted by: Robert \& Tao Li (AM), Robert \& Scott (PM)


| 7:00 AM | 8 | 0 | 151 | 1 | 14 | 0 |  | 6 | 0 | 68 | 1 | 5 | 0 |  | 45 | 2 | 85 | 1 | 50 | 0 |  | 9 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 12 | 0 |  | 476 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:15 AM | 6 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 30 | 0 |  | 26 | 0 | 79 | 5 | 1 | 0 |  | 37 | 2 | 100 | 0 | 53 | 4 |  | 11 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 7 | 0 |  | 514 |  |
| 7:30 AM | 10 | 0 | 155 | 0 | 15 | 0 |  | 52 | 0 | 107 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  | 65 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 69 | 1 |  | 19 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  | 638 |  |
| 7:45 AM | 24 | 1 | 180 | 5 | 34 | 0 |  | 50 | 3 | 138 | 2 | 3 | 0 |  | 62 | 0 | 100 | 1 | 66 | 1 |  | 55 | 1 | 92 | 0 | 5 | 0 |  | 823 | 2451 |
| 8:00 AM | 9 | 0 | 183 | 0 | 70 | 4 |  | 51 | 1 | 94 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 66 | 1 | 120 | 1 | 55 | 2 | 4 | 43 | 0 | 103 | 1 | 10 | 0 |  | 822 | 2797 |
| 8:15 AM | 12 | 0 | 192 | 4 | 63 | 0 |  | 31 | 0 | 111 | 4 | 6 | 0 |  | 54 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 72 | 2 | 4 | 23 | 0 | 60 | 1 | 11 | 0 |  | 739 | 3022 |
| 8:30 AM | 21 | 0 | 166 | 3 | 48 | 1 |  | 57 | 1 | 107 | 3 | 8 | 0 |  | 52 | 0 | 105 | 1 | 68 | 3 |  | 30 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 10 | 0 |  | 735 | 3119 |
| 8:45 AM | 15 | 0 | 159 | 4 | 43 | 0 |  | 32 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 6 | 1 |  | 49 | 1 | 94 | 0 | 74 | 0 |  | 17 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 7 | 1 |  | 633 | 2929 |
| 2-Hour Totals: | 105 | 1 | 1301 | 17 | 317 | 5 | 0 | 305 | 5 | 795 | 20 | 35 | 1 | 1 | 430 | 6 | 790 | 4 | 507 | 13 | 8 | 207 | 1 | 445 | 3 | 66 | 1 | 0 | 5380 |  |
| 2-Hour Vehicles: | 106 |  | 1318 |  | 322 |  |  | 310 |  | 815 |  | 36 |  |  | 436 |  | 794 |  | 520 |  |  | 208 |  | 448 |  | 67 |  |  | 5380 |  |
| Truck Percentage: | 1\% |  | 1\% |  | 2\% |  |  | 2\% |  | 2\% |  | 3\% |  |  | 1\% |  | 1\% |  | 3\% |  |  | 0\% |  | 1\% |  | 1\% |  |  | 1\% |  |
| AM Peak Hour: | 66 | 1 | 721 | 12 | 215 | 5 | 0 | 189 | 5 | 450 | 12 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 234 | 1 | 418 | 3 | 261 | 8 | 8 | 151 | 1 | 306 | 2 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 3119 | PHF: |
| 7:45-8:45 AM | 67 |  | 733 |  | 220 |  |  | 194 |  | 462 |  | 22 |  |  | 235 |  | 421 |  | 269 |  |  | 152 |  | 308 |  | 36 |  |  | 3119 | 0.95 |
| Truck Percentage: | 1\% |  | 2\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  | 1\% |  | 3\% |  |  | 1\% |  | 1\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 2\% |  |


| 4:00 PM | 12 | 0 | 102 | 1 | 38 | 0 |  | 63 | 0 | 77 | 3 | 6 | 0 |  | 26 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 49 | 0 |  | 7 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 9 | 0 |  | 487 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:15 PM | 11 | 0 | 162 | 1 | 65 | 3 |  | 73 | 0 | 59 | 4 | 15 | 0 |  | 50 | 0 | 58 | 3 | 50 | 0 |  | 11 | 0 | 97 | 1 | 4 | 0 |  | 667 |  |
| 4:30 PM | 17 | 1 | 179 | 1 | 125 | 0 | 1 | 85 | 0 | 145 | 3 | 16 | 1 |  | 50 | 2 | 71 | 0 | 63 | 1 |  | 12 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 881 |  |
| 4:45 PM | 6 | 0 | 175 | 3 | 148 | 2 |  | 110 | 0 | 158 | 5 | 9 | 0 |  | 51 | 0 | 80 | 1 | 49 | 1 |  | 17 | 0 | 118 | 2 | 8 | 0 |  | 943 | 2978 |
| 5:00 PM | 25 | 0 | 216 | 1 | 183 | 0 | 1 | 91 | 1 | 151 | 1 | 5 | 0 |  | 52 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 66 | 1 |  | 23 | 0 | 123 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1019 | 3510 |
| 5:15 PM | 4 | 0 | 171 | 2 | 127 | 0 |  | 82 | 0 | 130 | 2 | 11 | 0 |  | 54 | 0 | 92 | 1 | 53 | 0 |  | 15 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 9 | 0 |  | 895 | 3738 |
| 5:30 PM | 19 | 0 | 151 | 1 | 126 | 1 |  | 81 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 5 | 1 |  | 45 | 1 | 70 | 1 | 48 | 1 |  | 19 | 0 | 113 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 802 | 3659 |
| 5:45 PM | 10 | 0 | 92 | 1 | 59 | 0 |  | 59 | 1 | 90 | 3 | 4 | 0 |  | 46 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 61 | 0 |  | 6 | 0 | 117 | 1 | 7 | 0 |  | 635 | 3351 |
| 2-Hour Totals: | 104 | 1 | 1248 | 11 | 871 | 6 | 2 | 644 | 2 | 920 | 21 | 71 | 2 | 0 | 374 | 3 | 558 | 7 | 439 | 4 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 869 | 5 | 59 | 0 | 3 | 6329 |  |
| 2-Hour Vehicles: | 105 |  | 1259 |  | 877 |  |  | 646 |  | 941 |  | 73 |  |  | 377 |  | 565 |  | 443 |  |  | 110 |  | 874 |  | 59 |  |  | 6329 |  |
| Truck Percentage: | 1\% |  | 1\% |  | 1\% |  |  | 0\% |  | 2\% |  | 3\% |  |  | 1\% |  | 1\% |  | 1\% |  |  | 0\% |  | 1\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 1\% |  |
| PM Peak Hour: | 52 | 1 | 741 | 7 | 583 | 2 | 2 | 368 | 1 | 584 | 11 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 207 | 2 | 316 | 2 | 231 | 3 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 485 | 3 | 30 | 0 | 2 | 3738 | PHF: |
| 4:30-5:30 PM | 53 |  | 748 |  | 585 |  |  | 369 |  | 595 |  | 42 |  |  | 209 |  | 318 |  | 234 |  |  | 67 |  | 488 |  | 30 |  |  | 3738 | 0.92 |
| Peak Hour Factor: | 2\% |  | 1\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  | 2\% |  | 2\% |  |  | 1\% |  | 1\% |  | 1\% |  |  | 0\% |  | 1\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 1\% |  |


HV - heavy vehicles - includes trucks and buses

INTERSECTION TURNING MOVEMENT COUNT - SUMMARY

Date: Wednesday, September 18, 2013
Counted by: Robert \& Justin (AM), Justin \& Scott (PM)


| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 66 | 1 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 20 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 145 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 125 | 1 | 41 | 8 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 220 |  |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 205 | 1 | 41 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 24 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 312 |  |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 225 | 6 | 43 | 6 | 0 | 30 | 6 | 33 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 360 | 1037 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 333 | 3 | 44 | 2 | 0 | 25 | 2 | 31 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 458 | 1350 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 265 | 1 | 32 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 30 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 374 | 1504 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 210 | 2 | 32 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 29 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 328 | 1520 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 122 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 21 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 192 | 1352 |
| 2-Hour Totals: | 0 | 0 | 1551 | 16 | 269 | 35 | 0 | 141 | 13 | 207 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 7 | 0 | 2389 |  |
| 2-Hour Vehicles: | 0 |  | 1567 |  | 304 |  |  | 154 |  | 260 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 37 |  | 0 |  | 67 |  |  | 2389 |  |
| Truck Percentage: | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 1\% |  | 12\% |  |  | 8\% |  | 20\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | 22\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 10\% |  |  | 6\% |  |
| AM Peak Hour: | 0 | 0 | 1033 | 12 | 151 | 18 | 0 | 85 | 10 | 123 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 6 | 0 | 1520 | PHF: |
| 7:45 AM - 8:45 AM | 0 |  | 1045 |  | 169 |  |  | 95 |  | 152 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 20 |  | 0 |  | 39 |  |  | 1520 | 0.83 |
| Truck Percentage: | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 1\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 11\% |  | 19\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | 20\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 0\% |  |  | 5\% |  |


| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 42 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 3 | 0 | 308 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 42 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 3 | 118 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 364 |  |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 52 | 2 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 102 | 8 | 139 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 1 | 0 | 412 |  |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 93 | 3 | 165 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 447 | 1531 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 62 | 4 | 15 | 4 | 1 | 90 | 0 | 160 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 435 | 1658 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 172 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 1 | 0 | 456 | 1750 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 2 | 150 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 1 | 0 | 373 | 1711 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 100 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 2 | 0 | 259 | 1523 |
| 2-Hour Totals: | 0 | 0 | 433 | 14 | 129 | 14 | 1 | 656 | 16 | 1117 | 50 | 0 | 0 | I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 588 | 8 | 0 | 3054 |  |
| 2-Hour Vehicles: | 0 |  | 447 |  | 143 |  |  | 672 |  | 1167 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 29 |  | 0 |  | 596 |  |  | 3054 |  |
| Truck Percentage: | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 3\% |  | 10\% |  |  | 2\% |  | 4\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | 3\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | $1 \%$ |  |  | 3\% |  |
| PM Peak Hour: | 0 | 0 | 240 | 6 | 68 | 10 | 1 | 368 | 11 | 636 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 364 | 2 | 0 | 1750 | PHF: |
| 4:30-5:30 PM | 0 |  | 246 |  | 78 |  |  | 379 |  | 669 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  | 0 |  | 366 |  |  | 1750 | 0.96 |
| Peak Hour Factor: | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 2\% |  | 13\% |  |  | 3\% |  | 5\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | 8\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 0\% |  |  | 4\% |  |


HV - heavy vehicles - includes trucks and buses

INTERSECTION TURNING MOVEMENT COUNT - SUMMARY
Project \#: 325052
Weather
Intersection: Thorburn Road / Outer Ring Rd (EB)
Comments Only turning movements counted in PM
Date: Wednesday, September 18, 2013
Counted by: Scoot \& Chris (AM), Robert (PM)

| Time Period Starting: | Eastbound Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  | Westbound Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  | Northbound Approach |  |  |  | Southbound Approach | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 15-\mathrm{min} \\ \text { Vehicle } \\ \text { Volumes } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Hourly Vehicle Volumes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left |  | Through |  | Right |  | Peds | Left |  | Through |  | Right |  | Peds | Left |  |  | Peds |  |  |  |
|  | Cars | HV | Cars | HV | Cars | HV |  | Cars | HV | Cars | HV | Cars | HV |  | Cars | HV |  |  |  |  |  |


| 7:00 AM | 20 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:15 AM | 52 | 0 | 73 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 277 |  |
| 7:30 AM | 41 | 0 | 130 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 329 |  |
| 7:45 AM | 78 | 1 | 130 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 433 | 1239 |
| 8:00 AM | 121 | 0 | 144 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 436 | 1475 |
| 8:15 AM | 88 | 0 | 140 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 401 | 1599 |
| 8:30 AM | 89 | 1 | 100 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 377 | 1647 |
| 8:45 AM | 51 | 2 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 272 | 1486 |
| 2-Hour Totals: | 540 | 4 | 829 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 260 | 18 | 40 | 12 | 0 | 75 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 867 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2725 |  |
| 2-Hour Vehicles: | 544 |  | 846 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 278 |  | 52 |  |  | 115 |  | 0 |  | 890 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 2725 |  |
| Truck Percentage: | 1\% |  | 2\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 6\% |  | 23\% |  |  | 35\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 3\% |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | 4\% |  |
| AM Peak Hour: | 376 | 2 | 514 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 161 | 15 | 26 | 7 | 0 | 50 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 451 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1647 | PHF: |
| 7:45 AM - 8:45 AM | 378 |  | 527 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 176 |  | 33 |  |  | 69 |  | 0 |  | 464 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 1647 | 0.94 |
| Truck Percentage: | 1\% |  | 2\% |  | 0\% |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 9\% |  | 21\% |  |  | 28\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 3\% |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 0\% |  |  | 4\% |  |


| 4:00 PM | 15 | 3 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 5 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 28 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:15 PM | 15 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 5 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 |  |
| 4:30 PM | 23 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 10 | 2 | 0 | 40 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 |  |
| 4:45 PM | 23 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 7 | , | 0 | 47 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 423 |
| 5:00 PM | 25 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 13 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 446 |
| 5:15 PM | 18 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 41 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 445 |
| 5:30 PM | 26 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 447 |
| 5:45 PM | 18 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 415 |
| 2-Hour Totals: | 163 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 3 | 0 | 315 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 274 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 838 |  |
| 2-Hour Vehicles: | 169 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 52 |  |  | 335 |  | 1 |  | 281 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 838 |  |
| Truck Percentage: | 4\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 6\% |  |  | 6\% |  | 0\% |  | 2\% |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | 4\% |  |
| PM Peak Hour: | 92 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | , | 0 | 178 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 447 | PHF: |
| 4:45-5:45 PM | 93 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 27 |  |  | 191 |  | 0 |  | 136 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 447 | 0.92 |
| Peak Hour Factor: | 1\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 0\% |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 4\% |  |  | 7\% |  | \#\#\#\#\# |  | 1\% |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 0\% |  |  | 3.6\% |  |


HV - heavy vehicles - includes trucks and buses

INTERSECTION TURNING MOVEMENT COUNT - SUMMARY
Project \#: 325052
Weather
Intersection: Kelsey Drive / Team Gushue Highway (SB) Comments Counted all movements
Date: Thursday, September 19, 2013
Counted by: Scott


| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 123 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 169 |  |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 48 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 3 | 0 | 231 |  |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 58 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 56 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 152 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 11 | 0 | 340 | 863 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 73 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 4 | 0 | 286 | 1026 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 77 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 62 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 300 | 1157 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 72 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 40 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 128 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 7 | 0 | 299 | 1225 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 65 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 256 | 1141 |
| 2-Hour Totals: | 0 | 0 | 440 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 300 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 872 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 284 | 31 | 0 | 2004 |  |
| 2-Hour Vehicles: | 0 |  | 473 |  | 2 |  |  | 7 |  | 314 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 893 |  | 0 |  | 315 |  |  | 1689 |  |
| Truck Percentage: | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 7\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 14\% |  | 4\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | 2\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 10\% |  |  | 6\% |  |
| AM Peak Hour: | 0 | 0 | 280 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 195 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 532 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 24 | 0 | 1225 | PHF: |
| 7:45 AM - 8:45 AM | 0 |  | 298 |  | 2 |  |  | 5 |  | 203 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 547 |  | 0 |  | 170 |  |  | 1225 | 0.90 |
| Truck Percentage: | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 6\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 20\% |  | 4\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | 3\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 0\% |  |  | 5\% |  |


| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 90 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 81 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 54 | 3 | 0 | 284 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 109 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 93 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 2 | 0 | 331 |  |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 153 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 2 | 0 | 400 |  |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 127 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 3 | 0 | 365 | 1380 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 146 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 369 | 1465 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 113 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 339 | 1473 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 272 | 1345 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 241 | 1221 |
| 2-Hour Totals: | 0 | 0 | 915 | 13 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 749 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 365 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 503 | 10 | 0 | 2601 |  |
| 2-Hour Vehicles: | 0 |  | 928 |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  | 760 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 389 |  | 1 |  | 513 |  |  | 2088 |  |
| Truck Percentage: | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 1\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  | 1\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | 6\% |  | 0\% |  | 2\% |  |  | 3\% |  |
| PM Peak Hour: | 0 | 0 | 539 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 427 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 189 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 286 | 5 | 0 | 1473 | PHF: |
| 4:30-5:30 PM | 0 |  | 544 |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  | 433 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 201 |  | 0 |  | 291 |  |  | 1473 | 0.92 |
| Peak Hour Factor: | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 1\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  | 1\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | 6\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 0\% |  |  | 2\% |  |


HV - heavy vehicles - includes trucks and buses

INTERSECTION TURNING MOVEMENT COUNT - SUMMARY
Project \#: 325052
Weather
Intersection: Kelsey Drive/ Team Gushue Highway (NB)
Comments Only turning movements counted in AM \& PM
Date: Thursday, September 19, 2013
Counted by: Robert (AM) \& Chris (PM)


| 7:00 AM | 8 | 5 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:15 AM | 6 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |  |
| 7:30 AM | 12 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 28 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 |  |
| 7:45 AM | 16 | 4 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 41 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 165 |
| 8:00 AM | 16 | 3 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 34 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 201 |
| 8:15 AM | 16 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 30 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 243 |
| 8:30 AM | 22 | 3 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 257 |
| 8:45 AM | 11 | 3 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 32 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 238 |
| 2-Hour Totals: | 107 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 219 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 403 |  |
| 2-Hour Vehicles: | 127 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 230 |  |  | 7 |  | 0 |  | 39 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 403 |  |
| Truck Percentage: | 16\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 5\% |  |  | 0\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 3\% |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | 8\% |  |
| AM Peak Hour: | 70 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 257 | PHF: |
| 7:45 AM - 8:45 AM | 81 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 142 |  |  | 5 |  | 0 |  | 29 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 257 | 0.93 |
| Truck Percentage: | 14\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 0\% |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 5\% |  |  | 0\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 3\% |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 0\% |  |  | 7\% |  |


| 4:00 PM | 44 | 3 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 120 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 171 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:15 PM | 61 | 3 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 190 |  |
| 4:30 PM | 76 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 242 |  |
| 4:45 PM | 66 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 124 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 198 | 801 |
| 5:00 PM | 87 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 150 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 242 | 872 |
| 5:15 PM | 56 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 91 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 831 |
| 5:30 PM | 53 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 59 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 702 |
| 5:45 PM | 35 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 63 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 604 |
| 2-Hour Totals: | 478 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 886 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1405 |  |
| 2-Hour Vehicles: | 489 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 895 |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 18 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 1405 |  |
| Truck Percentage: | 2\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 1\% |  |  | 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 6\% |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | 1\% |  |
| PM Peak Hour: | 290 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 553 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 872 | PHF: |
| 4:45-5:45 PM | 297 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 555 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 18 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 872 | 0.90 |
| Peak Hour Factor: | 2\% |  | \#\#\#\#\# |  | 0\% |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\# |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 6\% |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 0\% |  |  | 1.1\% |  |


HV - heavy vehicles - includes trucks and buses

## INTERSECTION TURNING MOVEMENT COUNT - SUMMARY

Project \#: 325052
Weather
Intersection: Kenmount Drive/ Brougham Drive
Comments
Date: Tuesday, September 24, 2013
Counted by: Chris \& Scott


| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 82 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 6 | 0 | 25 | 2 | 3 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  | 49 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 0 |  | 193 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:15 AM | 1 | 0 | 18 | 5 |  | 0 |  | 10 | 0 | 35 | 1 | 5 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 |  | 51 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 17 | 0 |  | 172 |  |
| 7:30 AM | 4 | 0 | 141 | 2 | 9 | 0 |  | 14 | 0 | 72 | 5 | 8 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |  | 74 | 1 | 38 | 0 | 11 | 1 |  | 384 |  |
| 7:45 AM | 3 | 1 | 144 | 5 | 9 | 0 |  | 32 | 0 | 92 | 1 | 11 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 101 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 24 | 1 |  | 476 | 1225 |
| 8:00 AM | 13 | 1 | 125 | 13 | 13 | 1 |  | 12 | 0 | 64 | 3 | 12 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 95 | 0 | 47 | 1 | 23 | 0 |  | 427 | 1459 |
| 8:15 AM | 14 | 0 | 143 | 4 | 12 | 0 |  | 23 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 19 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 0 |  | 75 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 14 | 2 |  | 417 | 1704 |
| 8:30 AM | 3 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 10 | 1 |  | 12 | 0 | 53 | 2 | 4 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 62 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 330 | 1650 |
| 8:45 AM | 8 | 0 | 108 | 2 | 10 | 0 |  | 17 | 0 | 47 | 7 | 4 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 |  | 45 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 9 | 0 |  | 296 | 1470 |
| 2-Hour Totals: | 46 | 2 | 892 | 33 | 63 | 2 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 440 | 21 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 39 | 3 | 1 | 552 | 3 | 262 | 2 | 118 | 5 | 1 | 2695 |  |
| 2-Hour Vehicles: | 48 |  | 925 |  | 65 |  |  | 126 |  | 461 |  | 66 |  |  | 8 |  | 12 |  | 42 |  |  | 555 |  | 264 |  | 123 |  |  | 2695 |  |
| Truck Percentage: | 4\% |  | 4\% |  | 3\% |  |  | 0\% |  | 5\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 25\% |  | 25\% |  | 7\% |  |  | 1\% |  | 1\% |  | 4\% |  |  | 3\% |  |
| AM Peak Hour: | 34 | 2 | 553 | 24 | 43 | 1 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 280 | 9 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 345 | 1 | 176 | 1 | 72 | 4 | 0 | 1704 | PHF: |
| 7:30-8:30 AM | 36 |  | 577 |  | 44 |  |  | 81 |  | 289 |  | 50 |  |  | 4 |  | 3 |  | 21 |  |  | 346 |  | 177 |  | 76 |  |  | 1704 | 0.89 |
| Truck Percentage: | 6\% |  | 4\% |  | 2\% |  |  | 0\% |  | 3\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  | 33\% |  | 10\% |  |  | 0\% |  | 1\% |  | 5\% |  |  | 3\% |  |


| 4:00 PM | 14 | 0 | 71 | 5 | 5 | 0 |  | 26 | 2 | 102 | 3 | 41 | 0 |  | 8 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  | 29 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 7 | 0 |  | 342 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:15 PM | 15 | 0 | 77 | 4 | 4 | 0 |  | 21 | 0 | 148 | 9 | 35 | 0 |  | 6 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 72 | 0 |  | 21 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 7 | 0 |  | 451 |  |
| 4:30 PM | 25 | 0 | 130 | 3 | 4 | 1 |  | 37 | 3 | 195 | 4 | 69 | 0 |  | 5 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 18 | 1 |  | 29 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 16 | 0 |  | 579 |  |
| 4:45 PM | 21 | 0 | 97 | 5 | 4 | 1 |  | 26 | 0 | 167 | 6 | 74 | 0 |  | 3 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 17 | 1 |  | 28 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 14 | 0 |  | 508 | 1880 |
| 5:00 PM | 44 | 0 | 122 | 3 | 3 | 0 |  | 30 | 0 | 136 | 4 | 79 | 1 |  | 6 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 27 | 1 |  | 22 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 8 | 0 |  | 535 | 2073 |
| 5:15 PM | 22 | 0 | 88 | 2 | 5 | 0 |  | 21 | 0 | 157 | 4 | 81 | 1 |  | 7 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 8 | 0 |  | 28 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 11 | 0 |  | 482 | 2104 |
| 5:30 PM | 13 | 0 | 79 | 3 | 4 | 0 |  | 9 | 0 | 128 | 4 | 44 | 1 |  | 15 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 7 | 0 |  | 35 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 8 | 0 |  | 382 | 1907 |
| 5:45 PM | 24 | 0 | 97 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 15 | 0 | 88 | 5 | 35 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  | 23 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 12 | 0 |  | 328 | 1727 |
| 2-Hour Totals: | 178 | 0 | 761 | 26 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 185 | 5 | 1121 | 39 | 458 | 4 | 0 | 50 | 3 | 171 | 0 | 155 | 3 | 0 | 215 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 3607 |  |
| 2-Hour Vehicles: | 178 |  | 787 |  | 32 |  |  | 190 |  | 1160 |  | 462 |  |  | 53 |  | 171 |  | 158 |  |  | 215 |  | 118 |  | 83 |  |  | 3607 |  |
| Truck Percentage: | 0\% |  | 3\% |  | 6\% |  |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 1\% |  |  | 6\% |  | 0\% |  | 2\% |  |  | 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 2\% |  |
| PM Peak Hour: | 112 | 0 | 437 | 13 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 114 |  | 655 | 18 | 303 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 116 | 0 | 70 | 3 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 2104 | PHF: |
| 4:30-5:30 PM | 112 |  | 450 |  | 18 |  |  | 117 |  | 673 |  | 305 |  |  | 23 |  | 116 |  | 73 |  |  | 107 |  | 61 |  | 49 |  |  | 2104 | 0.91 |
| Peak Hour Factor: | 0\% |  | 3\% |  | 11\% |  |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 1\% |  |  | 9\% |  | 0\% |  | 4\% |  |  | 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 2\% |  |


HV - heavy vehicles - includes trucks and buses

INTERSECTION TURNING MOVEMENT COUNT - SUMMARY
Project \#: 325052
Weather
Intersection: Kelsey Drive/ Kiwanis Street Comments PM count is from 4:30-6:30
Date: September 24 (PM) \& 26 (AM), 2013
Counted by: Robert \& Scott


| 7:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 46 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 42 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 37 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 91 |  |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 43 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 64 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  | 121 |  |
| 7:45 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 93 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 80 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  | 199 | 457 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 101 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 56 | 1 |  | 181 | 592 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 90 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 66 | 4 | 1 | 0 |  | 181 | 682 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 92 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 57 | 5 | 0 | 2 |  | 175 | 736 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 102 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 55 | 4 | 1 | 0 |  | 194 | 731 |
| 2-Hour Totals: | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 16 | 574 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 387 | 22 | 60 | 4 | 0 | 1188 |  |
| 2-Hour Vehicles: | 4 |  | 0 |  | 52 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 61 |  | 598 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 409 |  | 64 |  |  | 1188 |  |
| Truck Percentage: | 50\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 40\% |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | 26\% |  | 4\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 5\% |  | 6\% |  |  | 7\% |  |
| AM Peak Hour: | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 10 | 376 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 204 | 11 | 59 | 3 | 0 | 736 | PHF: |
| 7:45 AM - 8:45 AM | 3 |  | 0 |  | 32 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 35 |  | 389 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 215 |  | 62 |  |  | 736 | 0.92 |
| Truck Percentage: | 67\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 0\% |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | 29\% |  | 3\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 5\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 7\% |  |


| 4:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 150 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 302 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:45 PM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 5 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 2 | 129 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 170 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  | 416 |  |
| 5:00 PM | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 1 | 139 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 190 | 1 | 4 | 0 |  | 414 |  |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 3 | 101 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  | 335 | 1467 |
| 5:30 PM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | , | 129 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 147 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 367 | 1532 |
| 5:45 PM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 151 | 2 | 4 | 0 |  | 320 | 1436 |
| 6:00 PM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 93 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 145 | 1 | 8 | 0 |  | 309 | 1331 |
| 6:15 PM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  | 278 | 1274 |
| 2-Hour Totals: | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 261 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 285 | 6 | 882 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1227 | 8 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 2741 |  |
| 2-Hour Vehicles: | 28 |  | 0 |  | 273 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 291 |  | 888 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 1235 |  | 26 |  |  | 2741 |  |
| Truck Percentage: | 0\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 4\% |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | 2\% |  | 1\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 1\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 1\% |  |
| PM Peak Hour: | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 153 | 6 | 498 | 5 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 667 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1532 | PHF: |
| 4:45-5:45 PM | 16 |  | 0 |  | 173 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 159 |  | 503 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 671 |  | 10 |  |  | 1532 | 0.92 |
| Peak Hour Factor: | 0\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 6\% |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | 4\% |  | 1\% |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  | 1\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 2\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-Hour Total: | 30 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 292 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 330 | 22 | 1456 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1614 | 30 | 86 | 4 | 0 | 3929 |  |

HV - heavy vehicles - includes trucks and buses


| Area Zoning | Use | Land Area Unit | Total DU's | Lot Coverage | Unit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITE LU } \\ & \text { Code } \end{aligned}$ | Ave Daily Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Daily } \\ & \text { Trips } \end{aligned}$ | In | Out | Am Peak Rate | Trip Gen | In Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { In } \\ & \text { Trips } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Out } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Out } \\ & \text { Trips } \end{aligned}$ | Pm Pe |  | Trip Gen | In Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { In } \\ & \text { Trips } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Out } \\ & \text { Rate } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Out } \\ & \text { Trips } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 R1 | Low Density Residential |  | 381 |  |  | 230 | 5.81 | 2214 | 1107 | 1107 | 0.44 | 168 | 17\% | 28 | 83\% | 139 |  | 0.52 | 198 | 67\% | 133 | 33\% | 65 |
| 5 R 2 | Semi- Detached Units |  | 74 |  |  | 230 | 5.81 | 430 | 215 | 215 | 0.44 | 33 | 17\% | 6 | 83\% | 27 |  | 0.52 | 38 | 67\% | 26 | 33\% | 13 |
| 5 R3 | Multi-family units |  | 108 |  |  | 221 | 6.59 | 712 | 356 | 356 | 0.46 | 50 | 21\% | 10 | 79\% | 39 |  | 0.58 | 63 | 65\% | 41 | 35\% | 22 |
| 6 R1 | Low Density Residential |  | 347 |  |  | 230 | 5.81 | 2016 | 1008 | 1008 | 0.44 | 153 | 17\% | 26 | 83\% | 127 |  | 0.52 | 180 | 67\% | 121 | 33\% | 60 |
| 6 R2 | Semi- Detached Units |  | 69 |  |  | 230 | 5.81 | 401 | 200 | 200 | 0.44 | 30 | 17\% | 5 | 83\% | 25 |  | 0.52 | 36 | 67\% | 24 | 33\% | 12 |
| 6 R3 | Multi-family units |  | 72 |  |  | 221 | 6.59 | 474 | 237 | 237 | 0.46 | 33 | 21\% | 7 | 79\% | 26 |  | 0.58 | 42 | 65\% | 27 | 35\% | 15 |
| $6 \mathrm{C1}$ | Retail | 3.575 ha |  | 25\% | 96200 s.f. GFA | 820 | 42.94 | 4131 | 2065 | 2065 | 1.00 | 96 | 61\% | 59 | 39\% | 38 |  | 3.73 | 359 | 49\% | 176 | 51\% | 183 |
| 6 | Office | 3.575 ha |  | 25\% | 96200 s.f. GFA | 710 | 11.01 | 1059 | 530 | 530 | 1.55 | 149 | 88\% | 131 | 12\% | 18 |  | 1.49 | 143 | 17\% | 24 | 83\% | 119 |
| 7 R 1 | Low Density Residential |  | 461 |  |  | 230 | 5.81 | 2678 | 1339 | 1339 | 0.44 | 203 | 17\% | 34 | 83\% | 168 |  | 0.52 | 240 | 67\% | 161 | 33\% | 79 |
| 7 R 2 | Semi- Detached Units |  | 151 |  |  | 230 | 5.81 | 877 | 439 | 439 | 0.44 | 66 | 17\% | 11 | 83\% | 55 |  | 0.52 | 79 | 67\% | 53 | 33\% | 26 |
| 7 R3 | Multi-family units |  | 192 |  |  | 221 | 6.59 | 1265 | 633 | 633 | 0.46 | 88 | 21\% | 19 | 79\% | 70 |  | 0.58 | 111 | 65\% | 72 | 35\% | 39 |
| $7 \mathrm{C1}$ | Retail | 10000 gross s.m. |  |  | 107600 s.f. GFA | 820 | 42.94 | 4620 | 2310 | 2310 | 1.00 | 108 | 61\% | 66 | 39\% | 42 |  | 3.73 | 401 | 49\% | 197 | 51\% | 205 |
| 7 | Office | 17406 gross s.m. |  |  | 187300 s.f. GFA | 710 | 11.01 | 2062 | 1031 | 1031 | 1.55 | 290 | 88\% | 255 | 12\% | 35 |  | 1.49 | 279 | 17\% | 47 | 83\% | 232 |
| 7 | Business Park | 29.82 ha |  | 25\% | 802000 s.f. GFA | 770 | 12.76 | 10234 | 5117 | 5117 | 1.43 | 1147 | 84\% | 963 | 16\% | 183 |  | 1.29 | 1035 | 23\% | 238 | 77\% | 797 |



Note: Numbers in Red are different with numbers in Table $\mathbf{2}$ in the report

## PLAN \& PROFILE DRAWINGS

1 - SK\#001
2 - SK\#002
3 - SK\#003




## TYPICAL CROSS-SECTIONS

Urban Arterial Divided (UAD)


Urban Arterial Undivided (UAU)


## Urban Collector Undivided (UCU)



## Urban Local



1 - EXISTING CONDITIONS (SCENARIO 0) SYNCHRO \& SIMTRAFFIC ANALYSIS RESULTS

|  |  | Existing Road Network |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection |  | Synchro |  |  |  | SimTraffic |  |  |
|  |  | Delay/Veh (s) | LOS | V/C | Queue (m) 95th\%ile | Delay/Veh (s) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Equivalent } \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Queue (m) } \\ & \text { 95th\%ile } \end{aligned}$ |
| Street | Movement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outer Ring Road (SB) \& Thorburn Road |  |  |  |  |  | 51.8 | F |  |
| Thorburn Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 0.0 | - | 0.86 | 0.0 | 12.3 | B | 12.0 |
|  | Eastbound Through |  |  |  |  | 10.6 | B |  |
|  | Westbound Through | 13.8 | B | 0.22 | 6.3 | 301.6 | F | 117.6 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn | 0.0 | - | 0.11 | 0.0 | 49.0 | E | 239.7 |
| Outer Ring Road (SB) | Southbound Left - Turn | 53.0 | F | 0.50 | 17.9 | 695.2 | F | 171.7 |
|  | Southbound Through |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 432.6 | F | 17.7 |
| Outer Ring Road (NB) \& Thorburn Road |  |  |  |  |  | 23.5 | C |  |
| Thorburn Road | Eastbound Through | 8.7 | A | 0.29 | 9.2 | 5.2 | A | 26.6 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn | 0.0 | - | 0.33 | 0.0 | 1.9 | A | 0.6 |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 0.0 | - | 0.13 | 0.0 | 19.2 | C | 74.7 |
|  | Westbound Through |  |  |  |  | 11.8 | B |  |
| Outer Ring Road (NB) | Northbound Left - Turn | 383.1 | F | 1.76 | 277.2 | 80.9 | F | 276.1 |
|  | Northbound Through |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 66.6 | F |  |
| Goldstone Street \& Thorburn Road |  |  | C |  |  | 9.7 | A |  |
| Thorburn Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 13.3 | B | 0.01 | 2.1 | 9.8 | A | 7.2 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 22.8 | C | 0.80 | 134.7 | 13.2 | B | 72.7 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 5.7 | A | 78.8 |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 38.5 | D | 0.80 | 84.6 | 19.1 | B | 52.0 |
|  | Westbound Through | 3.8 | A | 0.06 | 8.1 | 3.1 | A | 14.2 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 3.1 | A | 13.7 |
| Goldstone Street | Northbound Left - Turn | 34.4 | C | 0.13 | 9.0 | 39.9 | D | 13.8 |
|  | Northbound Through | 11.8 | B | 0.57 | 19.3 | 1.2 | A | 17.1 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 3.5 | A |  |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 49.4 | D | 0.41 | 12.5 | 39.8 | D | 17.2 |
|  | Southbound Through | 30.4 | C | 0.20 | 14.0 | 33.8 | C | 20.1 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 2.6 | A |  |
| Austin Street \& Thorburn Ro |  |  | C |  |  | 15.2 | B |  |
| Thorburn Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 11.7 | B | 0.01 | 1.8 | 22.8 | C | 9.8 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 16.5 | B | 0.61 | 109.1 | 15.6 | B | 74.8 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 12.9 | B | 79.0 |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 89.2 | F | 1.07 | 79.6 | 24.7 | C | 57.0 |
|  | Westbound Through | 5.9 | A | 0.21 | 27.5 | 5.5 | A | 46.5 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 3.5 | A | 24.2 |
| Austin Street | Northbound Left - Turn | 32.0 | C | 0.04 | 5.8 | 36.0 | D | 9.3 |
|  | Northbound Through | 8.7 | A | 0.36 | 14.9 | 35.6 | D | 5.0 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 2.6 | A |  |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 57.9 | E | 0.72 | 42.1 | 40.7 | D | 44.2 |
|  | Southbound Through | 22.3 | C | 0.04 | 5.0 | 40.8 | D | 6.3 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 1.9 | A |  |
| Mt Scio Road \& Thorburn Road |  |  | B |  |  | 15.3 | B |  |
| Thorburn Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 10.2 | B | 0.39 | 22.2 | 17.2 | B | 43.4 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 11.4 | B | 0.53 | 87.5 | 11.6 | B | 88.2 |
|  | Westbound Through | 16.6 | B | 0.44 | 71.0 | 14.7 | B | 70.2 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 8.4 | A | 52.5 |
| Mt Scio Road | Southbound Left - Turn | 54.8 | D | 0.81 | 82.4 | 38.2 | D | 64.1 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn | 7.2 | A | 0.48 | 15.8 | 11.5 | B | 86.4 |
| O'Leary Avenue \& Thorburn Road |  |  | D |  |  | 52.9 | D |  |
| Thorburn Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 20.8 | C | 0.24 | 19.7 | 72.0 | E | 78.7 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 77.3 | E | 1.05 | 188.9 | 92.2 | F | 230.5 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 96.2 | F | 236.1 |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 43.7 | D | 0.75 | 62.6 | 30.8 | C | 47.9 |
|  | Westbound Through | 28.9 | C | 0.47 | 75.5 | 19.5 | B | 61.9 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 17.0 | B | 60.0 |
| O'Leary Avenue | Northbound Left - Turn | 17.5 | B | 0.20 | 18.5 | 23.0 | C | 50.8 |
|  | Northbound Through | 42.1 | D | 0.88 | 99.0 | 39.3 | D | 111.8 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 31.1 | C |  |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 20.9 | C | 0.34 | 11.4 | 29.3 | C | 23.6 |
|  | Southbound Through | 18.0 | B | 0.22 | 14.9 | 28.0 | C | 25.6 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 12.8 | B |  |


| Columbus Drive \& Thorburn Road |  |  | D |  |  | 33.7 | C |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thorburn Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 59.0 | E | 0.88 | 89.4 | 47.4 | D | 74.7 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 15.4 | B | 0.47 | 45.3 | 24.4 | C | 54.2 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 25.7 | C | 101.0 |
|  | Westbound Through | 58.6 | E | 0.81 | 44.1 | 47.1 | D | 34.6 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 36.9 | D | 46.2 |
| Prince Phillip Drive | Northbound Left - Turn | 34.5 | C | 0.88 | 97.0 | 35.7 | D | 94.9 |
|  | Northbound Through | 40.3 | D | 0.87 | 178.1 | 16.5 | B | 212.1 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn | 17.3 | B | 0.38 | 50.6 | 26.5 | C | 134.8 |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 20.9 | C | 0.29 | 11.9 | 37.0 | D | 22.0 |
|  | Southbound Through | 36.7 | D | 0.51 | 60.8 | 2.7 | A | 66.2 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn | 6.4 | A | 0.40 | 19.0 | 33.7 | C | 7.0 |
| Thorburn Road \& Freshwater Road |  |  | B |  |  | 10.6 | B |  |
| Freshwater Road | Eastbound Through | 7.9 | A | 0.34 | 53.5 | 7.1 | A | 51.8 |
|  | Westbound Through | 7.4 | A | 0.27 | 41.2 | 8.5 | A | 53.5 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn | 1.5 | A | 0.25 | 9.5 | 3.2 | A | 26.6 |
| Thorburn Road | Southbound Left - Turn | 41.0 | D | 0.62 | 47.1 | 31.4 | C | 46.7 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn | 23.0 | C | 0.71 | 50.1 | 7.5 | A | 32.5 |
| Avalon Mall \& Kenmount Road |  |  | A |  |  | 5.1 | A |  |
| Kenmount Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 5.2 | A | 0.06 | 3.4 | 10.5 | B | 10.2 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 5.6 | A | 0.36 | 46.0 | 3.3 | A | 32.0 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 5.8 | A | 35.7 |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 9.8 | A | 0.02 | 2.6 | 14.9 | B | 6.1 |
|  | Westbound Through | 10.2 | B | 0.47 | 88.2 | 4.9 | A | 44.5 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn | 2.4 | A | 0.14 | 8.6 | 1.6 | A | 14.8 |
| Avalon Mall | Northbound Left - Turn | 28.2 | C | 0.03 | 3.3 | 29.6 | C | 6.0 |
|  | Northbound Through | 0.0 | A | 0.02 | 0.0 | - | - | 7.3 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 5.4 | A |  |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 38.0 | D | 0.44 | 24.2 | 32.8 | C | 27.4 |
|  | Southbound Through |  |  |  |  | 21.8 | C |  |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn | 0.2 | A | 0.04 | 0.0 | 1.0 | A | - |
| Pippy Place \& Kenmount Roa |  |  | C |  |  | 15.6 | B |  |
| Kenmount Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 32.2 | C | 0.81 | 130.1 | 24.5 | C | 76.6 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 6.9 | A | 0.55 | 105.5 | 7.8 | A | 88.8 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 5.9 | A | 74.4 |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 25.0 | C | 0.01 | 1.5 | 34.4 | C | 5.6 |
|  | Westbound Through | 30.2 | C | 0.69 | 125.2 | 18.0 | B | 77.9 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 18.4 | B | 89.6 |
| Pippy Place | Northbound Left - Turn | 48.6 | D | 0.05 | 5.1 | 58.0 | E | 7.8 |
|  | Northbound Through |  |  |  |  | 69.2 | E |  |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 13.8 | B |  |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 73.4 | E | 0.75 | 60.3 | 53.9 | D | 51.2 |
|  | Southbound Through |  |  |  |  | 41.1 | D | 21.6 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn | 11.5 | B | 0.38 | 15.4 | 7.2 | A |  |
| Kelsey Drive \& Kenmount Ro |  |  | B |  |  | 13.2 | B |  |
| Kenmount Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 33.1 | C | 0.48 | 25.4 | 32.7 | C | 30.8 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 10.8 | B | 0.79 | 120.5 | 12.3 | B | 69.1 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | - | - | 82.1 |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 0.0 | - | - | - | 13.2 | B | - |
|  | Westbound Through | 16.4 | B | 0.59 | 75.1 | - | - | 75.6 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn | 1.1 | A | 0.15 | 2.4 | 5.3 | A | - |
| Kelsey Drive | Northbound Left - Turn | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Northbound Through | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | - | - | - |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 30.2 | C | 0.17 | 14.3 | 29.8 | C | 44.9 |
|  | Southbound Through | 30.2 | C | 0.17 | 14.3 | 0.5 | A |  |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn | 10.4 | B | 0.63 | 20.2 | 4.3 | A | 7.9 |
| Kelsey Drive \& Kiwanis Stree |  |  |  |  |  | 2.4 | A |  |
| Kiwanis Street | Eastbound Left - Turn | 9.7 | A | 0.04 | 0.9 | 6.6 | A | 8.7 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn | - | - | 0.00 | 0.0 | 2.3 | A | 1.7 |
| Kelsey Drive | Northbound Left - Turn | 8.2 | A | 0.03 | 0.8 | 4.9 | A | 9.6 |
|  | Northbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.12 | 0.0 | 3.1 | A | 0.0 |
|  | Southbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.07 | 0.0 | 0.9 | A | 18.2 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn | 0.0 | - | 0.04 | 0.0 | 2.4 | A | 24.3 |
| Team Gushue Hwy (SB) \& Kelsey Drive |  |  |  |  |  | 66.1 | F |  |
| Kelsey Drive | Eastbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.19 | 0.0 | 1.0 | A | - |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn | 0.0 | - | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.5 | A | - |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 8.3 | A | 0.01 | 0.1 | 3.6 | A | 3.3 |
|  | Westbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.07 | 0.0 | 0.6 | A | 0.6 |
| Team Gushue Hwy (SB) | Southbound Left - Turn | 353.1 | F | 1.72 | 363.5 | 113.9 | F | 227.7 |
|  | Southbound Through |  |  |  |  | 110.9 | F |  |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 66.1 | F |  |


| Team Gushue Hwy (NB) \& Kelsey Drive |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | A |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kelsey Drive | Eastbound Left - Turn | 8.5 | A | 0.08 | 1.9 | 4.6 | A | 18.4 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.48 | 0.0 | 1.0 | A | - |
|  | Westbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.22 | 0.0 | 1.1 | A | 2.8 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 0.8 | A |  |
| Team Gushue Hwy (NB) | Northbound Left - Turn | 19.0 | C | 0.12 | 3.2 | 11.3 | B | 15.4 |
|  | Northbound Through |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 4.7 | A |  |
| Ladysmith Drive \& Kenmount Road |  |  | C |  |  | 24.8 | C |  |
| Kenmount Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 11.3 | B | 0.08 | 5.6 | 33.3 | C | 20.7 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 29.5 | C | 0.90 | 236.1 | 28.8 | C | 134.8 |
|  | Westbound Through | 21.3 | C | 0.54 | 109.1 | 19.0 | B | 116.9 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 11.1 | B | 146.0 |
| Ladysmith Drive | Southbound Left - Turn | 30.2 | C | 0.28 | 37.8 | 27.9 | C | 43.3 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 5.6 | A | 56.6 |
| Great Eastern Avenue \& Kenmount Road |  |  | B |  |  | 13.5 | B |  |
| Kenmount Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 3.2 | A | 0.14 | 5.0 | 16.8 | B | 14.6 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 13.4 | B | 0.77 | 206.5 | 14.0 | B | 111.1 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 13.7 | B | 131.8 |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 4.6 | A | 0.16 | 2.9 | 24.2 | C | 17.8 |
|  | Westbound Through | 7.3 | A | 0.36 | 50.4 | 12.6 | B | 151.6 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 12.8 | B | 205.0 |
| Great Eastern Avenue | Northbound Left - Turn | 38.9 | D | 0.10 | 6.3 | 31.3 | C | 8.4 |
|  | Northbound Through | 0.2 | A | 0.03 | 0.0 | - | - | 7.3 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 10.8 | B |  |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 38.7 | D | 0.10 | 6.3 | 34.7 | C | 9.7 |
|  | Southbound Through | 16.1 | B | 0.43 | 13.2 | 37.0 | D | 2.9 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 1.8 | A |  |
| Wyatt Boulevard \& Kenmoun |  |  | F |  |  | 55.5 | E |  |
| Kenmount Road | Eastbound Through | 42.7 | D | 0.82 | 151.2 | 21.4 | C | 94.9 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 10.8 | B | 93.2 |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 52.1 | D | 0.92 | 165.7 | 22.2 | C | 90.9 |
|  | Westbound Through | 6.6 | A | 0.27 | 34.0 | 4.9 | A | 65.2 |
| Wyatt Boulevard | Northbound Left - Turn | 42.2 | D | 0.17 | 23.2 | 69.6 | E | 492.5 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn | 299.1 | F | 1.61 | 382.5 | 139.1 | F | 420.6 |
| Brougham Drive \& Kenmount |  |  | D |  |  | 50.7 | D |  |
| Kenmount Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 38.7 | D | 0.19 | 15.6 | 52.7 | D | 33.4 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 38.7 | D | 0.81 | 79.8 | 37.6 | D | 82.3 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 30.9 | C | 86.4 |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 43.4 | D | 0.41 | 29.2 | 46.7 | D | 34.6 |
|  | Westbound Through | 25.7 | C | 0.38 | 40.4 | 18.6 | B | 44.2 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 25.7 | C | 48.8 |
| Brougham Drive | Northbound Left - Turn | 36.0 | D | 0.02 | 3.5 | 2134.5 | F | 98.0 |
|  | Northbound Through | 18.0 | B | 0.13 | 7.8 | 983.5 | F |  |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 249.3 | F | 14.6 |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 48.2 | D | 0.84 | 105.5 | 114.0 | F | 252.6 |
|  | Southbound Through | 20.1 | C | 0.37 | 63.1 | 17.3 | B | 108.4 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 11.3 | B |  |
| Columbus Drive \& Old Penny | Road |  | D |  |  | 33.8 | C |  |
| Old Pennywell Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 66.9 | E | 0.80 | 79.7 | 56.7 | E | 60.5 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 55.4 | E | 0.67 | 67.9 | 57.0 | E | 102.4 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn | 0.4 | A | 0.09 | 0.0 | 4.7 | A | 35.1 |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 51.0 | D | 0.49 | 27.7 | 43.7 | D | 36.4 |
|  | Westbound Through | 47.5 | D | 0.23 | 18.8 | 41.5 | D | 22.1 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn | 2.8 | A | 0.37 | 0.0 | 2.7 | A | - |
| Columbus Drive | Northbound Left - Turn | 13.6 | B | 0.03 | 1.6 | 19.9 | B | 17.4 |
|  | Northbound Through | 46.3 | D | 0.91 | 166.9 | 38.1 | D | 122.1 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn | 14.1 | B | 0.39 | 32.6 | 5.4 | A | 37.1 |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 53.9 | D | 0.74 | 58.3 | 32.1 | C | 45.1 |
|  | Southbound Through | 38.5 | D | 0.29 | 75.0 | 30.1 | C | 66.2 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn | 5.8 | A | 0.05 | 5.6 | 4.8 | A | 0.3 |
| Columbus Drive \& Mundy Pond Road |  |  | C |  |  | 39.8 | D |  |
| Mundy Pond Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 83.6 | F | 0.95 | 92.5 | 88.6 | F | 86.3 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 37.4 | D | 0.58 | 79.8 | 49.7 | D | 180.3 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 41.7 | D |  |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 33.7 | C | 0.31 | 17.3 | 50.9 | D | 31.8 |
|  | Westbound Through | 32.0 | C | 0.48 | 46.0 | 34.1 | C | 67.5 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 26.4 | C |  |
| Columbus Drive | Northbound Left - Turn | 8.0 | A | 0.00 | 0.0 | - | - | 1.3 |
|  | Northbound Through | 20.5 | C | 0.94 | 242.2 | 41.4 | D | 169.2 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 44.2 | D | 214.8 |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 30.7 | C | 0.25 | 16.8 | 30.8 | C | 20.6 |
|  | Southbound Through | 29.6 | C | 0.40 | 117.4 | 22.2 | C | 77.9 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 22.3 | C | 81.9 |


| Columbus Drive \& Blackmarsh Road |  |  | D |  |  | 32.3 | C |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blackmarsh Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 33.4 | C | 0.53 | 47.6 | 41.5 | D | 71.1 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 58.0 | E | 0.82 | 94.1 | 44.7 | D | 93.8 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 34.0 | C |  |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 32.6 | C | 0.49 | 26.8 | 38.8 | D | 34.2 |
|  | Westbound Through | 38.3 | D | 0.36 | 40.1 | 38.2 | D | 42.4 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn | 7.3 | A | 0.38 | 14.6 | 2.8 | A | 10.0 |
| Columbus Drive | Northbound Left - Turn | 13.3 | B | 0.02 | 2.7 | 19.3 | B | 14.1 |
|  | Northbound Through | 44.3 | D | 0.94 | 217.3 | 35.4 | D | 132.3 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 29.4 | C | 133.0 |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 52.6 | D | 0.60 | 36.1 | 36.9 | D | 38.4 |
|  | Southbound Through | 20.6 | C | 0.39 | 67.3 | 24.5 | C | 70.2 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 22.9 | C | 73.0 |
| Columbus Drive \& Captain Whelan Drive |  |  | C |  |  | 170.2 | F |  |
| Captain Whelan Drive | Eastbound Left - Turn | 60.2 | E | 0.67 | 52.5 | 451.7 | F | 104.8 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 58.5 | E | 0.65 | 54.0 | 561.4 | F | 472.5 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn | 0.8 | A | 0.11 | 0.0 | 70.7 | E | 493.9 |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 48.1 | D | 0.17 | 13.2 | 151.5 | F | 51.2 |
|  | Westbound Through | 38.0 | D | 0.54 | 27.2 | 190.4 | F | 180.9 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 178.3 | F |  |
| Columbus Drive | Northbound Left - Turn | 13.2 | B | 0.05 | 6.8 | 20.6 | C | 12.8 |
|  | Northbound Through | 17.7 | B | 0.60 | 129.1 | 19.1 | B | 14.9 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 5.4 | A | 17.1 |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 15.5 | B | 0.11 | 6.9 | 29.9 | C | 48.9 |
|  | Southbound Through | 13.5 | B | 0.31 | 56.5 | 5.9 | A | 14.9 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn | 2.6 | A | 0.19 | 10.8 | 2.8 | A | 2.1 |
| Hamlyn Road \& Captain Whelan Drive |  |  |  |  |  | 8.4 | A |  |
| Hamlyn Road | Eastbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.17 | 0.0 | 15.9 | C | 60.3 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 9.7 | A |  |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 8.1 | A | 0.07 | 1.8 | 7.3 | A | 12.6 |
|  | Westbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.02 | 0.0 | 3.8 | A | 12.6 |
| Hamlyn Road | Northbound Left - Turn | 15.5 | C | 0.39 | 13.9 | 6.6 | A | 21.1 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn | 11.3 | B | 0.33 | 11.1 | 12.6 | B | 51.3 |
| Empire Avenue \& Blackmarsh Road |  |  |  |  |  | 10.4 | B |  |
| Blackmarsh Road | Eastbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.49 | 0.0 | 14.2 | B | - |
|  | Westbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.19 | 0.0 | 0.5 | A | - |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 0.3 | A | - |
| Empire Avenue | Southbound Left - Turn | 15.9 | C | 0.27 | 8.3 | 17.1 | C | 13.4 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 5.8 | A |  |
| Blackmarsh Road \& Topsail |  |  |  |  |  | 10.0 | A |  |
| Topsail Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 10.9 | B | 0.53 | 24.4 | 19.9 | C | 197.8 |
|  | Eastbound Through |  |  |  |  | 10.1 | B | 380.2 |
|  | Westbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.20 | 0.0 | 3.6 | A | 0.7 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 4.3 | A | 14.6 |
| Blackmarsh Road | Southbound Left - Turn | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 15.5 | C | 21.5 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn | 13.8 | B | 0.41 | 15.2 | 4.8 | A | 23.9 |
| Blackmarsh Road \& Captain Whelan Drive |  |  |  |  |  | 3.6 | A |  |
| Captain Whelan Drive | Eastbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.48 | 0.0 | 1.0 | A | 1.2 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 10.4 | B | 0.17 | 4.8 | 7.8 | A | 22.1 |
|  | Westbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.15 | 0.0 | 0.8 | A | - |
| Blackmarsh Road | Northbound Left - Turn | 50.5 | F | 0.43 | 14.3 | 22.0 | C | 20.2 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn | 22.1 | C | 0.45 | 16.9 | 11.5 | B | 31.8 |
| Mt Carson Ave/ Commonweath Ave \& Topsail Road |  |  | C |  |  | 36.4 | D |  |
| Topsail Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 17.1 | B | 0.18 | 15.8 | 29.0 | C | 45.8 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 39.1 | D | 0.75 | 104.5 | 40.8 | D | 110.9 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn | 8.2 | A | 0.38 | 23.2 | 16.3 | B | 60.1 |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 26.1 | C | 0.63 | 40.5 | 29.7 | C | 50.7 |
|  | Westbound Through | 26.2 | C | 0.37 | 55.5 | 22.3 | C | 126.9 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn | 0.1 | A | 0.03 | 0.0 | 10.2 | B | 20.8 |
| Mt Carson Avenue | Northbound Left - Turn | 38.4 | D | 0.75 | 57.4 | 34.1 | C | 64.7 |
|  | Northbound Through | 51.3 | D | 0.84 | 141.5 | 47.3 | D | 133.6 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn | 6.0 | A | 0.44 | 19.2 | 3.3 | A | 30.4 |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 45.2 | D | 0.74 | 43.8 | 76.9 | E | 52.7 |
|  | Southbound Through | 46.3 | D | 0.72 | 95.7 | 66.5 | E | 177.5 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn | 0.2 | A | 0.07 | 0.0 | 36.0 | D | 31.9 |


|  | 4 |  | $\checkmark$ | 1 |  | 4 |  | 4 | 7 | $\psi_{0}$ | $\ddagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 中\% |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 3 | 962 | 84 | 281 | 440 | 20 | 9 | 2 | 126 | 120 | 4 | 6 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 55.0 |  | 0.0 | 55.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.988 |  |  | 0.993 |  |  | 0.852 |  |  | 0.912 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1652 | 3419 | 0 | 1652 | 3436 | 0 | 1652 | 1569 | 0 | 1652 | 1680 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.471 |  |  | 0.150 |  |  | 0.750 |  |  | 0.641 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 819 | 3419 | 0 | 261 | 3436 | 0 | 1304 | 1569 | 0 | 1114 | 1680 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 12 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 137 |  |  | 7 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 389.2 |  |  | 166.0 |  |  | 219.5 |  |  | 145.1 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 28.0 |  |  | 12.0 |  |  | 15.8 |  |  | 10.4 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 3 | 1093 | 95 | 302 | 473 | 22 | 10 | 2 | 137 | 141 | 5 | 7 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 3 | 1188 | 0 | 302 | 495 | 0 | 10 | 139 | 0 | 141 | 12 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 61.0 | 61.0 |  | 13.0 | 74.0 |  | 36.0 | 36.0 |  | 36.0 | 36.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 55.2 | 55.2 |  | 68.2 | 68.2 |  | 17.2 | 17.2 |  | 17.2 | 17.2 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.57 | 0.57 |  | 0.70 | 0.70 |  | 0.18 | 0.18 |  | 0.18 | 0.18 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.01 | 0.61 |  | 1.07 | 0.21 |  | 0.04 | 0.36 |  | 0.72 | 0.04 |  |
| Control Delay | 11.7 | 16.5 |  | 89.2 | 5.9 |  | 32.0 | 8.7 |  | 57.9 | 22.3 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 11.7 | 16.5 |  | 89.2 | 5.9 |  | 32.0 | 8.7 |  | 57.9 | 22.3 |  |
| LOS | B | B |  | F | A |  | C | A |  | E | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 16.5 |  |  | 37.5 |  |  | 10.3 |  |  | 55.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.2 | 72.3 |  | $\sim 22.0$ | 14.6 |  | 1.6 | 0.3 |  | 25.2 | 0.8 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 1.8 | 109.1 |  | \#79.6 | 27.5 |  | 5.8 | 14.9 |  | 42.1 | 5.0 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 365.2 |  |  | 142.0 |  |  | 195.5 |  |  | 121.1 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 55.0 |  |  | 55.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  |  |


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | , | 4 | P | , | $\ddagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 463 | 1939 |  | 282 | 2406 |  | 402 | 578 |  | 343 | 523 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.01 | 0.61 |  | 1.07 | 0.21 |  | 0.02 | 0.24 |  | 0.41 | 0.02 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 97.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 26.0 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 79.4\% |  |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 75: Austin Street/Bambrick St \& Thorburn Road


|  | $\psi$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 4 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ | , | $\pm$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 7 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 |
| Volume (vph) | 20 | 903 | 1 | 6 | 1008 | 144 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 78 | 3 | 10 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 4.2 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 55.0 |  | 0.0 | 16.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.850 |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.954 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1652 | 3421 | 0 | 1652 | 3461 | 1566 | 1750 | 1566 | 0 | 0 | 2014 | 1689 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.186 |  |  | 0.307 |  |  | 0.690 |  |  |  | 0.728 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 323 | 3421 | 0 | 534 | 3461 | 1566 | 1271 | 1566 | 0 | 0 | 1537 | 1689 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  |  | 161 |  | 322 |  |  |  | 89 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 288.0 |  |  | 296.2 |  |  | 135.5 |  |  | 126.3 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 20.7 |  |  | 21.3 |  |  | 9.8 |  |  | 9.1 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 21 | 931 | 1 | 7 | 1145 | 164 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 100 | 4 | 13 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 21 | 932 | 0 | 7 | 1145 | 164 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 13 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  | 6 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 8 |
| Total Split (s) | 13.0 | 62.0 |  | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |  | 32.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 62.9 | 64.2 |  | 59.3 | 59.3 | 59.3 | 12.5 | 12.5 |  |  | 12.9 | 12.9 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.74 | 0.76 |  | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.15 | 0.15 |  |  | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.36 |  | 0.02 | 0.47 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.02 |  |  | 0.44 | 0.04 |
| Control Delay | 5.2 | 5.6 |  | 9.8 | 10.2 | 2.4 | 28.2 | 0.0 |  |  | 38.0 | 0.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 5.2 | 5.6 |  | 9.8 | 10.2 | 2.4 | 28.2 | 0.0 |  |  | 38.0 | 0.2 |
| LOS | A | A |  | A | B | A | C | A |  |  | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 5.6 |  |  | 9.2 |  |  | 11.3 |  |  | 33.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.9 | 26.7 |  | 0.3 | 35.8 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 |  |  | 15.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 3.4 | 46.0 |  | 2.6 | 88.2 | 8.6 | 3.3 | 0.0 |  |  | 24.2 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 264.0 |  |  | 272.2 |  |  | 111.5 |  |  | 102.3 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 55.0 |  |  | 16.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | - | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 349 | 2585 |  | 372 | 2415 | 1141 | 202 | 520 |  |  | 763 | 883 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.36 |  | 0.02 | 0.47 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.02 |  |  | 0.14 | 0.01 |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other
Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 84.9
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.47
Intersection Signal Delay: $9.0 \quad$ Intersection LOS: A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 49.5\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 105: Kenmount Rd \& Avalon Mall





|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 4 |  |  | 4 | 4 |  | + |  | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 虫 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\dagger$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 36 | 577 | 44 | 81 | 289 | 50 | 4 | 3 | 21 | 346 | 177 | 76 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 50.0 |  | 0.0 | 50.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 30.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.989 |  |  | 0.978 |  |  | 0.867 |  |  | 0.955 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1722 | 3476 | 0 | 1789 | 3471 | 0 | 1789 | 1480 | 0 | 1789 | 1783 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1722 | 3476 | 0 | 1789 | 3471 | 0 | 1789 | 1480 | 0 | 1789 | 1783 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 8 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 24 |  |  | 23 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 48 |  |  | 48 |  |  | 48 |  |  | 48 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 727.2 |  |  | 1392.7 |  |  | 202.9 |  |  | 587.5 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 54.5 |  |  | 104.5 |  |  | 15.2 |  |  | 44.1 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 6\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 33\% | 10\% | 2\% | 2\% | 5\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 40 | 648 | 49 | 91 | 325 | 56 | 4 | 3 | 24 | 389 | 199 | 85 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 40 | 697 | 0 | 91 | 381 | 0 | 4 | 27 | 0 | 389 | 284 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 16.0 | 28.0 |  | 16.0 | 28.0 |  | 16.0 | 16.0 |  | 30.0 | 30.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 10.4 | 20.4 |  | 10.4 | 23.4 |  | 10.4 | 10.4 |  | 21.3 | 35.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.13 | 0.25 |  | 0.13 | 0.28 |  | 0.13 | 0.13 |  | 0.26 | 0.42 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.19 | 0.81 |  | 0.41 | 0.38 |  | 0.02 | 0.13 |  | 0.84 | 0.37 |  |
| Control Delay | 38.7 | 38.7 |  | 43.4 | 25.7 |  | 36.0 | 18.0 |  | 48.2 | 20.1 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 38.7 | 38.7 |  | 43.4 | 25.7 |  | 36.0 | 18.0 |  | 48.2 | 20.1 |  |
| LOS | D | D |  | D | C |  | D | B |  | D | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 38.7 |  |  | 29.1 |  |  | 20.3 |  |  | 36.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 6.4 | 59.3 |  | 15.0 | 27.7 |  | 0.6 | 0.5 |  | 63.0 | 28.5 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 15.6 | \#79.8 |  | 29.2 | 40.4 |  | 3.5 | 7.8 |  | \#105.5 | 63.1 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 703.2 |  |  | 1368.7 |  |  | 178.9 |  |  | 563.5 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 50.0 |  |  | 50.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | * | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Base Capacity (vph) 216 | 965 |  | 224 | 1093 |  | 224 | 206 |  | 539 | 768 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio 0.19 | 0.72 |  | 0.41 | 0.35 |  | 0.02 | 0.13 |  | 0.72 | 0.37 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: $\quad$ OtherCycle Length: $90 \quad$ ans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 82.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 35.2 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 66.5\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 45: Allston Street/Brougham Drive \& Kenmount Rd


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7} 1$ | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 个4 | 「 | \％ | 4舟 | \％ |
| Volume（vph） | 558 | 300 | 324 | 0 | 210 | 69 | 401 | 1234 | 306 | 48 | 630 | 221 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（ m ） | 3.5 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 4.0 |
| Grade（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Storage Length（m） | 150.0 |  | 150.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 175.0 |  | 0.0 | 110.0 |  | 90.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ m ） | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Utill．Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 0.98 |  |  | 0.98 |
| Frt |  | 0.922 |  |  | 0.963 |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3395 | 3309 | 0 | 0 | 3469 | 0 | 1750 | 3618 | 1689 | 1750 | 5198 | 1654 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.240 |  |  | 0.138 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3384 | 3309 | 0 | 0 | 3469 | 0 | 442 | 3618 | 1663 | 254 | 5198 | 1628 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 284 |  |  | 33 |  |  |  | 274 |  |  | 246 |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 385.8 |  |  | 49.4 |  |  | 746.3 |  |  | 113.4 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 27.8 |  |  | 3.6 |  |  | 53.7 |  |  | 8.2 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃hr） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid－Block Traffic（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 581 | 312 | 338 | 0 | 262 | 86 | 422 | 1299 | 322 | 53 | 700 | 246 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 581 | 650 | 0 | 0 | 348 | 0 | 422 | 1299 | 322 | 53 | 700 | 246 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  |  | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 8 |  |  | 4 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Total Split（s） | 28.0 | 48.0 |  |  | 20.0 |  | 28.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 13.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  | 6.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 21.4 | 40.1 |  |  | 12.7 |  | 56.9 | 45.5 | 45.5 | 37.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.36 |  |  | 0.12 |  | 0.52 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.34 | 0.26 | 0.26 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.88 | 0.47 |  |  | 0.81 |  | 0.88 | 0.87 | 0.38 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.40 |
| Control Delay | 59.0 | 15.4 |  |  | 58.6 |  | 34.5 | 40.3 | 17.3 | 20.9 | 36.7 | 6.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 59.0 | 15.4 |  |  | 58.6 |  | 34.5 | 40.3 | 17.3 | 20.9 | 36.7 | 6.4 |
| LOS | E | B |  |  | E |  | C | D | B | C | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 36.0 |  |  | 58.6 |  |  | 35.5 |  |  | 28.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 62.5 | 29.5 |  |  | 35.1 |  | 85.4 | 152.6 | 42.0 | 5.5 | 48.2 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | \＃89．4 | 45.3 |  |  | 44.1 |  | m97．0 m | \＃178．1 | m50．6 | 11.9 | 60.8 | 19.0 |
| Internal Link Dist（ m ） |  | 361.8 |  |  | 25.4 |  |  | 722.3 |  |  | 89.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 150.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 175.0 |  |  | 110.0 |  | 90.0 |


$m$ Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 4: Columbus Drive /Prince Philip Drive \& Thorburn Road


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | 1 |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Volume（vph） | 183 | 286 | 10 | 97 | 123 | 156 | 6 | 1241 | 116 | 102 | 672 | 47 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（m） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Grade（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Storage Length（m） | 70.0 |  | 0.0 | 75.0 |  | 75.0 | 80.0 |  | 0.0 | 70.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（m） | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Ped Bike Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.995 |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.987 |  |  | 0.990 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1750 | 1832 | 0 | 1750 | 1842 | 1566 | 1652 | 3640 | 0 | 1652 | 3653 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.657 |  |  | 0.276 |  |  | 0.335 |  |  | 0.076 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1207 | 1832 | 0 | 508 | 1842 | 1541 | 582 | 3640 | 0 | 132 | 3653 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 2 |  |  |  | 179 |  | 10 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 70 |  |  | 70 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 398.4 |  |  | 185.4 |  |  | 678.8 |  |  | 457.2 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 28.7 |  |  | 13.3 |  |  | 34.9 |  |  | 23.5 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Growth Factor | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid－Block Traffic（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 201 | 314 | 11 | 111 | 141 | 179 | 6 | 1334 | 125 | 107 | 707 | 49 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 201 | 325 | 0 | 111 | 141 | 179 | 6 | 1459 | 0 | 107 | 756 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 8 |  |  | 4 |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 13.0 | 35.0 |  | 13.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 13.0 | 49.0 |  | 13.0 | 49.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 7.0 |  | 6.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 31.6 | 23.6 |  | 31.6 | 23.6 | 23.6 | 53.6 | 46.6 |  | 59.2 | 57.8 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.21 |  | 0.29 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.49 | 0.42 |  | 0.54 | 0.53 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.53 | 0.82 |  | 0.49 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.02 | 0.94 |  | 0.60 | 0.39 |  |
| Control Delay | 33.4 | 58.0 |  | 32.6 | 38.3 | 7.3 | 13.3 | 44.3 |  | 52.6 | 20.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 33.4 | 58.0 |  | 32.6 | 38.3 | 7.3 | 13.3 | 44.3 |  | 52.6 | 20.6 |  |
| LOS | C | E |  | C | D | A | B | D |  | D | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 48.6 |  |  | 24.0 |  |  | 44.2 |  |  | 24.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 31.4 | 65.9 |  | 16.4 | 25.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 160.3 |  | 18.7 | 38.9 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 47.6 | 94.1 |  | 26.8 | 40.1 | 14.6 | 2.7 | \＃217．3 |  | \＃36．1 | 67.3 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 374.4 |  |  | 161.4 |  |  | 654.8 |  |  | 433.2 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 70.0 |  |  | 75.0 |  | 75.0 | 80.0 |  |  | 70.0 |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 4 | $p$ | * | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 381 | 467 |  | 225 | 468 | 525 | 351 | 1548 |  | 177 | 1921 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.53 | 0.70 |  | 0.49 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.02 | 0.94 |  | 0.60 | 0.39 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 81 (74\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 37.1 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 86.2\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum atter two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 22: Columbus Drive \& Blackmarsh Road


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 虫 |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 | 7 |
| Volume (vph) | 175 | 99 | 29 | 24 | 44 | 52 | 19 | 1042 | 73 | 17 | 575 | 167 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.8 |
| Grade (\%) |  | -5\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 140.0 |  | 30.5 | 80.0 |  | 0.0 | 100.0 |  | 0.0 | 100.0 |  | 225.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.97 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.919 |  |  | 0.990 |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | 0.986 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1647 | 1749 | 1515 | 1848 | 1868 | 0 | 1691 | 3459 | 0 | 1691 | 3500 | 1619 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 | 0.986 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.389 |  |  | 0.165 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1641 | 1747 | 1491 | 1844 | 1868 | 0 | 691 | 3459 | 0 | 294 | 3500 | 1576 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 106 |  | 49 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  | 184 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 70 |  |  | 70 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 474.2 |  |  | 187.8 |  |  | 348.7 |  |  | 678.8 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 34.1 |  |  | 13.5 |  |  | 17.9 |  |  | 34.9 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 194 | 110 | 32 | 30 | 55 | 65 | 21 | 1133 | 79 | 19 | 632 | 184 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) | 23\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 149 | 155 | 32 | 30 | 120 | 0 | 21 | 1212 | 0 | 19 | 632 | 184 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 7 |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 |  | 55.0 | 55.0 |  | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 15.4 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 10.8 | 10.8 |  | 65.8 | 65.8 |  | 65.8 | 65.8 | 65.8 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.10 |  | 0.58 | 0.58 |  | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.67 | 0.65 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.54 |  | 0.05 | 0.60 |  | 0.11 | 0.31 | 0.19 |
| Control Delay | 60.2 | 58.5 | 0.8 | 48.1 | 38.0 |  | 13.2 | 17.7 |  | 15.5 | 13.5 | 2.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 60.2 | 58.5 | 0.8 | 48.1 | 38.0 |  | 13.2 | 17.7 |  | 15.5 | 13.5 | 2.6 |
| LOS | E | E | A | D | D |  | B | B |  | B | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 53.7 |  |  | 40.0 |  |  | 17.7 |  |  | 11.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 33.3 | 34.6 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 15.2 |  | 1.9 | 82.7 |  | 1.7 | 34.4 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 52.5 | 54.0 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 27.2 |  | 6.8 | 129.1 |  | 6.9 | 56.5 | 10.8 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 450.2 |  |  | 163.8 |  |  | 324.7 |  |  | 654.8 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 140.0 |  | 30.5 | 80.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  | 225.0 |



Splits and Phases: 21: Columbus Drive \& Captain Whelan Drive/Hogan St


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 220 | 272 | 12 | 47 | 124 | 56 | 1 | 1421 | 100 | 38 | 720 | 39 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 70.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 75.0 |  | 0.0 | 100.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Ped Bike Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.994 |  |  | 0.953 |  |  | 0.990 |  |  | 0.992 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1652 | 1809 | 0 | 1652 | 1727 | 0 | 1652 | 3537 | 0 | 1652 | 3545 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.498 |  |  | 0.414 |  |  | 0.341 |  |  | 0.067 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 864 | 1809 | 0 | 718 | 1727 | 0 | 592 | 3537 | 0 | 116 | 3545 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 2 |  |  | 22 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 70 |  |  | 70 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 417.2 |  |  | 267.3 |  |  | 457.2 |  |  | 657.1 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 30.0 |  |  | 19.2 |  |  | 23.5 |  |  | 33.8 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 237 | 292 | 13 | 65 | 172 | 78 | 1 | 1597 | 112 | 41 | 783 | 42 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 237 | 305 | 0 | 65 | 250 | 0 | 1 | 1709 | 0 | 41 | 825 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 42.0 | 42.0 |  | 42.0 | 42.0 |  | 55.0 | 55.0 |  | 13.0 | 68.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 6.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 31.9 | 31.9 |  | 31.9 | 31.9 |  | 56.3 | 56.3 |  | 65.1 | 64.1 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 |  | 0.29 | 0.29 |  | 0.51 | 0.51 |  | 0.59 | 0.58 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.95 | 0.58 |  | 0.31 | 0.48 |  | 0.00 | 0.94 |  | 0.25 | 0.40 |  |
| Control Delay | 83.6 | 37.4 |  | 33.7 | 32.0 |  | 8.0 | 20.5 |  | 30.7 | 29.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 83.6 | 37.4 |  | 33.7 | 32.0 |  | 8.0 | 20.5 |  | 30.7 | 29.6 |  |
| LOS | F | D |  | C | C |  | A | C |  | C | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 57.6 |  |  | 32.3 |  |  | 20.5 |  |  | 29.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 47.6 | 53.1 |  | 10.4 | 38.5 |  | 0.0 | ~216.1 |  | 6.7 | 70.6 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | \#92.5 | 79.8 |  | 17.3 | 46.0 |  | m0.0 | \#242.2 |  | 16.8 | 117.4 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 393.2 |  |  | 243.3 |  |  | 433.2 |  |  | 633.1 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 70.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  |  | 75.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  |  |



Splits and Phases: 23: Columbus Drive \& Mundy Pond Road


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％${ }^{1 / 1}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个44 | F | \％ | 种中 | 「 |
| Volume（vph） | 181 | 174 | 34 | 155 | 40 | 130 | 10 | 1557 | 274 | 166 | 709 | 43 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（m） | 3.4 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Storage Length（m） | 45.0 |  | 80.0 | 65.0 |  | 70.0 | 100.0 |  | 140.0 | 100.0 |  | 75.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ m ） | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 |  |  | 0.97 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1730 | 1987 | 1619 | 3395 | 1842 | 1689 | 1652 | 5029 | 1601 | 1652 | 5029 | 1566 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.354 |  |  | 0.084 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1723 | 1987 | 1592 | 3384 | 1842 | 1661 | 614 | 5029 | 1559 | 146 | 5029 | 1525 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 238 |  |  | 238 |  |  | 304 |  |  | 169 |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 70 |  |  | 70 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 162.7 |  |  | 211.3 |  |  | 299.5 |  |  | 746.3 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 11.7 |  |  | 15.2 |  |  | 15.4 |  |  | 38.4 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Growth Factor | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃hr） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid－Block Traffic（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 218 | 210 | 41 | 170 | 44 | 143 | 11 | 1730 | 304 | 177 | 754 | 46 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 218 | 210 | 41 | 170 | 44 | 143 | 11 | 1730 | 304 | 177 | 754 | 46 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 7 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Total Split（s） | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 13.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 20.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Act Efftt Green（s） | 17.4 | 17.4 | 17.4 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 50.7 | 41.7 | 41.7 | 61.3 | 56.7 | 56.7 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.46 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.56 | 0.52 | 0.52 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.80 | 0.67 | 0.09 | 0.49 | 0.23 | 0.37 | 0.03 | 0.91 | 0.39 | 0.74 | 0.29 | 0.05 |
| Control Delay | 66.9 | 55.4 | 0.4 | 51.0 | 47.5 | 2.8 | 13.6 | 46.3 | 14.1 | 53.9 | 38.5 | 5.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 66.9 | 55.4 | 0.4 | 51.0 | 47.5 | 2.8 | 13.6 | 46.3 | 14.1 | 53.9 | 38.5 | 5.8 |
| LOS | E | E | A | D | D | A | B | D | B | D | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 55.9 |  |  | 31.3 |  |  | 41.3 |  |  | 39.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 44.6 | 42.1 | 0.0 | 18.1 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 145.9 | 26.5 | 36.5 | 58.5 | 1.1 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | \＃79．7 | \＃67．9 | 0.0 | 27.7 | 18.8 | 0.0 | m1．6m | \＃166．9 | m32．6 | \＃58．3 | 75.0 | m5．6 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 138.7 |  |  | 187.3 |  |  | 275.5 |  |  | 722.3 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 45.0 |  | 80.0 | 65.0 |  | 70.0 | 100.0 |  | 140.0 | 100.0 |  | 75.0 |



Splits and Phases: 24: Columbus Drive \& Old Pennywell Road


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | T | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 7 |
| Volume（vph） | 67 | 733 | 220 | 194 | 462 | 22 | 235 | 421 | 269 | 152 | 308 | 36 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（m） | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| Grade（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Storage Length（m） | 60.0 |  | 40.0 | 100.0 |  | 30.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 40.0 |  | 30.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（m） | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1789 | 3579 | 1601 | 1772 | 3544 | 1601 | 1789 | 1883 | 1585 | 1789 | 1883 | 1601 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.475 |  |  | 0.153 |  |  | 0.261 |  |  | 0.207 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 895 | 3579 | 1601 | 285 | 3544 | 1601 | 492 | 1883 | 1585 | 390 | 1883 | 1601 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 206 |  |  | 147 |  |  | 283 |  |  | 206 |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 1093.2 |  |  | 920.0 |  |  | 219.2 |  |  | 1135.0 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 78.7 |  |  | 66.2 |  |  | 15.8 |  |  | 81.7 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Growth Factor | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 3\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid－Block Traffic（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 73 | 772 | 232 | 204 | 486 | 23 | 247 | 443 | 283 | 160 | 324 | 38 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 73 | 772 | 232 | 204 | 486 | 23 | 247 | 443 | 283 | 160 | 324 | 38 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 |
| Total Split（s） | 16.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 25.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 17.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 13.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 37.8 | 29.7 | 29.7 | 48.1 | 37.6 | 37.6 | 39.7 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 31.6 | 24.6 | 24.6 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.37 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.47 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.18 | 0.75 | 0.38 | 0.63 | 0.37 | 0.03 | 0.75 | 0.84 | 0.44 | 0.74 | 0.72 | 0.07 |
| Control Delay | 17.1 | 39.1 | 8.2 | 26.1 | 26.2 | 0.1 | 38.4 | 51.3 | 6.0 | 45.2 | 46.3 | 0.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 17.1 | 39.1 | 8.2 | 26.1 | 26.2 | 0.1 | 38.4 | 51.3 | 6.0 | 45.2 | 46.3 | 0.2 |
| LOS | B | D | A | C | C | A | D | D | A | D | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 31.0 |  |  | 25.3 |  |  | 34.8 |  |  | 42.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 8.1 | 75.2 | 3.8 | 24.3 | 39.9 | 0.0 | 33.1 | 82.8 | 0.0 | 20.3 | 59.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 15.8 | 104.5 | 23.2 | 40.5 | 55.5 | 0.0 | \＃57．4 | \＃141．5 | 19.2 | \＃43．8 | 95.7 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 1069.2 |  |  | 896.0 |  |  | 195.2 |  |  | 1111.0 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 60.0 |  | 40.0 | 100.0 |  | 30.0 |  |  |  | 40.0 |  | 30.0 |



Splits and Phases: 51: Commonwealth Ave/Mt. Carson Ave \& Topsail Road


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 4 | 4 |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 44 | 44 | F | \% | T |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 766 | 610 | 278 | 368 | 317 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 4.3 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  |  | 40.0 | 95.0 | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.5 |  |  |  | 7.5 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 3814 | 3814 | 1706 | 3509 | 1706 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 3814 | 3814 | 1706 | 3509 | 1706 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  | 312 |  | 208 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 | 50 |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 191.2 | 153.6 |  | 119.3 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 13.8 | 11.1 |  | 8.6 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 870 | 685 | 312 | 404 | 348 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 870 | 685 | 312 | 404 | 348 |
| Turn Type |  | NA | NA | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 | 6 |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 6 |  | 8 |
| Total Split (s) |  | 61.0 | 61.0 | 61.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 67.4 | 67.4 | 67.4 | 18.6 | 18.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.34 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.62 | 0.71 |
| Control Delay |  | 7.9 | 7.4 | 1.5 | 41.0 | 23.0 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 7.9 | 7.4 | 1.5 | 41.0 | 23.0 |
| LOS |  | A | A | A | D | C |
| Approach Delay |  | 7.9 | 5.6 |  | 32.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A | A |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 32.3 | 23.9 | 0.0 | 37.8 | 25.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | 53.5 | 41.2 | 9.5 | 47.1 | 50.1 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 167.2 | 129.6 |  | 95.3 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  | 40.0 | 95.0 |  |


| 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 2569 | 2569 | 1250 | 1122 | 687 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.34 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.36 | 0.51 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBT and 6:WBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 14.1 |  |  | Intersection LOS: B |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 48.2\% |  |  |  | Level | Service A |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 102: Freshwater Road \& Loop Ramp


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 |  | $\pm$ | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1 /}$ | 中 $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 $\%$ |  | ${ }^{1 /}$ | 个 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Volume（vph） | 3 | 916 | 224 | 258 | 130 | 7 | 20 | 10 | 212 | 27 | 33 | 7 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（m） | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Grade（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Storage Length（m） | 55.0 |  | 0.0 | 65.0 |  | 0.0 | 85.0 |  | 0.0 | 50.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（m） | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.971 |  |  | 0.992 |  |  | 0.857 |  |  | 0.974 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1652 | 3360 | 0 | 1652 | 3433 | 0 | 1652 | 1667 | 0 | 1652 | 1895 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.658 |  |  | 0.084 |  |  | 0.726 |  |  | 0.374 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1144 | 3360 | 0 | 146 | 3433 | 0 | 1262 | 1667 | 0 | 650 | 1895 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 33 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 214 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 85.0 |  |  | 389.2 |  |  | 240.7 |  |  | 135.3 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 6.1 |  |  | 28.0 |  |  | 17.3 |  |  | 9.7 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Growth Factor | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid－Block Traffic（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 4 | 1104 | 270 | 280 | 141 | 8 | 20 | 10 | 214 | 32 | 39 | 8 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 4 | 1374 | 0 | 280 | 149 | 0 | 20 | 224 | 0 | 32 | 47 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 50.0 | 50.0 |  | 20.0 | 70.0 |  | 40.0 | 40.0 |  | 40.0 | 40.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.2 | 44.2 |  | 64.3 | 64.3 |  | 10.7 | 10.7 |  | 10.7 | 10.7 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.51 | 0.51 |  | 0.74 | 0.74 |  | 0.12 | 0.12 |  | 0.12 | 0.12 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.01 | 0.80 |  | 0.80 | 0.06 |  | 0.13 | 0.57 |  | 0.41 | 0.20 |  |
| Control Delay | 13.3 | 22.8 |  | 38.5 | 3.8 |  | 34.4 | 11.8 |  | 49.4 | 30.4 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 13.3 | 22.8 |  | 38.5 | 3.8 |  | 34.4 | 11.8 |  | 49.4 | 30.4 |  |
| LOS | B | C |  | D | A |  | C | B |  | D | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 22.8 |  |  | 26.5 |  |  | 13.6 |  |  | 38.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 0.3 | 86.2 |  | 27.2 | 2.3 |  | 3.0 | 1.5 |  | 5.0 | 5.9 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 2.1 | 134.7 |  | \＃84．6 | 8.1 |  | 9.0 | 19.3 |  | 12.5 | 14.0 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 61.0 |  |  | 365.2 |  |  | 216.7 |  |  | 111.3 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 55.0 |  |  | 65.0 |  |  | 85.0 |  |  | 50.0 |  |  |



Splits and Phases: 79: Goldstone St/Seaborn St \& Thorburn Road


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  | * |  | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{1 /}$ | 中 $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 63 | 1870 | 6 | 30 | 822 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 81 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.7 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 55.0 |  | 0.0 | 45.0 |  | 0.0 | 25.0 |  | 0.0 | 65.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.852 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1750 | 3575 | 0 | 1750 | 3571 | 0 | 1652 | 1566 | 0 | 1652 | 1569 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.286 |  |  | 0.067 |  |  | 0.714 |  |  | 0.753 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 527 | 3575 | 0 | 123 | 3571 | 0 | 1241 | 1566 | 0 | 1309 | 1569 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 129 |  |  | 88 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 48 |  |  | 48 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 482.2 |  |  | 1319.1 |  |  | 190.4 |  |  | 243.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 34.7 |  |  | 95.0 |  |  | 14.3 |  |  | 18.3 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 68 | 2033 | 7 | 33 | 893 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 88 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 68 | 2040 | 0 | 33 | 904 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 89 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 13.0 | 61.0 |  | 13.0 | 61.0 |  | 26.0 | 26.0 |  | 26.0 | 26.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 65.4 | 62.9 |  | 63.6 | 60.3 |  | 6.8 | 6.8 |  | 6.8 | 6.8 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.77 | 0.74 |  | 0.75 | 0.71 |  | 0.08 | 0.08 |  | 0.08 | 0.08 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.14 | 0.77 |  | 0.16 | 0.36 |  | 0.10 | 0.03 |  | 0.10 | 0.43 |  |
| Control Delay | 3.2 | 13.4 |  | 4.6 | 7.3 |  | 38.9 | 0.2 |  | 38.7 | 16.1 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 3.2 | 13.4 |  | 4.6 | 7.3 |  | 38.9 | 0.2 |  | 38.7 | 16.1 |  |
| LOS | A | B |  | A | A |  | D | A |  | D | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 13.0 |  |  | 7.2 |  |  | 22.9 |  |  | 18.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 1.8 | 126.3 |  | 0.9 | 32.6 |  | 1.6 | 0.0 |  | 1.6 | 0.2 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 5.0 | \#206.5 |  | 2.9 | 50.4 |  | 6.3 | 0.0 |  | 6.3 | 13.2 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 458.2 |  |  | 1295.1 |  |  | 166.4 |  |  | 219.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 55.0 |  |  | 45.0 |  |  | 25.0 |  |  | 65.0 |  |  |



Splits and Phases: 114: Terra Nova Motors/Great Eastern Ave \& Kenmount Road



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 | ( | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% 1 | 4\% |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Volume (vph) | 213 | 1834 | 0 | 0 | 875 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 276 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 75.0 |  | 0.0 | 50.0 |  | 130.0 | 0.0 |  | 25.0 | 0.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.950 | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3395 | 3500 | 0 | 1739 | 3579 | 1548 | 1842 | 1946 | 0 | 1644 | 1644 | 1566 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.950 | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3395 | 3500 | 0 | 1739 | 3579 | 1548 | 1842 | 1946 | 0 | 1644 | 1644 | 1566 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  |  | 191 |  |  |  |  |  | 307 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 493.9 |  |  | 512.5 |  |  | 37.4 |  |  | 398.8 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 35.6 |  |  | 36.9 |  |  | 2.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 222 | 1910 | 0 | 0 | 1006 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 307 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50\% |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 222 | 1910 | 0 | 0 | 1006 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 41 | 307 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Split |  |  | Split | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 7 | 7 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 6 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| Total Split (s) | 23.0 | 51.0 |  | 13.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |  | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 10.1 | 51.2 |  |  | 35.0 | 35.0 |  |  |  | 10.6 | 10.6 | 10.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.69 |  |  | 0.47 | 0.47 |  |  |  | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.48 | 0.79 |  |  | 0.59 | 0.15 |  |  |  | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.63 |
| Control Delay | 33.1 | 10.8 |  |  | 16.4 | 1.1 |  |  |  | 30.2 | 30.2 | 10.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 33.1 | 10.8 |  |  | 16.4 | 1.1 |  |  |  | 30.2 | 30.2 | 10.4 |
| LOS | C | B |  |  | B | A |  |  |  | C | C | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 13.2 |  |  | 14.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 14.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 14.7 | 75.0 |  |  | 49.7 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 5.3 | 5.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 25.4 | 120.5 |  |  | 75.1 | 2.4 |  |  |  | 14.3 | 14.3 | 20.2 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 469.9 |  |  | 488.5 |  |  | 13.4 |  |  | 374.8 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 75.0 |  |  |  |  | 130.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 60.0 |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\bullet$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | , | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 782 | 2426 |  |  | 1698 | 834 |  |  |  | 758 | 758 | 887 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.28 | 0.79 |  |  | 0.59 | 0.15 |  |  |  | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.35 |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other
Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 73.8
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79
Intersection Signal Delay: $13.8 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 79.9\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 117: Crotty's/Kelsey Drive \& Kenmount Road





Splits and Phases: 123: Kenmount Road \& Ladysmith Drive




Splits and Phases: 90: Thorburn Road \& Mount Scio Rd


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 4 |  | 4 | $4$ | $\dagger$ | \% |  | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | \% | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 71 | 849 | 142 | 168 | 539 | 29 | 80 | 110 | 302 | 47 | 39 | 36 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 110.0 |  | 0.0 | 105.0 |  | 0.0 | 30.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 0.98 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.979 |  |  | 0.992 |  |  | 0.890 |  |  | 0.928 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1652 | 3410 | 0 | 1652 | 3466 | 0 | 1652 | 1572 | 0 | 1652 | 1656 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.382 |  |  | 0.114 |  |  | 0.664 |  |  | 0.149 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 661 | 3410 | 0 | 198 | 3466 | 0 | 1147 | 1572 | 0 | 258 | 1656 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 16 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 134 |  |  | 45 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 341.5 |  |  | 167.2 |  |  | 171.2 |  |  | 115.5 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 24.6 |  |  | 12.0 |  |  | 12.3 |  |  | 8.3 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 10 | 10 |  | 5 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 78 | 933 | 156 | 179 | 573 | 31 | 94 | 129 | 355 | 69 | 57 | 53 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 78 | 1089 | 0 | 179 | 604 | 0 | 94 | 484 | 0 | 69 | 110 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 13.0 | 34.0 |  | 16.0 | 37.0 |  | 18.0 | 42.0 |  | 18.0 | 42.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 35.9 | 28.7 |  | 42.5 | 35.0 |  | 34.8 | 27.6 |  | 33.1 | 26.8 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.38 | 0.30 |  | 0.45 | 0.37 |  | 0.36 | 0.29 |  | 0.35 | 0.28 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.24 | 1.05 |  | 0.75 | 0.47 |  | 0.20 | 0.88 |  | 0.34 | 0.22 |  |
| Control Delay | 20.8 | 77.3 |  | 43.7 | 28.9 |  | 17.5 | 42.1 |  | 20.9 | 18.0 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 20.8 | 77.3 |  | 43.7 | 28.9 |  | 17.5 | 42.1 |  | 20.9 | 18.0 |  |
| LOS | C | E |  | D | C |  | B | D |  | C | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 73.5 |  |  | 32.3 |  |  | 38.1 |  |  | 19.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 8.6 | $\sim 127.8$ |  | 21.1 | 50.5 |  | 10.5 | 65.8 |  | 7.6 | 9.3 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 19.7 | \#188.9 |  | \#62.6 | 75.5 |  | 18.5 | 99.0 |  | 11.4 | 14.9 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 317.5 |  |  | 143.2 |  |  | 147.2 |  |  | 91.5 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 60.0 |  |  | 110.0 |  |  | 105.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Base Capacity (vph) 322 | 1036 |  | 244 | 1272 |  | 504 | 690 |  | 276 | 668 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio 0.24 | 1.05 |  | 0.73 | 0.47 |  | 0.19 | 0.70 |  | 0.25 | 0.16 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 95.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 50.4 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 85.8\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 94: O'Leary Avenue/Larkhall Street \& Thorburn Road


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\cdots$ | $\checkmark$ | $4$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 | , | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 |  |  | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 378 | 527 | 0 | 0 | 176 | 33 | 69 | 0 | 464 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 402 | 561 | 0 | 0 | 187 | 35 | 73 | 0 | 494 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 187 |  |  | 561 |  |  | 1570 | 1552 | 561 | 1570 | 1570 | 205 |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 187 |  |  | 561 |  |  | 1570 | 1552 | 561 | 1570 | 1570 | 205 |
| tC , single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.4 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 71 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 0 | 100 | 6 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1387 |  |  | 1011 |  |  | 61 | 81 | 525 | 4 | 79 | 836 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | NB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 402 | 561 | 222 | 567 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 402 | 0 | 0 | 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 35 | 494 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1387 | 1700 | 1700 | 322 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.13 | 1.76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 277.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 383.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 3.6 |  | 0.0 | 383.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 126.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 91.7\% |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | F |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\prime$ |  |  | 7 | $\bullet$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\hat{F}$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 1045 | 169 | 95 | 152 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 39 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 0 | 1259 | 204 | 114 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 47 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC , conflicting volume | 183 |  |  | 1259 |  |  | 1773 | 1773 | 1361 | 1773 | 1671 | 183 |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 183 |  |  | 1259 |  |  | 1773 | 1773 | 1361 | 1773 | 1671 | 183 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.2 |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.3 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 100 |  |  | 78 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 50 | 100 | 95 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1392 |  |  | 523 |  |  | 51 | 65 | 181 | 48 | 75 | 859 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | WB 2 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 1463 | 114 | 183 | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 114 | 0 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 204 | 0 | 0 | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1700 | 523 | 1700 | 143 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.86 | 0.22 | 0.11 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 13.8 | 0.0 | 53.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  | B |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 5.3 |  | 53.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 2.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 91.7\% |  | ICU Level | f Service |  |  | F |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 6 |  |  | 4 | 4 |  | ( | $\frac{1}{7}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | ${ }_{1}$ | 虫 |  |  | \& |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 459 | 1390 | 2 | 1 | 628 | 204 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 117 | 1 | 99 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 4.8 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 65.0 |  | 0.0 | 40.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 115.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  | 0.963 |  |  | 0.973 |  |  | 0.851 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.971 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1652 | 3461 | 0 | 1789 | 3333 | 0 | 0 | 1779 | 0 | 1711 | 1603 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.140 |  |  | 0.180 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 243 | 3461 | 0 | 339 | 3333 | 0 | 0 | 1833 | 0 | 1711 | 1603 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  | 35 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 115 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 48 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 307.6 |  |  | 467.7 |  |  | 50.9 |  |  | 160.1 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 22.1 |  |  | 33.7 |  |  | 3.8 |  |  | 11.5 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.86 | 0.92 | 0.86 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 483 | 1463 | 2 | 1 | 730 | 237 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 136 | 1 | 115 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 483 | 1465 | 0 | 1 | 967 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 136 | 116 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Prot | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 43.0 | 90.0 |  | 47.0 | 47.0 |  | 22.0 | 22.0 |  | 18.0 | 40.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 84.2 | 84.2 |  | 45.9 | 45.9 |  |  | 5.9 |  | 11.8 | 14.1 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.76 | 0.76 |  | 0.42 | 0.42 |  |  | 0.05 |  | 0.11 | 0.13 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.81 | 0.55 |  | 0.01 | 0.69 |  |  | 0.05 |  | 0.75 | 0.38 |  |
| Control Delay | 32.2 | 6.9 |  | 25.0 | 30.2 |  |  | 48.6 |  | 73.4 | 11.5 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 32.2 | 6.9 |  | 25.0 | 30.2 |  |  | 48.6 |  | 73.4 | 11.5 |  |
| LOS | C | A |  | C | C |  |  | D |  | E | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 13.2 |  |  | 30.2 |  |  | 48.6 |  |  | 44.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 61.6 | 51.0 |  | 0.1 | 84.7 |  |  | 0.8 |  | 28.1 | 0.2 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | \#130.1 | 105.5 |  | 1.5 | 125.2 |  |  | 5.1 |  | \#60.3 | 15.4 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 283.6 |  |  | 443.7 |  |  | 26.9 |  |  | 136.1 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 65.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 115.0 |  |  |



Splits and Phases: 108: Kenmount Road \& Pippy Place


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 | - |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | / |  | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  | f |  |  | ${ }_{*}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 81 | 764 | 0 | 0 | 203 | 142 | 5 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 87 | 822 | 0 | 0 | 218 | 153 | 5 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width ( m ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC , conflicting volume | 371 |  |  | 822 |  |  | 1290 | 1367 | 822 | 1322 | 1290 | 295 |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 371 |  |  | 822 |  |  | 1290 | 1367 | 822 | 1322 | 1290 | 295 |
| tC , single (s) | 4.2 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.3 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 92 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 96 | 100 | 92 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1124 |  |  | 808 |  |  | 132 | 136 | 373 | 115 | 151 | 745 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | NB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 87 | 822 | 371 | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 87 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 153 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1124 | 1700 | 1700 | 294 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.08 | 0.48 | 0.22 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.8 |  | 0.0 | 19.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 85.7\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  |  |  | E |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





Splits and Phases: 120: Wyatt Blvd \& Kenmount Rd


Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ |
| End Time | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| \# of Recorded mScheduledIntervals | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Vehs Entered | 20159 | 20122 | 20271 | 20040 | 19955 | 19938 | 20544 |
| Vehs Exited | 19968 | 19921 | 20154 | 19901 | 19856 | 19775 | 20293 |
| Starting Vehs | 1406 | 1346 | 1462 | 1438 | 1379 | 1358 | 1345 |
| Ending Vehs | 1597 | 1547 | 1579 | 1577 | 1478 | 1521 | 1596 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 49234 | 49249 | 50020 | 49540 | 49505 | 49307 | 50396 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 2519.6 | 2515.7 | 2706.1 | 2661.6 | 2401.3 | 2548.5 | 2553.7 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1506.4 | 1501.8 | 1674.2 | 1642.0 | 1381.8 | 1533.7 | 1516.0 |
| Total Stops | 32609 | 32810 | 33647 | 32292 | 31332 | 32027 | 34255 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 4976.4 | 4986.3 | 5186.9 | 5115.9 | 4885.5 | 5003.7 | 5077.3 |

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ |
| End Time | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| \# of Recorded mScheduledlntervals | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Vehs Entered | 20065 | 20137 | 19915 | 20116 |
| Vehs Exited | 20006 | 19973 | 19809 | 19962 |
| Starting Vehs | 1417 | 1409 | 1376 | 1380 |
| Ending Vehs | 1476 | 1573 | 1482 | 1539 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 49505 | 49109 | 49004 | 49487 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 2465.5 | 2529.5 | 2522.4 | 2542.4 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1445.4 | 1516.5 | 1513.6 | 1523.1 |
| Total Stops | 32126 | 32201 | 33003 | 32618 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 4951.6 | 4973.1 | 4967.0 | 5012.4 |

Interval \#O Information Seeding

| Start Time | $6: 50$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 10 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

Interval \#1 Information Recording \#1

| Start Time 7:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End Time 7:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by PHF, Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Vehs Entered | 5389 | 5389 | 5441 | 5346 | 5384 | 5347 | 5515 |
| Vehs Exited | 5120 | 4974 | 5127 | 5050 | 5150 | 5038 | 5092 |
| Starting Vehs | 1406 | 1346 | 1462 | 1438 | 1379 | 1358 | 1345 |
| Ending Vehs | 1675 | 1761 | 1776 | 1734 | 1613 | 1667 | 1768 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 12864 | 12543 | 12691 | 12648 | 12675 | 12574 | 12452 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 490.2 | 483.0 | 508.2 | 508.6 | 471.9 | 488.9 | 481.5 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 225.8 | 225.4 | 245.9 | 248.0 | 210.9 | 230.3 | 224.8 |
| Total Stops | 8400 | 8562 | 8905 | 8662 | 8336 | 8476 | 8430 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 1153.9 | 1135.3 | 1162.7 | 1159.0 | 1131.3 | 1141.7 | 1126.0 |

Interval \#1 Information Recording \#1

| Start Time | 7:00 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End Time | 7:15 |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by PHF, Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 5235 | 5420 | 5337 | 5373 |
| Vehs Exited | 5042 | 4999 | 5026 | 5062 |
| Starting Vehs | 1417 | 1409 | 1376 | 1380 |
| Ending Vehs | 1610 | 1830 | 1687 | 1706 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 12573 | 12663 | 12579 | 12626 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 478.2 | 493.1 | 481.2 | 488.5 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 219.0 | 231.9 | 222.4 | 228.4 |
| Total Stops | 8402 | 8859 | 8513 | 8541 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 1132.1 | 1148.9 | 1128.4 | 1141.9 |

Interval \#2 Information Recording \#2

| Start Time | 7:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End Time | 7:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors, Anti PHF. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Vehs Entered | 4727 | 4640 | 4698 | 4625 | 4680 | 4571 | 4831 |
| Vehs Exited | 4873 | 4890 | 4937 | 4898 | 4753 | 4787 | 5017 |
| Starting Vehs | 1675 | 1761 | 1776 | 1734 | 1613 | 1667 | 1768 |
| Ending Vehs | 1529 | 1511 | 1537 | 1461 | 1540 | 1451 | 1582 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 12157 | 12108 | 12293 | 11928 | 12074 | 11991 | 12491 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 564.9 | 559.7 | 605.2 | 612.7 | 529.1 | 578.2 | 580.3 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 314.7 | 309.8 | 351.2 | 367.1 | 280.7 | 331.7 | 323.6 |
| Total Stops | 7837 | 7760 | 7699 | 7342 | 7495 | 7408 | 8174 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 1178.3 | 1174.2 | 1223.2 | 1209.2 | 1139.4 | 1182.3 | 1209.9 |

Interval \#2 Information Recording \#2

| Start Time | $7: 15$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 30$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors, Anti PHF. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 4735 | 4685 | 4689 | 4685 |
| Vehs Exited | 4863 | 5031 | 4905 | 4896 |
| Starting Vehs | 1610 | 1830 | 1687 | 1706 |
| Ending Vehs | 1482 | 1484 | 1471 | 1497 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 12091 | 12298 | 12176 | 12161 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 558.4 | 575.4 | 573.2 | 573.7 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 309.2 | 322.0 | 322.1 | 323.2 |
| Total Stops | 7544 | 7708 | 7866 | 7684 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 1172.7 | 1192.7 | 1187.1 | 1186.9 |

Interval \#3 Information Recording \#3

| Start Time | 7:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End Time | 7:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by PHF, Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Vehs Entered | 5277 | 5373 | 5410 | 5318 | 5179 | 5398 | 5437 |
| Vehs Exited | 5057 | 5108 | 5034 | 5022 | 5095 | 5173 | 5187 |
| Starting Vehs | 1529 | 1511 | 1537 | 1461 | 1540 | 1451 | 1582 |
| Ending Vehs | 1749 | 1776 | 1913 | 1757 | 1624 | 1676 | 1832 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 12208 | 12331 | 12832 | 12678 | 12705 | 12807 | 12976 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 684.0 | 692.7 | 751.4 | 725.0 | 668.1 | 713.7 | 701.3 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 432.7 | 438.9 | 486.5 | 464.2 | 406.4 | 450.0 | 433.9 |
| Total Stops | 8556 | 8542 | 9010 | 8456 | 8087 | 8761 | 9318 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 1286.4 | 1304.0 | 1379.0 | 1347.7 | 1299.4 | 1342.9 | 1347.2 |

Interval \#3 Information Recording \#3

| Start Time | $7: 30$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 45$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by PHF, Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number |  |  |  |  |
| R |  |  |  |  |
| Vehs Entered | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| Vehs Exited | 5370 | 5343 | 5238 | 5329 |
| Starting Vehs | 5052 | 5080 | 5034 | 5080 |
| Ending Vehs | 1482 | 1484 | 1471 | 1497 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 1800 | 1747 | 1675 | 1745 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 12591 | 12334 | 12402 | 12586 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 669.4 | 694.4 | 693.7 | 699.4 |
| Total Stops | 410.1 | 439.6 | 438.6 | 440.1 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 8370 | 8347 | 8600 | 8600 |

Interval \#4 Information Recording \#4

| Start Time | 7:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End Time | 8:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors, Anti PHF. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Vehs Entered | 4766 | 4720 | 4722 | 4751 | 4712 | 4622 | 4761 |
| Vehs Exited | 4918 | 4949 | 5056 | 4931 | 4858 | 4777 | 4997 |
| Starting Vehs | 1749 | 1776 | 1913 | 1757 | 1624 | 1676 | 1832 |
| Ending Vehs | 1597 | 1547 | 1579 | 1577 | 1478 | 1521 | 1596 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 12006 | 12267 | 12205 | 12286 | 12051 | 11935 | 12478 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 780.5 | 780.3 | 841.3 | 815.3 | 732.1 | 767.7 | 790.6 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 533.1 | 527.7 | 590.5 | 562.8 | 483.8 | 521.7 | 533.8 |
| Total Stops | 7816 | 7946 | 8033 | 7832 | 7414 | 7382 | 8333 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 1357.8 | 1372.8 | 1421.9 | 1400.0 | 1315.5 | 1336.8 | 1394.2 |

## Interval \#4 Information Recording \#4

| Start Time | $7: 45$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $8: 00$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors, Anti PHF. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 4725 | 4689 | 4651 | 4714 |
| Vehs Exited | 5049 | 4863 | 4844 | 4924 |
| Starting Vehs | 1800 | 1747 | 1675 | 1745 |
| Ending Vehs | 1476 | 1573 | 1482 | 1539 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 12249 | 11814 | 11847 | 12114 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 759.5 | 766.6 | 774.4 | 780.8 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 507.2 | 523.0 | 530.6 | 531.4 |
| Total Stops | 7810 | 7287 | 8024 | 7786 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 1352.8 | 1334.1 | 1345.2 | 1363.1 |

4: Columbus Drive /Prince Philip Drive \& Thorburn Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | All

## 6: ORR NB \& Thorburn Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 56.5 | 51.2 | 15.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 5.2 | 1.9 | 19.2 | 11.8 | 80.9 | 66.6 | 23.5 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 106.6 | 183.2 | 76.4 | 13.5 | 19.9 | 139.1 | 538.8 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 3.0 | 4.3 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 19.0 | 32.3 |

9: ORR SB \& Thorburn Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 6.3 | 6.4 | 7.3 | 5.6 | 36.8 | 32.0 | 7.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 12.3 | 10.6 | 301.6 | 49.0 | 695.2 | 432.6 | 51.8 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 262.3 | 42.6 | 25.5 | 44.3 | 3.3 | 6.8 | 384.8 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 11.3 | 1.9 | 8.8 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 5.5 | 35.1 |

## 15: Messenger Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 8.0 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 1.8 | 2.2 | 28.8 | 82.0 | 51.4 | 20.0 | 186.3 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 4.3 |

19: Team Gushue Hwy NB Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 4.6 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 11.3 | 4.7 | 1.3 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 11.2 | 105.4 | 31.9 | 16.2 | 0.9 | 6.3 | 171.9 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.4 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.6 |

21: Columbus Drive \& Captain Whelan Drive/Hogan St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1456.2 | 1460.0 | 1429.7 | 1241.4 | 1084.8 | 1089.7 | 3.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 451.7 | 561.4 | 70.7 | 151.5 | 190.4 | 178.3 | 20.6 | 19.1 | 5.4 | 29.9 | 5.9 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 2.6 | 137.6 | 9.6 | 1.8 | 55.0 | 15.2 | 8.5 | 15.4 | 18.5 | 120.1 | 237.4 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 10.1 | 523.3 | 34.0 | 7.1 | 212.4 | 61.9 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 3.5 | 4.5 |

## 21: Columbus Drive \& Captain Whelan Drive/Hogan St Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 912.7 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 170.2 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 640.9 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 858.3 |

## 22: Columbus Drive \& Blackmarsh Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 41.5 | 44.7 | 34.0 | 38.8 | 38.2 | 2.8 | 19.3 | 35.4 | 29.4 | 36.9 | 24.5 | 22.9 |
| Travel Dist (km) | 74.1 | 111.0 | 4.0 | 17.9 | 22.7 | 26.5 | 2.1 | 428.6 | 39.4 | 45.0 | 301.2 | 22.7 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 4.0 | 6.0 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 18.1 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 9.1 | 0.7 |

22: Columbus Drive \& Blackmarsh Road Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 32.3 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 1095.3 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 45.7 |

23: Columbus Drive \& Mundy Pond Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | SBR (V)

## 23: Columbus Drive \& Mundy Pond Road Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 39.8 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 1532.8 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 61.2 |

## 24: Columbus Drive \& Old Pennywell Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 3.6 | 0.8 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 56.7 | 57.0 | 4.7 | 43.7 | 41.5 | 2.7 | 19.9 | 38.1 | 5.4 | 32.1 | 30.1 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 26.9 | 26.8 | 4.5 | 31.2 | 8.3 | 21.7 | 2.8 | 442.9 | 77.4 | 122.3 | 555.2 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 3.8 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 23.4 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 15.0 |

## 24: Columbus Drive \& Old Pennywell Road Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 33.8 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 1354.0 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 56.2 |

## 28: Hamlyn Road \& Captain Whelan Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 15.9 | 9.7 | 7.3 | 3.8 | 6.6 | 12.6 | 8.4 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 19.2 | 46.7 | 20.7 | 87.9 | 53.5 | 69.3 | 297.5 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.8 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 9.4 |

29: Team Gushue Hwy SB \& Kelsey Dr Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 208.3 | 207.9 | 124.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 1.0 | 0.5 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 113.9 | 110.9 | 66.1 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 31.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 27.1 | 99.1 | 30.8 | 189.2 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 52.1 | 16.2 | 69.7 |

40: Kelsey Drive \& Kiwanis St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 6.6 | 2.3 | 4.9 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 0.2 | 3.5 | 10.7 | 137.2 | 59.3 | 17.3 | 228.2 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 5.6 |

45: Allston Street/Brougham Drive \& Kenmount Rd Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 52.7 | 37.6 | 30.9 | 46.7 | 18.6 | 25.7 | 2134.5 | 983.5 | 249.3 | 114.0 | 17.3 | 11.3 |
| Travel Dist (km) | 26.2 | 427.0 | 31.8 | 111.7 | 579.8 | 72.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 3.8 | 208.7 | 106.0 | 43.1 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1.1 | 15.3 | 1.1 | 3.5 | 15.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 16.3 | 3.1 | 1.2 |

45: Allston Street/Brougham Drive \& Kenmount Rd Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 50.7 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 1611.8 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 63.1 |

51: Commonwealth Ave/Mt. Carson Ave \& Topsail Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | SBR

51: Commonwealth Ave/Mt. Carson Ave \& Topsail Road Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 36.4 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 2564.1 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 86.4 |

53: Topsail Road \& Blackmarsh Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 19.9 | 10.1 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 15.5 | 1.4 | 4.8 | 10.0 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 504.3 | 586.9 | 600.5 | 58.3 | 0.2 | 14.9 | 99.5 | 1864.5 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 14.1 | 14.3 | 13.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.7 | 45.8 |

58: Captain Whelan Drive \& Captain Whelan Drive' Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | SBL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 4.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 4.1 | 36.8 | 10.2 | 51.0 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.4 |

61: Blackmarsh Road \& Empire Avenue Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 14.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 17.1 | 5.8 | 10.4 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 1301.8 | 39.1 | 3.5 | 6.7 | 18.9 | 1370.1 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 30.8 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 33.1 |

## 62: Captain Whelan Drive' \& Blackmarsh Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 1.0 | 7.8 | 0.8 | 22.0 | 11.5 | 3.6 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 113.2 | 20.7 | 40.2 | 1.6 | 5.2 | 180.9 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 3.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 6.0 |

64: Blackmarsh Road/Captain Whelan Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 4.9 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 3.8 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 117.6 | 6.2 | 42.0 | 165.8 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 3.9 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 5.3 |

75: Austin Street/Bambrick St \& Thorburn Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 3.9 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 22.8 | 15.6 | 12.9 | 24.7 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 36.0 | 35.6 | 2.6 | 40.7 | 40.8 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 1.3 | 402.3 | 31.7 | 45.6 | 73.3 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 24.2 | 16.6 | 0.6 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 13.1 | 1.0 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 0.1 |

75: Austin Street/Bambrick St \& Thorburn Road Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 15.2 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 601.4 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 22.5 |

79: Goldstone St/Seaborn St \& Thorburn Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 9.8 | 13.2 | 5.7 | 19.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 39.9 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 39.8 | 33.8 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 0.2 | 71.7 | 12.1 | 99.2 | 62.1 | 2.8 | 4.6 | 67.8 | 40.7 | 3.3 | 4.3 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

79: Goldstone St/Seaborn St \& Thorburn Road Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 9.7 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 369.7 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 14.9 |

90: Thorburn Road \& Mount Scio Rd Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 17.2 | 11.6 | 14.7 | 8.4 | 38.2 | 11.5 | 15.3 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 23.5 | 180.2 | 126.4 | 40.5 | 40.2 | 33.5 | 444.3 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1.3 | 7.4 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 20.6 |

92: Moss Heather Dr \& Thorburn Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.3 | 5.2 | 11.6 | 5.0 | 4.0 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 294.1 | 2.4 | 16.9 | 190.8 | 504.2 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 7.4 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 4.8 | 12.8 |

94: O'Leary Avenue/Larkhall Street \& Thorburn Road Performance by movement

|  | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | SBR

94: O'Leary Avenue/Larkhall Street \& Thorburn Road Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 8.7 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 52.9 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 517.6 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 55.3 |

102: Freshwater Road \& Loop Ramp Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 7.1 | 8.5 | 3.2 | 31.4 | 7.5 | 10.6 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 150.6 | 87.3 | 39.9 | 39.2 | 33.2 | 350.3 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 4.9 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 4.3 | 1.7 | 15.6 |

105: Kenmount Rd \& Avalon Mall Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 10.5 | 3.3 | 5.8 | 14.9 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 29.6 | 5.4 | 32.8 | 21.8 | 1.0 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 5.0 | 275.4 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 274.7 | 37.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 9.3 | 0.4 | 1.2 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.2 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 7.0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

108: Kenmount Road \& Pippy Place Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 24.5 | 7.8 | 5.9 | 34.4 | 18.0 | 18.4 | 58.0 | 69.2 | 13.8 | 53.9 | 41.1 |
| Travel Dist (km) | 131.6 | 393.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 315.2 | 98.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 19.2 | 0.2 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 5.8 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.9 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 |

108: Kenmount Road \& Pippy Place Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 15.6 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 975.2 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 32.8 |

109: Kenmount Rd \& Peet St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 12.1 | 3.5 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 34.7 | 8.5 | 5.8 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 31.6 | 227.8 | 173.3 | 16.6 | 14.4 | 17.3 | 481.0 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1.2 | 5.8 | 5.1 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 14.1 |

114: Terra Nova Motors/Great Eastern Ave \& Kenmount Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 0.1 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 16.8 | 14.0 | 13.7 | 24.2 | 12.6 | 12.8 | 31.3 | 10.8 | 34.7 | 37.0 | 1.8 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 28.3 | 852.5 | 2.5 | 39.0 | 1091.2 | 15.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 19.1 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.9 | 25.5 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 25.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 |

## 117: Crotty's/Kelsey Drive \& Kenmount Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 32.7 | 12.3 | 13.2 | 5.3 | 29.8 | 0.5 | 4.3 | 13.2 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 96.1 | 825.3 | 393.4 | 47.7 | 23.4 | 1.1 | 81.1 | 1468.1 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 3.9 | 22.8 | 11.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 42.5 |

120: Wyatt Blvd \& Kenmount Rd Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 302.7 | 298.4 | 110.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 21.4 | 10.8 | 22.2 | 4.9 | 69.6 | 139.1 | 55.5 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 355.2 | 22.4 | 223.4 | 269.8 | 17.7 | 327.4 | 1215.9 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 11.7 | 0.6 | 7.6 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 144.3 | 175.9 |

## 123: Kenmount Road \& Ladysmith Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 33.3 | 28.8 | 19.0 | 11.1 | 27.9 | 5.6 | 24.8 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 25.1 | 2189.6 | 490.9 | 34.4 | 46.9 | 4.1 | 2791.0 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.7 | 58.3 | 16.1 | 1.0 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 79.3 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 154.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 98.9 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 49487.0 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 2542.4 |

Intersection: 4: Columbus Drive /Prince Philip Drive \& Thorburn Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | B71 | B71 | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | TR | T | TR | T | T | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 81.7 | 82.2 | 79.2 | 114.1 | 37.4 | 45.2 | 10.2 | 32.0 | 108.4 | 256.1 | 331.7 | 224.0 |
| Average Queue (m) | 49.4 | 52.1 | 23.5 | 55.7 | 20.5 | 30.0 | 0.8 | 5.3 | 55.2 | 96.4 | 103.2 | 35.0 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 72.7 | 74.7 | 54.2 | 101.0 | 34.6 | 46.2 | 7.7 | 22.4 | 94.9 | 191.0 | 212.1 | 134.8 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 361.3 | 361.3 |  | 22.0 | 22.0 | 92.4 | 92.4 |  | 709.7 | 709.7 | 709.7 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 10 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 16 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 150.0 |  |  | 150.0 |  |  |  |  | 175.0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |

## Intersection: 4: Columbus Drive /Prince Philip Drive \& Thorburn Road

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 27.4 | 72.7 | 68.6 | 57.6 | 9.4 |
| Average Queue (m) | 10.5 | 49.4 | 45.2 | 31.5 | 0.3 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 22.0 | 66.2 | 61.9 | 50.4 | 7.0 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 87.9 | 87.9 | 87.9 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 110.0 |  |  |  | 90.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 6: ORR NB \& Thorburn Road

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 35.2 | 1.2 | 80.4 | 229.7 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 12.0 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 110.9 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 26.6 | 0.6 | 74.7 | 276.1 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 266.9 | 486.0 | 297.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 15 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 100.0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 9: ORR SB \& Thorburn Road

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | T | LT | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 17.7 | 100.4 | 223.9 | 158.6 | 18.1 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.1 | 58.2 | 69.1 | 73.8 | 5.4 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 12.0 | 117.6 | 239.7 | 171.7 | 17.7 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 233.2 |  | 266.9 | 169.4 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 8 | 11 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 19 | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 100.0 |  |  | 15.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 19 | 10 | 72 | 3 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 30 | 10 | 30 | 1 |

## Intersection: 15: Messenger Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 8.1 | 1.7 | 10.2 | 23.1 | 7.2 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 2.2 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 7.5 | 0.6 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 8.1 | 1.2 | 10.7 | 18.0 | 3.7 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 194.8 | 194.8 |  |  | 183.4 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 0 | 10.0 | 250.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |

## Intersection: 19: Team Gushue Hwy NB

| Movement | EB | WB | B87 | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | T | LTR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 22.0 | 5.4 | 179.2 | 18.1 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 7.8 | 0.3 | 8.8 | 6.5 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 18.4 | 2.8 | 80.4 | 15.4 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 99.7 | 218.7 | 206.6 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 1 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 65.0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 21: Columbus Drive \& Captain Whelan Drive/Hogan St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | L | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 147.3 | 431.3 | 371.5 | 87.3 | 185.6 | 17.7 | 17.8 | 21.6 | 56.6 | 13.6 | 18.7 |
| Average Queue $(m)$ | 21.8 | 391.6 | 202.4 | 10.3 | 176.9 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 7.4 | 25.6 | 3.0 | 5.8 |
| 95th Queue $(m)$ | 104.8 | 472.5 | 493.9 | 51.2 | 180.9 | 12.8 | 14.9 | 17.1 | 48.9 | 9.7 | 14.9 |
| Link Distance $(m)$ |  | 449.3 | 449.3 |  | 171.4 |  | 338.0 | 338.0 |  | 652.4 | 652.4 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 12 | 9 |  | 87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 21 | 15 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 140.0 |  |  | 80.0 |  | 100.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 92 |  |  | 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 9 |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 22: Columbus Drive \& Blackmarsh Road

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | T | R | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 77.3 | 109.5 | 44.3 | 53.0 | 17.1 | 23.0 | 146.7 | 145.8 | 54.4 | 79.9 | 80.8 |
| Average Queue (m) | 39.3 | 57.5 | 18.5 | 22.3 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 88.1 | 87.5 | 19.3 | 40.2 | 44.1 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 71.1 | 93.8 | 34.2 | 42.4 | 10.0 | 14.1 | 132.3 | 133.0 | 38.4 | 70.2 | 73.0 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 381.8 |  | 163.9 |  |  | 652.4 | 652.4 |  | 435.8 | 435.8 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 70.0 |  | 75.0 |  | 75.0 | 80.0 |  |  | 70.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 4 |  | 0 |  |  | 13 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 8 |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |

## Intersection: 23: Columbus Drive \& Mundy Pond Road

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | B46 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | T |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 77.3 | 166.1 | 45.9 | 82.8 | 0.9 | 212.3 | 337.8 | 31.1 | 83.9 | 86.8 | 28.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 55.0 | 75.6 | 13.7 | 34.0 | 0.1 | 85.0 | 100.0 | 9.0 | 52.0 | 56.4 | 1.0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 86.3 | 180.3 | 31.8 | 67.5 | 1.3 | 169.2 | 214.8 | 20.6 | 77.9 | 81.9 | 28.9 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 402.4 |  | 252.9 |  | 435.8 | 435.8 |  | 636.8 | 636.8 | 274.8 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 70.0 |  | 60.0 |  | 75.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 15 | 4 |  | 2 |  | 15 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 45 | 8 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 24: Columbus Drive \& Old Pennywell Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| B46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | L | T | L | T | T | T | R |

## Intersection: 24: Columbus Drive \& Old Pennywell Road

| Movement | B46 | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | L | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 63.7 | 49.2 | 61.0 | 70.5 | 60.7 | 0.3 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 2.3 | 26.0 | 37.4 | 45.1 | 34.2 | 0.0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 65.0 | 45.1 | 56.3 | 66.2 | 57.4 | 0.3 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 636.8 |  | 709.7 | 709.7 | 709.7 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 100.0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |

## Intersection: 28: Hamlyn Road \& Captain Whelan Drive

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 58.8 | 17.0 | 25.6 | 53.5 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 11.3 | 3.8 | 12.6 | 21.2 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 60.3 | 12.6 | 21.1 | 51.3 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 299.3 |  | 262.5 | 262.5 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 70.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 29: Team Gushue Hwy SB \& Kelsey Dr

| Movement | WB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | LTR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 7.1 | 0.6 | 210.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.4 | 0.0 | 196.0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.3 | 0.6 | 227.7 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 130.8 | 191.8 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 90 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 60.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 31: Bend

| Movement | EB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 122.8 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 5.1 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 57.5 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 179.9 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty $(\mathrm{veh})$ |  |

## Intersection: 40: Kelsey Drive \& Kiwanis St

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 15.1 | 1.7 | 16.5 | 38.5 | 18.0 | 19.5 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 1.2 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 14.0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 8.7 | 1.7 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 24.3 |
| Link Distance (m) | 120.8 |  |  | 378.5 | 280.5 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 30.0 | 100.0 |  |  | 20.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |

Intersection: 45: Allston Street/Brougham Drive \& Kenmount Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | LT | R | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 49.6 | 92.0 | 100.4 | 39.7 | 51.2 | 55.5 | 92.6 | 24.6 | 195.7 | 127.5 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 11.8 | 51.6 | 55.6 | 18.8 | 24.2 | 30.2 | 29.6 | 2.0 | 118.3 | 34.9 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 33.4 | 82.3 | 86.4 | 34.6 | 44.2 | 48.8 | 98.0 | 14.6 | 252.6 | 108.4 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 716.1 | 716.1 |  | 1379.6 | 1379.6 | 188.9 |  | 576.8 | 576.8 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 50.0 |  |  | 50.0 |  |  |  | 30.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 10 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 27 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 4 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 6 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 51: Commonwealth Ave/Mt. Carson Ave \& Topsail Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | L | T | R | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 62.3 | 114.5 | 116.8 | 42.5 | 59.1 | 58.2 | 146.4 | 32.0 | 73.0 | 149.0 | 49.4 | 42.4 |
| Average Queue (m) | 15.9 | 62.9 | 69.3 | 31.8 | 30.1 | 29.7 | 36.8 | 3.8 | 37.2 | 78.4 | 3.9 | 34.4 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 45.8 | 102.6 | 110.9 | 60.1 | 50.7 | 48.2 | 126.9 | 20.8 | 64.7 | 133.6 | 30.4 | 52.7 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 1082.8 | 1082.8 |  |  | 912.8 | 912.8 |  | 208.3 | 208.3 | 208.3 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 60.0 |  |  | 40.0 | 100.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  |  | 40.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 9 | 24 | 1 |  |  | 11 | 0 |  |  |  | 11 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 6 | 54 | 5 |  |  | 2 | 0 |  |  |  | 39 |

## Intersection: 51: Commonwealth Ave/Mt. Carson Ave \& Topsail Road

| Movement | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 178.6 | 32.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 95.5 | 8.7 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 177.5 | 31.9 |
| Link Distance (m) | 1121.9 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 30.0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 44 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 84 | 1 |

Intersection: 53: Topsail Road \& Blackmarsh Road

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | T | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 246.6 | 571.5 | 0.7 | 20.2 | 31.2 | 22.2 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 44.6 | 48.1 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 5.7 | 16.0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 197.8 | 380.2 | 0.7 | 14.6 | 21.5 | 23.9 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 912.8 | 912.8 | 1253.0 | 1253.0 | 341.5 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 20.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |

## Intersection: 58: Captain Whelan Drive \& Captain Whelan Drive'

| Movement | EB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 8.3 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.8 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 5.0 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 162.4 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

## Intersection: 61: Blackmarsh Road \& Empire Avenue

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 20.6 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.9 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 13.4 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 201.4 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 62: Captain Whelan Drive' \& Blackmarsh Road

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 2.5 | 26.7 | 25.5 | 36.0 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.1 | 12.5 | 9.7 | 17.9 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 1.2 | 22.1 | 20.2 | 31.8 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 128.2 |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 40.0 | 64.0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 64: Blackmarsh Road/Captain Whelan Drive

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 75: Austin Street/Bambrick St \& Thorburn Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 18.0 | 91.5 | 92.4 | 60.8 | 62.9 | 40.8 | 13.2 | 9.0 | 53.7 | 9.2 |
| Average Queue (m) | 0.9 | 37.0 | 40.3 | 33.3 | 15.8 | 7.7 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 25.6 | 1.3 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 9.8 | 74.8 | 79.0 | 57.0 | 46.5 | 24.2 | 9.3 | 5.0 | 44.2 | 6.3 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 368.4 | 368.4 |  | 145.7 | 145.7 |  | 205.8 |  | 132.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 55.0 |  |  | 55.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  | 60.0 |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  | 3 |  | 2 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  | 6 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |

Intersection: 79: Goldstone St/Seaborn St \& Thorburn Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | B80 | B74 | B74 | B1 | B13 | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | T | T |  | T | T | L | T | TR | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 13.2 | 81.2 | 83.8 | 60.1 | 4.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 61.2 | 25.4 | 19.4 | 16.0 |
| Average Queue (m) | 0.6 | 43.1 | 45.4 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 5.0 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 7.2 | 73.7 | 78.8 | 30.0 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 52.0 | 14.2 | 13.7 | 13.8 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 65.8 | 65.8 | 119.1 | 390.0 | 390.0 | 175.6 | 140.5 |  | 368.4 | 368.4 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 1 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 7 | 11 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 55.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65.0 |  |  | 85.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 79: Goldstone St/Seaborn St \& Thorburn Road

| Movement | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 30.2 | 22.0 | 25.2 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.7 | 6.7 | 8.7 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 17.1 | 17.2 | 20.1 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 218.7 |  | 121.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 90: Thorburn Road \& Mount Scio Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 62.8 | 103.2 | 116.6 | 79.7 | 62.9 | 57.3 | 118.2 |
| Average Queue $(m)$ | 19.5 | 37.8 | 43.5 | 37.8 | 26.2 | 43.6 | 34.7 |
| 95th Queue $(m)$ | 43.4 | 78.9 | 88.2 | 70.2 | 52.5 | 64.1 | 86.4 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 145.7 | 145.7 | 265.2 | 265.2 |  | 146.8 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 65.0 |  |  |  |  | 50.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 9 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 2 |  |  |  | 22 | 1 |

Intersection: 92: Moss Heather Dr \& Thorburn Road

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | TR | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 38.6 | 44.5 | 23.1 | 43.0 | 35.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 9.5 | 13.4 | 7.0 | 9.1 | 7.7 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 28.3 | 35.4 | 17.8 | 29.0 | 25.5 |
| Link Distance (m) | 265.2 | 265.2 |  | 325.0 | 325.0 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  | 50.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 |  |

## Intersection: 94: O'Leary Avenue/Larkhall Street \& Thorburn Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | B63 | B63 | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | T | T | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 67.4 | 211.0 | 220.1 | 56.4 | 69.9 | 65.1 | 35.7 | 36.6 | 70.7 | 128.2 | 29.9 | 35.8 |
| Average Queue (m) | 32.2 | 137.3 | 144.3 | 27.5 | 37.2 | 37.2 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 16.3 | 62.7 | 10.5 | 11.9 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 78.7 | 230.5 | 236.1 | 47.9 | 61.9 | 60.0 | 36.4 | 53.2 | 50.8 | 111.8 | 23.6 | 25.6 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 325.0 | 325.0 |  | 147.5 | 147.5 | 361.3 | 361.3 |  | 156.6 |  | 101.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 60.0 |  |  | 110.0 |  |  |  |  | 105.0 |  | 30.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |

## Intersection: 102: Freshwater Road \& Loop Ramp

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB | B71 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | R | L | L | R | T |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 58.5 | 61.3 | 60.3 | 50.8 | 37.0 | 51.5 | 54.9 | 43.5 | 0.7 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 26.6 | 28.3 | 30.9 | 17.3 | 13.4 | 25.5 | 28.9 | 17.5 | 0.0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 50.2 | 51.8 | 53.5 | 41.3 | 26.6 | 43.4 | 46.7 | 32.5 | 0.7 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 173.1 | 173.1 | 137.0 | 137.0 |  |  | 92.4 | 92.4 | 22.0 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 105: Kenmount Rd \& Avalon Mall

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | T | R | L | TR | LT |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 11.2 | 40.2 | 41.3 | 9.6 | 47.5 | 52.6 | 19.0 | 9.6 | 9.3 | 35.0 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.2 | 13.0 | 18.0 | 1.2 | 19.8 | 23.5 | 6.2 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 14.7 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 10.2 | 32.0 | 35.7 | 6.1 | 39.7 | 44.5 | 14.8 | 6.0 | 7.3 | 27.4 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 268.1 | 268.1 |  | 280.0 | 280.0 | 280.0 | 121.0 | 121.0 | 110.0 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 55.0 |  |  | 16.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 108: Kenmount Road \& Pippy Place

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | LTR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 72.4 | 117.8 | 95.8 | 8.3 | 97.8 | 108.1 | 10.3 | 60.4 | 26.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 47.3 | 39.8 | 37.5 | 0.4 | 42.0 | 51.3 | 1.9 | 29.6 | 12.0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 76.6 | 88.8 | 74.4 | 5.6 | 77.9 | 89.6 | 7.8 | 51.2 | 21.6 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 293.7 | 293.7 |  | 454.9 | 454.9 | 40.3 | 142.8 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 115.0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 65.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 5 | 0 |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 34 | 2 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 109: Kenmount Rd \& Peet St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 48.0 | 48.0 | 52.8 | 59.0 | 64.2 | 30.4 | 23.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 17.5 | 14.8 | 20.1 | 22.0 | 26.7 | 11.5 | 9.8 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 34.4 | 37.5 | 43.2 | 47.5 | 55.5 | 23.9 | 18.8 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 183.4 | 183.4 | 176.9 | 176.9 |  | 266.4 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 50.0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 114: Terra Nova Motors/Great Eastern Ave \& Kenmount Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 18.0 | 194.4 | 242.6 | 28.1 | 189.9 | 309.9 | 11.7 | 9.4 | 12.9 | 4.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 6.0 | 29.2 | 35.7 | 6.4 | 21.3 | 22.6 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 0.3 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 14.6 | 111.1 | 131.8 | 17.8 | 151.6 | 205.0 | 8.4 | 7.3 | 9.7 | 2.9 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 468.6 | 468.6 |  | 1304.6 | 1304.6 |  | 178.3 |  | 232.8 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 55.0 |  |  | 45.0 |  |  | 25.0 |  | 65.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 117: Crotty's/Kelsey Drive \& Kenmount Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | TR | T | T | L | LT | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 35.3 | 36.6 | 73.2 | 96.1 | 90.8 | 94.8 | 20.4 | 53.7 | 10.8 |
| Average Queue (m) | 14.7 | 19.3 | 33.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 39.3 | 9.0 | 7.8 | 0.4 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 29.0 | 30.8 | 69.1 | 82.1 | 72.9 | 75.6 | 18.0 | 44.9 | 7.9 |
| Link Distance (m) |  |  | 474.7 | 474.7 | 490.5 | 490.5 | 378.5 | 378.5 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 75.0 | 75.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |  | 3 | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |

## Intersection: 120: Wyatt Blvd \& Kenmount Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | B119 | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | TR | T | L | T | T | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 104.1 | 100.9 | 279.3 | 92.3 | 122.1 | 106.9 | 381.8 | 382.8 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 56.4 | 47.0 | 15.0 | 52.3 | 14.5 | 12.2 | 348.7 | 367.0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 94.9 | 93.2 | 252.4 | 90.9 | 64.6 | 65.2 | 492.5 | 420.6 |
| Link Distance (m) | 377.0 | 377.0 | 1379.6 |  | 468.6 | 468.6 | 367.2 | 367.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 62 | 87 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |  | 85.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 8 | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 123: Kenmount Road \& Ladysmith Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | TR | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 35.1 | 143.3 | 143.9 | 165.9 | 170.1 | 50.3 | 66.2 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 5.5 | 91.7 | 94.6 | 50.1 | 56.4 | 16.0 | 32.6 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 20.7 | 133.2 | 134.8 | 116.9 | 146.0 | 43.3 | 56.6 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 1304.6 | 1304.6 | 474.7 | 474.7 |  | 179.9 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ | 35.0 |  |  |  |  | 50.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 28 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 6 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 685


| Columbus Drive \& Thorburn Road |  |  | D |  |  | 41.5 | D |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thorburn Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 60.3 | E | 0.85 | 72.3 | 56.5 | E | 97.5 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 16.8 | B | 0.55 | 55.2 | 47.8 | D | 233.3 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 62.9 | E | 167.3 |
|  | Westbound Through | 106.9 | F | 1.09 | 90.5 | 61.2 | E | 47.7 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 54.1 | D | 47.1 |
| Prince Phillip Drive | Northbound Left - Turn | 62.1 | E | 0.95 | 117.6 | 35.3 | D | 91.5 |
|  | Northbound Through | 36.7 | D | 0.47 | 92.7 | 33.3 | C | 150.9 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn | 20.6 | C | 0.34 | 60.8 | 18.0 | B | 156.2 |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 16.4 | B | 0.19 | 12.8 | 25.8 | C | 67.1 |
|  | Southbound Through | 46.6 | D | 0.89 | 120.2 | 47.2 | D | 118.0 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn | 19.1 | B | 0.80 | 86.6 | 6.8 | A | 96.5 |
| Thorburn Road \& Freshwater Road |  |  | B |  |  | 9.0 | A |  |
| Freshwater Road | Eastbound Through | 7.3 | A | 0.37 | 54.8 | 5.0 | A | 56.4 |
|  | Westbound Through | 7.1 | A | 0.35 | 52.3 | 9.2 | A | 64.2 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn | 1.5 | A | 0.37 | 10.1 | 4.9 | A | 42.1 |
| Thorburn Road | Southbound Left - Turn | 42.9 | D | 0.62 | 44.4 | 32.9 | C | 43.7 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn | 29.5 | C | 0.67 | 47.3 | 9.0 | A | 30.3 |
| Avalon Mall \& Kenmount Road |  |  | C |  |  | 15.7 | B |  |
| Kenmount Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 20.6 | C | 0.39 | 13.9 | 22.8 | C | 31.1 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 22.6 | C | 0.70 | 126.5 | 13.3 | B | 85.4 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 11.6 | B | 87.6 |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 23.0 | C | 0.06 | 4.5 | 30.8 | C | 9.8 |
|  | Westbound Through | 34.2 | C | 0.81 | 145.7 | 16.6 | B | 92.6 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn | 4.7 | A | 0.42 | 18.9 | 5.0 | A | 30.6 |
| Avalon Mall | Northbound Left - Turn | 22.6 | C | 0.06 | 5.3 | 25.2 | C | 8.0 |
|  | Northbound Through | 8.7 | A | 0.06 | 5.5 | 31.6 | C | 14.7 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 10.3 | B |  |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 39.6 | D | 0.75 | 90.6 | 35.5 | D | 91.4 |
|  | Southbound Through |  |  |  |  | 35.1 | D |  |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn | 4.3 | A | 0.22 | 7.9 | 1.8 | A | 14.2 |
| Pippy Place \& Kenmount Road |  |  | D |  |  | 32.6 | C |  |
| Kenmount Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 77.8 | E | 0.94 | 111.2 | 37.8 | D | 69.7 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 9.2 | A | 0.47 | 78.0 | 6.6 | A | 69.9 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 4.7 | A | 62.8 |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 16.7 | B | 0.01 | 2.1 | 23.8 | C | 6.1 |
|  | Westbound Through | 47.6 | D | 0.98 | 262.1 | 30.7 | C | 181.8 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 31.5 | C | 183.5 |
| Pippy Place | Northbound Left - Turn | 0.4 | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 7.3 |
|  | Northbound Through |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 9.7 | A |  |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 64.6 | E | 0.74 | 103.0 | 91.7 | F | 143.5 |
|  | Southbound Through |  |  |  |  | 67.6 | E | 185.8 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn | 50.2 | D | 0.94 | 125.4 | 79.7 | E |  |
| Kelsey Drive \& Kenmount Road |  |  | F |  |  | 49.6 | D |  |
| Kenmount Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 53.8 | D | 0.75 | 63.7 | 36.6 | D | 48.4 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 24.4 | C | 0.71 | 204.2 | 12.2 | B | 70.4 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 13.7 | B | 75.2 |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 15.0 | B | 0.01 | 1.6 | 75.9 | E | 17.7 |
|  | Westbound Through | 204.1 | F | 1.37 | 313.3 | 90.9 | F | 417.6 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn | 8.1 | A | 0.58 | 33.1 | 47.1 | D | 200.4 |
| Kelsey Drive | Northbound Left - Turn | 48.0 | D | 0.02 | 1.3 | 53.2 | D | 4.9 |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 30.3 | C | 0.30 | 50.7 | 33.5 | C | 220.7 |
|  | Southbound Through | 30.3 | C | 0.30 | 50.9 | 30.9 | C |  |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn | 41.2 | D | 0.96 | 185.6 | 40.0 | D | 90.0 |
| Kelsey Drive \& Kiwanis Street |  |  |  |  |  | 4.6 | A |  |
| Kiwanis Street | Eastbound Left - Turn | 15.8 | C | 0.30 | 9.7 | 12.0 | B | 17.8 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn | - | - | - | - | 3.3 | A | 12.1 |
| Kelsey Drive | Northbound Left - Turn | 10.3 | B | 0.20 | 5.7 | 10.8 | B | 21.3 |
|  | Northbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.16 | 0.0 | 6.5 | A | 117.6 |
|  | Southbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.21 | 0.0 | 1.4 | A | 9.7 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn | 0.0 | - | 0.01 | 0.0 | 2.5 | A | 17.6 |
| Team Gushue Hwy (SB) \& Kelsey Drive |  |  |  |  |  | 65.8 | F |  |
| Kelsey Drive | Eastbound Through | 16.6 | C | 0.52 | 22.9 | 22.1 | C | 114.6 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn | 0.0 | - | 0.29 | 0.0 | 0.8 | A | 18.6 |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 0.0 | - | 0.65 | 0.0 | 5.8 | A | 2.3 |
|  | Westbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.00 | 0.0 | 1.4 | A | 0.6 |
| Team Gushue Hwy (SB) | Southbound Left - Turn | 29.3 | D | 0.13 | 3.3 | 215.8 | F | 253.2 |
|  | Southbound Through |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 204.3 | F |  |


| Team Gushue Hwy (NB) \& Kelsey Drive |  |  |  |  |  | 25.7 | D |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kelsey Drive | Eastbound Left - Turn | 16.6 | C | 0.52 | 22.9 | 105.3 | F | 82.1 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.29 | 0.0 | 18.3 | C | 185.0 |
|  | Westbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.65 | 0.0 | 5.7 | A | 17.0 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 3.7 | A |  |
| Team Gushue Hwy (NB) | Northbound Left - Turn | 33.2 | D | 0.15 | 3.9 | 256.5 | F | 32.3 |
|  | Northbound Through |  |  |  |  | 787.6 | F |  |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 115.7 | F |  |
| Ladysmith Drive \& Kenmount Road |  |  | E |  |  | 64.2 | E |  |
| Kenmount Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 13.3 | B | 0.18 | 6.6 | 50.9 | D | 24.7 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 18.3 | B | 0.62 | 118.3 | 22.3 | C | 97.2 |
|  | Westbound Through | 92.6 | F | 1.12 | 346.3 | 91.9 | F | 479.6 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 89.5 | F | 511.0 |
| Ladysmith Drive | Southbound Left - Turn | 24.1 | C | 0.15 | 20.5 | 24.8 | C | 19.2 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 3.4 | A | 32.4 |
| Great Eastern Avenue \& Kenmount Road |  |  | B |  |  | 16.6 | B |  |
| Kenmount Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 7.5 | A | 0.29 | 7.0 | 23.7 | C | 19.4 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 5.0 | A | 0.37 | 62.5 | 10.6 | B | 96.5 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 19.5 | B | 189.6 |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 3.2 | A | 0.03 | 1.7 | 26.7 | C | 16.5 |
|  | Westbound Through | 13.1 | B | 0.70 | 149.4 | 21.7 | C | 279.4 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 18.3 | B | 344.7 |
| Great Eastern Avenue | Northbound Left - Turn | 44.8 | D | 0.32 | 15.1 | 32.5 | C | 17.0 |
|  | Northbound Through | 17.2 | B | 0.17 | 8.1 | 22.0 | C | 13.9 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 7.2 | A |  |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 37.6 | D | 0.08 | 6.3 | 31.0 | C | 9.6 |
|  | Southbound Through | 15.2 | B | 0.26 | 9.9 | 42.2 | D | 3.6 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 1.7 | A |  |
| Wyatt Boulevard \& Kenmount |  |  | F |  |  | 24.5 | C |  |
| Kenmount Road | Eastbound Through | 37.7 | D | 0.66 | 98.2 | 30.4 | C | 75.9 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 10.5 | B | 72.1 |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 181.0 | F | 1.32 | 333.5 | 45.9 | D | 112.2 |
|  | Westbound Through | 8.1 | A | 0.44 | 63.0 | 9.2 | A | 239.8 |
| Wyatt Boulevard | Northbound Left - Turn | 44.0 | D | 0.27 | 33.8 | 46.1 | D | 37.8 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn | 123.0 | F | 1.21 | 230.1 | 16.4 | B | 153.1 |
| Brougham Drive \& Kenmount |  |  | D |  |  | 104.6 | F |  |
| Kenmount Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 46.8 | D | 0.51 | 42.3 | 44.8 | D | 43.0 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 29.9 | C | 0.48 | 68.4 | 30.8 | C | 56.9 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 22.9 | C | 62.4 |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 47.3 | D | 0.53 | 44.0 | 77.1 | E | 62.1 |
|  | Westbound Through | 60.7 | E | 1.00 | 184.0 | 65.7 | E | 194.6 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 68.4 | E | 201.4 |
| Brougham Drive | Northbound Left - Turn | 44.0 | D | 0.14 | 13.6 | 3770.7 | F | 200.1 |
|  | Northbound Through |  |  |  |  | 3552.5 | F |  |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn | 43.6 | D | 0.67 | 58.9 | 3531.4 | F | - |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 46.8 | D | 0.50 | 41.2 | 42.1 | D | 40.2 |
|  | Southbound Through | 21.8 | C | 0.22 | 30.0 | 17.1 | B | 28.5 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 7.1 | A |  |
| Columbus Drive \& Old Pennyw | Road |  | D |  |  | 35.9 | D |  |
| Old Pennywell Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 59.3 | E | 0.65 | 43.9 | 47.9 | D | 49.4 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 61.0 | E | 0.70 | 52.7 | 51.2 | D | 64.8 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn | 14.4 | B | 0.55 | 18.2 | 3.8 | A | 22.4 |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 60.7 | E | 0.86 | 77.9 | 50.7 | D | 80.9 |
|  | Westbound Through | 54.7 | D | 0.68 | 67.1 | 44.0 | D | 62.9 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn | 4.5 | A | 0.32 | 8.3 | 3.2 | A | 16.2 |
| Columbus Drive | Northbound Left - Turn | 19.2 | B | 0.19 | 7.0 | 27.7 | C | 12.7 |
|  | Northbound Through | 42.6 | D | 0.64 | 103.4 | 34.9 | C | 76.6 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn | 18.8 | B | 0.29 | 38.3 | 3.5 | A | 18.8 |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 49.0 | D | 0.77 | 58.0 | 32.9 | C | 75.8 |
|  | Southbound Through | 52.0 | D | 0.71 | 145.4 | 41.8 | D | 117.7 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn | 23.1 | C | 0.23 | 25.7 | 12.2 | B | 52.3 |
| Columbus Drive \& Mundy Pond Road |  |  | C |  |  | 25.0 | C |  |
| Mundy Pond Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 77.0 | E | 0.76 | 37.3 | 84.3 | F | 47.6 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 32.2 | C | 0.31 | 38.5 | 32.9 | C | 55.5 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 27.0 | C |  |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 47.0 | D | 0.67 | 43.6 | 46.6 | D | 67.0 |
|  | Westbound Through | 53.7 | D | 0.84 | 77.7 | 40.7 | D | 111.6 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 32.8 | C |  |
| Columbus Drive | Northbound Left - Turn | 9.8 | A | 0.05 | 0.4 | 40.8 | D | 5.3 |
|  | Northbound Through | 10.0 | B | 0.68 | 40.3 | 18.8 | B | 53.2 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 20.2 | C | 154.6 |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 16.5 | B | 0.29 | 13.2 | 28.0 | C | 39.4 |
|  | Southbound Through | 32.0 | C | 0.78 | 226.7 | 21.3 | C | 128.7 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 24.8 | C |  |


| Columbus Drive \& Blackmarsh Road |  |  | D |  |  | 55.7 | E |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blackmarsh Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 37.5 | D | 0.60 | 28.6 | 41.6 | D | 40.7 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 39.5 | D | 0.52 | 66.3 | 39.1 | D | 65.4 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 29.8 | C |  |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 43.8 | D | 0.75 | 59.5 | 87.8 | F | 97.5 |
|  | Westbound Through | 69.3 | E | 0.93 | 135.5 | 62.3 | E | 196.5 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn | 10.8 | B | 0.45 | 24.9 | 17.1 | B | 88.0 |
| Columbus Drive | Northbound Left - Turn | 17.7 | B | 0.26 | 10.2 | 33.2 | C | 30.0 |
|  | Northbound Through | 33.7 | C | 0.79 | 133.6 | 32.5 | C | 94.3 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 25.8 | C | 95.8 |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 261.6 | F | 1.47 | 107.7 | 117.4 | F | 95.5 |
|  | Southbound Through | 40.1 | C | 0.90 | 214.4 | 63.6 | E | 291.7 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 63.1 | E | 293.7 |
| Columbus Drive \& Captain Whelan Drive |  |  | C |  |  | 94.8 | F |  |
| Captain Whelan Drive | Eastbound Left - Turn | 63.9 | E | 0.62 | 47.0 | 244.9 | F | 306.1 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 63.0 | E | 0.62 | 48.6 | 416.4 | F |  |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn | 6.6 | A | 0.28 | 7.6 | 38.4 | D | 317.4 |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 52.9 | D | 0.24 | 17.2 | 185.3 | F | 63.8 |
|  | Westbound Through | 46.6 | D | 0.53 | 29.8 | 221.4 | F | 181.7 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 211.2 | F |  |
| Columbus Drive | Northbound Left - Turn | 17.5 | B | 0.19 | 8.8 | 16.3 | B | 14.5 |
|  | Northbound Through | 13.8 | B | 0.44 | 87.5 | 16.1 | B | 15.3 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 5.0 | A | 16.0 |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 14.5 | B | 0.20 | 15.6 | 26.8 | C | 41.8 |
|  | Southbound Through | 18.5 | B | 0.68 | 168.1 | 4.8 | A | 12.7 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn | 2.4 | A | 0.14 | 9.1 | 4.2 | A | 4.5 |
| Hamlyn Road \& Captain Whelan Drive |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | A |  |
| Hamlyn Road | Eastbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.33 | 0.0 | 3.6 | A | 9.0 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 2.1 | A |  |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 9.4 | A | 0.19 | 5.1 | 10.5 | B | 16.8 |
|  | Westbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.03 | 0.0 | 4.1 | A | 68.3 |
| Hamlyn Road | Northbound Left - Turn | 33.6 | D | 0.62 | 29.7 | 7.8 | A | 26.6 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn | 11.2 | B | 0.20 | 5.7 | 3.0 | A | 16.5 |
| Empire Avenue \& Blackmarsh Road |  |  |  |  |  | 10.5 | B |  |
| Blackmarsh Road | Eastbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.19 | 0.0 | 9.9 | A | - |
|  | Westbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.40 | 0.0 | 1.7 | A | 0.7 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 0.8 | A |  |
| Empire Avenue | Southbound Left - Turn | 45.7 | E | 0.85 | 63.0 | 34.4 | D | 72.9 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | 27.2 | D |  |
| Blackmarsh Road \& Topsail Road |  |  |  |  |  | 9.9 | A |  |
| Topsail Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 15.4 | C | 0.63 | 34.8 | 25.4 | D | 204.9 |
|  | Eastbound Through |  |  | 0.23 |  | 9.6 | A | 352.4 |
|  | Westbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.32 | 0.0 | 4.9 | A | 4.5 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn |  |  | 0.19 |  | 5.9 | A | 14.9 |
| Blackmarsh Road | Southbound Left - Turn | 56.1 | F | 0.95 | 96.0 | 53.0 | F | 47.5 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn | 0.0 | - | 0.00 | 0.0 | 8.2 | A | 24.8 |
| Blackmarsh Road \& Captain Whelan Drive |  |  |  |  |  | 4.5 | A |  |
| Captain Whelan Drive | Eastbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.22 | 0.0 | 0.7 | A | 0.7 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 10.0 | A | 0.39 | 14.3 | 5.5 | A | 30.7 |
|  | Westbound Through | 0.0 | - | 0.37 | 0.0 | 2.7 | A | 7.1 |
| Blackmarsh Road | Northbound Left - Turn | 423.7 | F | 1.42 | 47.3 | 37.6 | E | 26.9 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn | 12.0 | B | 0.23 | 6.7 | 4.4 | A | 23.8 |
| Mt Carson Ave/ Commonweath Ave \& Topsail Road |  |  | E |  |  | 171.5 | F |  |
| Topsail Road | Eastbound Left - Turn | 17.4 | B | 0.18 | 13.1 | 160.7 | F | 67.9 |
|  | Eastbound Through | 47.5 | D | 0.84 | 112.0 | 186.5 | F | 729.8 |
|  | Eastbound Right - Turn | 84.4 | F | 1.07 | 184.7 | 238.6 | F | 42.5 |
|  | Westbound Left - Turn | 100.5 | F | 1.08 | 141.4 | 78.3 | E | 117.1 |
|  | Westbound Through | 27.0 | C | 0.46 | 74.4 | 20.7 | C | 260.7 |
|  | Westbound Right - Turn | 0.2 | A | 0.06 | 0.0 | 12.6 | B | 29.2 |
| Mt Carson Avenue | Northbound Left - Turn | 75.0 | E | 0.95 | 81.5 | 42.8 | D | 64.6 |
|  | Northbound Through | 39.6 | D | 0.61 | 97.3 | 32.3 | C | 82.1 |
|  | Northbound Right - Turn | 5.7 | A | 0.38 | 8.3 | 2.8 | A | 11.3 |
|  | Southbound Left - Turn | 23.9 | C | 0.25 | 19.3 | 561.5 | F | 46.6 |
|  | Southbound Through | 131.4 | F | 1.16 | 199.8 | 562.3 | F | 1234.1 |
|  | Southbound Right - Turn | 0.2 | A | 0.06 | 0.0 | 544.3 | F | 32.9 |


|  | 4 |  | $\checkmark$ | 7 |  |  |  | 4 | 7 | $1$ | $\ddagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 4 | 501 | 12 | 118 | 1243 | 91 | 116 | 14 | 318 | 49 | 4 | 1 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 55.0 |  | 0.0 | 55.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.990 |  |  | 0.856 |  |  | 0.975 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1652 | 3447 | 0 | 1652 | 3426 | 0 | 1652 | 1577 | 0 | 1652 | 1796 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.179 |  |  | 0.372 |  |  | 0.754 |  |  | 0.237 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 311 | 3447 | 0 | 647 | 3426 | 0 | 1311 | 1577 | 0 | 412 | 1796 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 3 |  |  | 13 |  |  | 343 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 389.2 |  |  | 166.0 |  |  | 219.5 |  |  | 145.1 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 28.0 |  |  | 12.0 |  |  | 15.8 |  |  | 10.4 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 5 | 569 | 14 | 127 | 1337 | 98 | 126 | 15 | 346 | 58 | 5 | 1 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 5 | 583 | 0 | 127 | 1435 | 0 | 126 | 361 | 0 | 58 | 6 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 61.0 | 61.0 |  | 13.0 | 74.0 |  | 36.0 | 36.0 |  | 36.0 | 36.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 55.3 | 55.3 |  | 68.4 | 68.4 |  | 16.9 | 16.9 |  | 16.9 | 16.9 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.57 | 0.57 |  | 0.70 | 0.70 |  | 0.17 | 0.17 |  | 0.17 | 0.17 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.30 |  | 0.24 | 0.60 |  | 0.55 | 0.65 |  | 0.82 | 0.02 |  |
| Control Delay | 13.0 | 12.4 |  | 7.2 | 9.8 |  | 45.4 | 10.5 |  | 102.7 | 28.8 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.7 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 13.0 | 12.4 |  | 7.2 | 10.5 |  | 45.4 | 10.5 |  | 102.7 | 28.8 |  |
| LOS | B | B |  | A | B |  | D | B |  | F | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 12.5 |  |  | 10.3 |  |  | 19.5 |  |  | 95.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.4 | 27.3 |  | 6.5 | 60.2 |  | 21.8 | 2.9 |  | 10.6 | 0.8 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 2.6 | 48.4 |  | 18.1 | 119.5 |  | 38.9 | 26.1 |  | 23.8 | 3.8 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 365.2 |  |  | 142.0 |  |  | 195.5 |  |  | 121.1 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 55.0 |  |  | 55.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 176 | 1959 |  | 526 | 2409 |  | 405 | 725 |  | 127 | 557 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 574 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.30 |  | 0.24 | 0.78 |  | 0.31 | 0.50 |  | 0.46 | 0.01 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 97.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 14.4 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 89.3\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 75: Austin Street/Bambrick St \& Thorburn Road


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 | （ | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Volume（vph） | 63 | 1170 | 20 | 7 | 1041 | 321 | 11 | 4 | 26 | 316 | 7 | 125 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（m） | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 4.2 |
| Grade（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Storage Length（m） | 55.0 |  | 0.0 | 16.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（m） | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.997 |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.869 |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.953 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1652 | 3411 | 0 | 1652 | 3461 | 1566 | 1750 | 1601 | 0 | 0 | 2012 | 1689 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.084 |  |  | 0.170 |  |  | 0.330 |  |  |  | 0.702 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 146 | 3411 | 0 | 296 | 3461 | 1566 | 608 | 1601 | 0 | 0 | 1482 | 1689 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 2 |  |  |  | 346 |  | 34 |  |  |  | 160 |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 288.0 |  |  | 296.2 |  |  | 135.5 |  |  | 126.3 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 20.7 |  |  | 21.3 |  |  | 9.8 |  |  | 9.1 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 |
| Growth Factor | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid－Block Traffic（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 65 | 1206 | 21 | 8 | 1183 | 365 | 14 | 5 | 34 | 405 | 9 | 160 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 65 | 1227 | 0 | 8 | 1183 | 365 | 14 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 414 | 160 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  | 6 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 8 |
| Total Split（s） | 13.0 | 62.0 |  | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |  | 32.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 56.0 | 56.0 |  | 45.8 | 45.8 | 45.8 | 40.3 | 40.3 |  |  | 40.3 | 40.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.52 | 0.52 |  | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.37 | 0.37 |  |  | 0.37 | 0.37 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.39 | 0.70 |  | 0.06 | 0.81 | 0.42 | 0.06 | 0.06 |  |  | 0.75 | 0.22 |
| Control Delay | 20.6 | 22.6 |  | 23.0 | 34.2 | 4.7 | 22.6 | 8.7 |  |  | 39.6 | 4.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 20.6 | 22.6 |  | 23.0 | 34.2 | 4.7 | 22.6 | 8.7 |  |  | 39.6 | 4.3 |
| LOS | C | C |  | C | C | A | C | A |  |  | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 22.5 |  |  | 27.3 |  |  | 12.4 |  |  | 29.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 6.9 | 102.0 |  | 1.1 | 121.7 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 0.7 |  |  | 75.2 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 13.9 | 126.5 |  | 4.5 | 145.7 | 18.9 | 5.3 | 5.5 |  |  | 90.6 | 7.9 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 264.0 |  |  | 272.2 |  |  | 111.5 |  |  | 102.3 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 55.0 |  |  | 16.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | , | $\frac{1}{7}$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 173 | 1765 |  | 125 | 1464 | 862 | 226 | 616 |  |  | 574 | 753 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.38 | 0.70 |  | 0.06 | 0.81 | 0.42 | 0.06 | 0.06 |  |  | 0.72 | 0.21 |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other
Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 108.3
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.81
Intersection Signal Delay: 25.7
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 75.9\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 105: Kenmount Rd \& Avalon Mall





|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 |  | - | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 虫 |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | F |  |
| Volume (vph) | 112 | 450 | 18 | 117 | 673 | 305 | 23 | 116 | 73 | 107 | 61 | 49 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 50.0 |  | 0.0 | 50.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 30.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.994 |  |  | 0.953 |  |  | 0.942 |  |  | 0.933 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1789 | 3512 | 0 | 1772 | 3387 | 0 | 1674 | 1761 | 0 | 1789 | 1757 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1789 | 3512 | 0 | 1772 | 3387 | 0 | 1674 | 1761 | 0 | 1789 | 1757 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 3 |  |  | 54 |  |  | 24 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 48 |  |  | 48 |  |  | 48 |  |  | 48 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 727.2 |  |  | 1392.7 |  |  | 202.9 |  |  | 587.5 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 54.5 |  |  | 104.5 |  |  | 15.2 |  |  | 44.1 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 3\% | 11\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 9\% | 2\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 123 | 495 | 20 | 129 | 740 | 335 | 25 | 127 | 80 | 118 | 67 | 54 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 123 | 515 | 0 | 129 | 1075 | 0 | 25 | 207 | 0 | 118 | 121 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 28.0 | 34.0 |  | 28.0 | 34.0 |  | 28.0 | 34.0 |  | 28.0 | 34.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 12.5 | 28.2 |  | 12.7 | 28.4 |  | 10.1 | 15.4 |  | 12.3 | 28.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.13 | 0.30 |  | 0.14 | 0.31 |  | 0.11 | 0.17 |  | 0.13 | 0.30 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.51 | 0.48 |  | 0.53 | 1.00 |  | 0.14 | 0.67 |  | 0.50 | 0.22 |  |
| Control Delay | 46.8 | 29.9 |  | 47.3 | 60.7 |  | 44.0 | 43.6 |  | 46.8 | 21.8 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 46.8 | 29.9 |  | 47.3 | 60.7 |  | 44.0 | 43.6 |  | 46.8 | 21.8 |  |
| LOS | D | C |  | D | E |  | D | D |  | D | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 33.2 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 43.6 |  |  | 34.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 20.2 | 37.5 |  | 21.1 | 92.4 |  | 4.0 | 29.9 |  | 19.4 | 10.5 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 42.3 | 68.4 |  | 44.0 | \#184.0 |  | 13.6 | 58.9 |  | 41.2 | 30.0 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 703.2 |  |  | 1368.7 |  |  | 178.9 |  |  | 563.5 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 50.0 |  |  | 50.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | * | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Base Capacity (vph) 428 | 1072 |  | 424 | 1073 |  | 401 | 553 |  | 428 | 582 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio 0.29 | 0.48 |  | 0.30 | 1.00 |  | 0.06 | 0.37 |  | 0.28 | 0.21 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: $\quad$ OtherCycle Length: $124 \quad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 92.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 47.9 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 75.6\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 45: Allston Street/Brougham Drive \& Kenmount Rd


|  | 4 |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 1 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％${ }^{*}$ | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | \％ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F＇ | \％ | 快 | F |
| Volume（vph） | 438 | 244 | 479 | 0 | 357 | 124 | 333 | 674 | 279 | 54 | 1181 | 571 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（m） | 3.5 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 4.0 |
| Grade（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Storage Length（ m ） | 150.0 |  | 150.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 175.0 |  | 0.0 | 110.0 |  | 90.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（m） | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Utill．Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 |
| Frt |  | 0.901 |  |  | 0.961 |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3395 | 3226 | 0 | 0 | 3462 | 0 | 1750 | 3618 | 1689 | 1750 | 5198 | 1654 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.108 |  |  | 0.382 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3386 | 3226 | 0 | 0 | 3462 | 0 | 199 | 3618 | 1663 | 703 | 5198 | 1628 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 323 |  |  | 37 |  |  |  | 294 |  |  | 456 |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 385.8 |  |  | 49.4 |  |  | 746.3 |  |  | 113.4 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 27.8 |  |  | 3.6 |  |  | 53.7 |  |  | 8.2 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃hr） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid－Block Traffic（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 456 | 254 | 499 | 0 | 446 | 155 | 351 | 709 | 294 | 60 | 1312 | 634 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 456 | 753 | 0 | 0 | 601 | 0 | 351 | 709 | 294 | 60 | 1312 | 634 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  |  | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 8 |  |  | 4 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Total Split（s） | 24.0 | 47.0 |  |  | 23.0 |  | 25.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 13.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  | 6.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Act Efftt Green（s） | 17.5 | 40.0 |  |  | 16.5 |  | 57.0 | 45.6 | 45.6 | 39.2 | 31.2 | 31.2 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.16 | 0.36 |  |  | 0.15 |  | 0.52 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.36 | 0.28 | 0.28 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.85 | 0.55 |  |  | 1.09 |  | 0.95 | 0.47 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 0.89 | 0.80 |
| Control Delay | 60.3 | 16.8 |  |  | 106.9 |  | 62.1 | 36.7 | 20.6 | 16.4 | 46.6 | 19.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 60.3 | 16.8 |  |  | 106.9 |  | 62.1 | 36.7 | 20.6 | 16.4 | 46.6 | 19.1 |
| LOS | E | B |  |  | F |  | E | D | C | B | D | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 33.2 |  |  | 106.9 |  |  | 39.8 |  |  | 37.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | F |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 49.2 | 37.1 |  |  | ～75．0 |  | 71.2 | 75.4 | 41.6 | 6.2 | 99.0 | 35.5 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | \＃72．3 | 55.2 |  |  | \＃90．5 |  | \＃117．6 | 92.7 | 60.8 | 12.8 | \＃120．2 | 86.6 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 361.8 |  |  | 25.4 |  |  | 722.3 |  |  | 89.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 150.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 175.0 |  |  | 110.0 |  | 90.0 |


| $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | 7 | - | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Base Capacity (vph) 555 | 1378 |  |  | 551 |  | 371 | 1499 | 861 | 316 | 1474 | 788 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio 0.82 | 0.55 |  |  | 1.09 |  | 0.95 | 0.47 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 0.89 | 0.80 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green, Master Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 45.0 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 89.3\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 4: Columbus Drive /Prince Philip Drive \& Thorburn Road


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | 1 | （ |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 虾 |  |
| Volume（vph） | 104 | 193 | 21 | 223 | 368 | 208 | 40 | 882 | 157 | 255 | 1314 | 79 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（m） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Grade（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Storage Length（m） | 70.0 |  | 0.0 | 75.0 |  | 75.0 | 80.0 |  | 0.0 | 70.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（m） | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 0.98 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.985 |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.977 |  |  | 0.992 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1750 | 1812 | 0 | 1750 | 1842 | 1566 | 1652 | 3597 | 0 | 1652 | 3662 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.166 |  |  | 0.490 |  |  | 0.093 |  |  | 0.090 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 306 | 1812 | 0 | 901 | 1842 | 1541 | 162 | 3597 | 0 | 156 | 3662 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 5 |  |  |  | 197 |  | 22 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 70 |  |  | 70 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 398.4 |  |  | 185.4 |  |  | 678.8 |  |  | 457.2 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 28.7 |  |  | 13.3 |  |  | 34.9 |  |  | 23.5 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Growth Factor | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid－Block Traffic（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 114 | 212 | 23 | 256 | 423 | 239 | 43 | 948 | 169 | 268 | 1383 | 83 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 114 | 235 | 0 | 256 | 423 | 239 | 43 | 1117 | 0 | 268 | 1466 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 8 |  |  | 4 |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 13.0 | 35.0 |  | 13.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 13.0 | 49.0 |  | 13.0 | 49.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 7.0 |  | 6.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 35.2 | 27.2 |  | 35.2 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 50.0 | 43.0 |  | 53.2 | 49.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.32 | 0.25 |  | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.45 | 0.39 |  | 0.48 | 0.45 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.60 | 0.52 |  | 0.75 | 0.93 | 0.45 | 0.26 | 0.79 |  | 1.47 | 0.90 |  |
| Control Delay | 37.5 | 39.5 |  | 43.8 | 69.3 | 10.8 | 17.7 | 33.7 |  | 261.6 | 40.1 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 37.5 | 39.5 |  | 43.8 | 69.3 | 10.8 | 17.7 | 33.7 |  | 261.6 | 40.1 |  |
| LOS | D | D |  | D | E | B | B | C |  | F | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 38.9 |  |  | 47.0 |  |  | 33.1 |  |  | 74.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 16.0 | 42.3 |  | 39.3 | 88.1 | 6.9 | 4.5 | 107.4 |  | ～70．2 | $\sim 135.0$ |  |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | \＃28．6 | 66.3 |  | \＃59．5 | \＃135．5 | 24.9 | 10.2 | 133.6 |  | m\＃107．7 | \＃214．4 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 374.4 |  |  | 161.4 |  |  | 654.8 |  |  | 433.2 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 70.0 |  |  | 75.0 |  | 75.0 | 80.0 |  |  | 70.0 |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | $\uparrow$ | 7 | - | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 190 | 464 |  | 341 | 468 | 539 | 168 | 1419 |  | 182 | 1636 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.60 | 0.51 |  | 0.75 | 0.90 | 0.44 | 0.26 | 0.79 |  | 1.47 | 0.90 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 81 (74\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 53.9 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 90.7\% |  |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 22: Columbus Drive \& Blackmarsh Road


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 虫 |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 | 7 |
| Volume (vph) | 155 | 67 | 67 | 33 | 48 | 38 | 21 | 820 | 47 | 52 | 1337 | 131 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.8 |
| Grade (\%) |  | -5\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 140.0 |  | 30.5 | 80.0 |  | 0.0 | 100.0 |  | 0.0 | 100.0 |  | 225.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 0.97 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.933 |  |  | 0.992 |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | 0.980 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1647 | 1738 | 1515 | 1848 | 1899 | 0 | 1691 | 3467 | 0 | 1691 | 3500 | 1619 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 | 0.980 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.112 |  |  | 0.260 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1641 | 1736 | 1491 | 1843 | 1899 | 0 | 199 | 3467 | 0 | 462 | 3500 | 1576 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 100 |  | 30 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 144 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 70 |  |  | 70 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 474.2 |  |  | 187.8 |  |  | 348.7 |  |  | 678.8 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 34.1 |  |  | 13.5 |  |  | 17.9 |  |  | 34.9 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 172 | 74 | 74 | 41 | 60 | 48 | 23 | 891 | 51 | 57 | 1469 | 144 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) | 30\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 120 | 126 | 74 | 41 | 108 | 0 | 23 | 942 | 0 | 57 | 1469 | 144 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 7 |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |  | 65.0 | 65.0 |  | 65.0 | 65.0 | 65.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 14.1 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 11.1 | 11.1 |  | 73.8 | 73.8 |  | 73.8 | 73.8 | 73.8 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.09 |  | 0.62 | 0.62 |  | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.28 | 0.24 | 0.53 |  | 0.19 | 0.44 |  | 0.20 | 0.68 | 0.14 |
| Control Delay | 63.9 | 63.0 | 6.6 | 52.9 | 46.6 |  | 17.5 | 13.8 |  | 14.5 | 18.5 | 2.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 63.9 | 63.0 | 6.6 | 52.9 | 46.6 |  | 17.5 | 13.8 |  | 14.5 | 18.5 | 2.4 |
| LOS | E | E | A | D | D |  | B | B |  | B | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 50.3 |  |  | 48.3 |  |  | 13.9 |  |  | 17.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 28.7 | 30.2 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 17.8 |  | 2.2 | 56.2 |  | 5.4 | 110.8 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 47.0 | 48.6 | 7.6 | 17.2 | 29.8 |  | 8.8 | 87.5 |  | 15.6 | 168.1 | 9.1 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 450.2 |  |  | 163.8 |  |  | 324.7 |  |  | 654.8 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 140.0 |  | 30.5 | 80.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  | 225.0 |


|  | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 251 | 264 | 311 | 354 | 388 |  | 122 | 2134 |  | 284 | 2151 | 1024 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.24 | 0.12 | 0.28 |  | 0.19 | 0.44 |  | 0.20 | 0.68 | 0.14 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 21.0 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.5\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 21: Columbus Drive \& Captain Whelan Drive/Hogan St


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {F }}$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 75 | 123 | 14 | 142 | 236 | 47 | 4 | 1030 | 81 | 58 | 1438 | 115 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 70.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 75.0 |  | 0.0 | 100.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Ped Bike Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.985 |  |  | 0.975 |  |  | 0.989 |  |  | 0.989 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1652 | 1791 | 0 | 1652 | 1771 | 0 | 1652 | 3532 | 0 | 1652 | 3532 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.238 |  |  | 0.658 |  |  | 0.085 |  |  | 0.114 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 413 | 1791 | 0 | 1141 | 1771 | 0 | 148 | 3532 | 0 | 198 | 3532 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 5 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 9 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 70 |  |  | 70 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 417.2 |  |  | 267.3 |  |  | 457.2 |  |  | 657.1 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 30.0 |  |  | 19.2 |  |  | 23.5 |  |  | 33.8 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 81 | 132 | 15 | 197 | 328 | 65 | 4 | 1157 | 91 | 63 | 1563 | 125 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 81 | 147 | 0 | 197 | 393 | 0 | 4 | 1248 | 0 | 63 | 1688 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 42.0 | 42.0 |  | 42.0 | 42.0 |  | 55.0 | 55.0 |  | 13.0 | 68.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 6.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 28.6 | 28.6 |  | 28.6 | 28.6 |  | 56.8 | 56.8 |  | 68.4 | 67.4 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.26 | 0.26 |  | 0.26 | 0.26 |  | 0.52 | 0.52 |  | 0.62 | 0.61 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.76 | 0.31 |  | 0.67 | 0.84 |  | 0.05 | 0.68 |  | 0.29 | 0.78 |  |
| Control Delay | 77.0 | 32.2 |  | 47.0 | 53.7 |  | 9.8 | 10.0 |  | 16.5 | 32.0 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 77.0 | 32.2 |  | 47.0 | 53.7 |  | 9.8 | 10.0 |  | 16.5 | 32.0 |  |
| LOS | E | C |  | D | D |  | A | B |  | B | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 48.1 |  |  | 51.5 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  | 31.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 15.9 | 24.4 |  | 37.6 | 77.6 |  | 0.2 | 31.1 |  | 9.3 | 202.4 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | \#37.3 | 38.5 |  | 43.6 | 77.7 |  | m0.4 | 40.3 |  | m13.2 | 226.7 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 393.2 |  |  | 243.3 |  |  | 433.2 |  |  | 633.1 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 70.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  |  | 75.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  |  |


| 4 |  |  |  |  |  | , | $\dagger$ | $>$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Base Capacity (vph) 131 | 573 |  | 363 | 570 |  | 76 | 1828 |  | 218 | 2170 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio 0.62 | 0.26 |  | 0.54 | 0.69 |  | 0.05 | 0.68 |  | 0.29 | 0.78 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 100 (91\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 28.5 |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 88.5\% |  |  | ICU Level of Service E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 23: Columbus Drive \& Mundy Pond Road


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | 7＊ | $\uparrow$ | F | \％ | 种 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 种 | 7 |
| Volume（vph） | 116 | 145 | 162 | 448 | 190 | 126 | 29 | 982 | 172 | 208 | 1458 | 165 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（ m ） | 3.4 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Storage Length（m） | 45.0 |  | 80.0 | 65.0 |  | 70.0 | 100.0 |  | 140.0 | 100.0 |  | 75.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（m） | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Utill．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 |  |  | 0.97 |  |  | 0.97 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1730 | 1987 | 1619 | 3395 | 1842 | 1689 | 1652 | 5029 | 1601 | 1652 | 5029 | 1566 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.108 |  |  | 0.141 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1725 | 1987 | 1593 | 3383 | 1842 | 1661 | 188 | 5029 | 1559 | 245 | 5029 | 1525 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 179 |  |  | 179 |  |  | 191 |  |  | 173 |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 70 |  |  | 70 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 162.7 |  |  | 211.3 |  |  | 299.5 |  |  | 746.3 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 11.7 |  |  | 15.2 |  |  | 15.4 |  |  | 38.4 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Growth Factor | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃hr） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid－Block Traffic（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 140 | 175 | 195 | 492 | 209 | 138 | 32 | 1091 | 191 | 221 | 1551 | 176 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 140 | 175 | 195 | 492 | 209 | 138 | 32 | 1091 | 191 | 221 | 1551 | 176 |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 7 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Total Split（s） | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 13.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 19.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 13.9 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 46.2 | 37.2 | 37.2 | 57.7 | 47.9 | 47.9 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.42 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.52 | 0.44 | 0.44 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.65 | 0.70 | 0.55 | 0.86 | 0.68 | 0.32 | 0.19 | 0.64 | 0.29 | 0.77 | 0.71 | 0.23 |
| Control Delay | 59.3 | 61.0 | 14.4 | 60.7 | 54.7 | 4.5 | 19.2 | 42.6 | 18.8 | 49.0 | 52.0 | 23.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 59.3 | 61.0 | 14.4 | 60.7 | 54.7 | 4.5 | 19.2 | 42.6 | 18.8 | 49.0 | 52.0 | 23.1 |
| LOS | E | E | B | E | D | A | B | D | B | D | D | C |
| Approach Delay |  | 42.7 |  |  | 50.0 |  |  | 38.6 |  |  | 49.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 28.7 | 36.1 | 3.1 | 53.2 | 42.3 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 91.3 | 18.6 | 45.9 | 128.9 | 18.3 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 43.9 | 52.7 | 18.2 | \＃77．9 | 67.1 | 8.3 | m7．0 | 103.4 | m38．3 | m58．0 | 145.4 | m25．7 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 138.7 |  |  | 187.3 |  |  | 275.5 |  |  | 722.3 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 45.0 |  | 80.0 | 65.0 |  | 70.0 | 100.0 |  | 140.0 | 100.0 |  | 75.0 |


| $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  | , | 4 | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Base Capacity (vph) 251 | 289 | 384 | 586 | 318 | 434 | 171 | 1699 | 653 | 297 | 2187 | 761 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio 0.56 | 0.61 | 0.51 | 0.84 | 0.66 | 0.32 | 0.19 | 0.64 | 0.29 | 0.74 | 0.71 | 0.23 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 45.5 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 77.7\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 24: Columbus Drive \& Old Pennywell Road


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | T | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 「 | \％ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 |
| Volume（vph） | 53 | 748 | 585 | 369 | 595 | 42 | 209 | 318 | 234 | 67 | 488 | 30 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（m） | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| Grade（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Storage Length（m） | 60.0 |  | 40.0 | 100.0 |  | 30.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 40.0 |  | 30.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（m） | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1789 | 3579 | 1601 | 1789 | 3579 | 1601 | 1789 | 1883 | 1601 | 1789 | 1883 | 1601 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.406 |  |  | 0.108 |  |  | 0.119 |  |  | 0.404 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 765 | 3579 | 1601 | 203 | 3579 | 1601 | 224 | 1883 | 1601 | 761 | 1883 | 1601 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 220 |  |  | 147 |  |  | 254 |  |  | 206 |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 1093.2 |  |  | 920.0 |  |  | 219.2 |  |  | 1135.0 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 78.7 |  |  | 66.2 |  |  | 15.8 |  |  | 81.7 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ | 100\％ |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid－Block Traffic（\％） |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 58 | 813 | 636 | 401 | 647 | 46 | 227 | 346 | 254 | 73 | 530 | 33 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 58 | 813 | 636 | 401 | 647 | 46 | 227 | 346 | 254 | 73 | 530 | 33 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 |
| Total Split（s） | 16.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 25.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 17.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 13.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 37.7 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 55.0 | 43.9 | 43.9 | 42.4 | 33.6 | 33.6 | 34.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.34 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.18 | 0.84 | 1.07 | 1.08 | 0.46 | 0.06 | 0.95 | 0.61 | 0.38 | 0.25 | 1.16 | 0.06 |
| Control Delay | 17.4 | 47.5 | 84.4 | 100.5 | 27.0 | 0.2 | 75.0 | 39.6 | 5.7 | 23.9 | 131.4 | 0.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 17.4 | 47.5 | 84.4 | 100.5 | 27.0 | 0.2 | 75.0 | 39.6 | 5.7 | 23.9 | 131.4 | 0.2 |
| LOS | B | D | F | F | C | A | E | D | A | C | F | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 61.9 |  |  | 52.8 |  |  | 38.9 |  |  | 112.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 6.3 | 87.7 | $\sim 116.1$ | ～82．0 | 55.5 | 0.0 | 34.8 | 66.3 | 0.0 | 9.8 | ～136．1 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 13.1 | \＃112．0 | \＃184．7 | \＃141．4 | 74.4 | 0.0 | \＃81．5 | 97.3 | 18.3 | 19.3 | \＃199．8 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 1069.2 |  |  | 896.0 |  |  | 195.2 |  |  | 1111.0 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 60.0 |  | 40.0 | 100.0 |  | 30.0 |  |  |  | 40.0 |  | 30.0 |


| $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | 7 | * | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Base Capacity (vph) 367 | 967 | 593 | 372 | 1414 | 721 | 240 | 569 | 661 | 297 | 458 | 545 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio 0.16 | 0.84 | 1.07 | 1.08 | 0.46 | 0.06 | 0.95 | 0.61 | 0.38 | 0.25 | 1.16 | 0.06 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 111 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 111 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 62.7 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 101.9\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two | ycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 51: Commonwealth Ave/Mt. Carson Ave \& Topsail Road



| 4 |  |  |  | , | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 2642 | 2642 | 1334 | 1122 | 624 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.37 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.32 | 0.41 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBT and 6:WBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.67 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 12.5 |  |  | Intersection LOS: B |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 48.6\% |  |  | ICU Level of Service |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 102: Freshwater Road \& Loop Ramp


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | $\pm$ | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 $\%$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{1 /}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 16 | 253 | 49 | 328 | 809 | 26 | 149 | 45 | 197 | 10 | 20 | 3 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 55.0 |  | 0.0 | 65.0 |  | 0.0 | 85.0 |  | 0.0 | 50.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.976 |  |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.878 |  |  | 0.979 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1652 | 3378 | 0 | 1652 | 3443 | 0 | 1652 | 1708 | 0 | 1652 | 1905 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.314 |  |  | 0.473 |  |  | 0.739 |  |  | 0.382 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 546 | 3378 | 0 | 822 | 3443 | 0 | 1285 | 1708 | 0 | 664 | 1905 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 24 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 199 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 85.0 |  |  | 389.2 |  |  | 240.7 |  |  | 135.3 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.1 |  |  | 28.0 |  |  | 17.3 |  |  | 9.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 19 | 305 | 59 | 357 | 879 | 28 | 151 | 45 | 199 | 12 | 24 | 4 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 19 | 364 | 0 | 357 | 907 | 0 | 151 | 244 | 0 | 12 | 28 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 50.0 | 50.0 |  | 20.0 | 70.0 |  | 40.0 | 40.0 |  | 40.0 | 40.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 46.1 | 46.1 |  | 64.2 | 64.2 |  | 16.0 | 16.0 |  | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.50 | 0.50 |  | 0.70 | 0.70 |  | 0.17 | 0.17 |  | 0.17 | 0.17 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.07 | 0.21 |  | 0.53 | 0.38 |  | 0.68 | 0.53 |  | 0.10 | 0.08 |  |
| Control Delay | 15.8 | 13.6 |  | 9.3 | 6.9 |  | 51.0 | 12.5 |  | 33.0 | 28.0 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 15.8 | 13.6 |  | 9.3 | 6.9 |  | 51.0 | 12.5 |  | 33.0 | 28.0 |  |
| LOS | B | B |  | A | A |  | D | B |  | C | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 13.7 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 27.2 |  |  | 29.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 1.7 | 17.2 |  | 21.1 | 29.8 |  | 25.3 | 6.8 |  | 1.8 | 3.6 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 5.9 | 27.6 |  | 43.8 | 52.0 |  | 44.8 | 26.5 |  | 6.1 | 9.8 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 61.0 |  |  | 365.2 |  |  | 216.7 |  |  | 111.3 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 55.0 |  |  | 65.0 |  |  | 85.0 |  |  | 50.0 |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | , | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 272 | 1699 |  | 698 | 2397 |  | 475 | 756 |  | 245 | 706 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.07 | 0.21 |  | 0.51 | 0.38 |  | 0.32 | 0.32 |  | 0.05 | 0.04 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: | ther |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 92.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 12.8 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 58.9\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 79: Goldstone St/Seaborn St \& Thorburn Road


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | ( | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 中\% |  | ${ }_{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  |
| Volume (vph) | 61 | 968 | 1 | 12 | 1610 | 15 | 34 | 2 | 26 | 9 | 1 | 44 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.7 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 55.0 |  | 0.0 | 45.0 |  | 0.0 | 25.0 |  | 0.0 | 65.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.860 |  |  | 0.853 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1750 | 3579 | 0 | 1750 | 3575 | 0 | 1652 | 1584 | 0 | 1652 | 1571 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.073 |  |  | 0.266 |  |  | 0.725 |  |  | 0.738 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 134 | 3579 | 0 | 490 | 3575 | 0 | 1260 | 1584 | 0 | 1283 | 1571 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 28 |  |  | 48 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 48 |  |  | 48 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 482.2 |  |  | 1319.1 |  |  | 190.4 |  |  | 243.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 34.7 |  |  | 95.0 |  |  | 14.3 |  |  | 18.3 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 66 | 1052 | 1 | 13 | 1750 | 16 | 37 | 2 | 28 | 10 | 1 | 48 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 66 | 1053 | 0 | 13 | 1766 | 0 | 37 | 30 | 0 | 10 | 49 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 13.0 | 61.0 |  | 13.0 | 61.0 |  | 26.0 | 26.0 |  | 26.0 | 26.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 68.6 | 68.7 |  | 64.1 | 61.1 |  | 8.1 | 8.1 |  | 8.1 | 8.1 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.79 | 0.79 |  | 0.74 | 0.70 |  | 0.09 | 0.09 |  | 0.09 | 0.09 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.29 | 0.37 |  | 0.03 | 0.70 |  | 0.32 | 0.17 |  | 0.08 | 0.26 |  |
| Control Delay | 7.5 | 5.0 |  | 3.2 | 13.1 |  | 44.8 | 17.2 |  | 37.6 | 15.2 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 7.5 | 5.0 |  | 3.2 | 13.1 |  | 44.8 | 17.2 |  | 37.6 | 15.2 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | A | B |  | D | B |  | D | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 5.2 |  |  | 13.0 |  |  | 32.4 |  |  | 19.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 2.0 | 23.1 |  | 0.4 | 103.2 |  | 5.9 | 0.3 |  | 1.6 | 0.2 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 7.0 | 62.5 |  | 1.7 | 149.4 |  | 15.1 | 8.1 |  | 6.3 | 9.9 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 458.2 |  |  | 1295.1 |  |  | 166.4 |  |  | 219.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 55.0 |  |  | 45.0 |  |  | 25.0 |  |  | 65.0 |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | $\leftarrow$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | - | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 236 | 2822 |  | 468 | 2507 |  | 290 | 386 |  | 295 | 399 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.28 | 0.37 |  | 0.03 | 0.70 |  | 0.13 | 0.08 |  | 0.03 | 0.12 |  |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other
Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 87.1
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.70
Intersection Signal Delay: 10.7 Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.2\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 114: Terra Nova Motors/Great Eastern Ave \& Kenmount Road



|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 |  | \% | , | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% 1 | 4\% |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Volume (vph) | 359 | 1234 | 1 | 2 | 1420 | 394 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 276 | 7 | 668 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 75.0 |  | 0.0 | 50.0 |  | 130.0 | 0.0 |  | 25.0 | 0.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 | 0.955 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3395 | 3500 | 0 | 1652 | 3579 | 1548 | 1750 | 1946 | 0 | 1644 | 1652 | 1566 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.127 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 | 0.955 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3395 | 3500 | 0 | 221 | 3579 | 1548 | 1750 | 1946 | 0 | 1644 | 1652 | 1566 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  |  | 404 |  |  |  |  |  | 398 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 493.9 |  |  | 512.5 |  |  | 37.4 |  |  | 398.8 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 35.6 |  |  | 36.9 |  |  | 2.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 374 | 1285 | 1 | 2 | 1632 | 453 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 307 | 8 | 742 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 49\% |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 374 | 1286 | 0 | 2 | 1632 | 453 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 157 | 158 | 742 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA |  | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Split |  |  | Split | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 7 | 7 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 6 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| Total Split (s) | 23.0 | 51.0 |  | 13.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |  | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 15.7 | 54.6 |  | 42.2 | 35.2 | 35.2 | 10.0 |  |  | 34.2 | 34.2 | 34.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.15 | 0.52 |  | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.09 |  |  | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.75 | 0.71 |  | 0.01 | 1.37 | 0.58 | 0.02 |  |  | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.96 |
| Control Delay | 53.8 | 24.4 |  | 15.0 | 204.1 | 8.1 | 48.0 |  |  | 30.3 | 30.3 | 41.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 53.8 | 24.4 |  | 15.0 | 204.1 | 8.1 | 48.0 |  |  | 30.3 | 30.3 | 41.2 |
| LOS | D | C |  | B | F | A | D |  |  | C | C | D |
| Approach Delay |  | 31.0 |  |  | 161.4 |  |  |  |  |  | 38.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 37.0 | 90.5 |  | 0.2 | $\sim 228.2$ | 6.7 | 0.7 |  |  | 24.6 | 24.7 | 78.5 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | \#63.7 | \#204.2 |  | 1.6 | \#313.3 | 33.1 | 1.3 |  |  | 50.7 | 50.9 | \#185.6 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 469.9 |  |  | 488.5 |  |  | 13.4 |  |  | 374.8 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 75.0 |  |  | 50.0 |  | 130.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 60.0 |


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | * | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 547 | 1803 |  | 182 | 1188 | 783 | 165 |  |  | 530 | 533 | 774 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.68 | 0.71 |  | 0.01 | 1.37 | 0.58 | 0.02 |  |  | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.96 |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other
Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 105.9
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.37
Intersection Signal Delay: 89.2 Intersection LOS: F
Intersection Capacity Utilization 103.9\% ICU Level of Service G

Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 117: Crotty's/Kelsey Drive \& Kenmount Road





Splits and Phases: 123: Kenmount Road \& Ladysmith Drive


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 性 |  | ${ }^{1}$ | F |
| Volume (vph) | 200 | 699 | 1162 | 194 | 253 | 226 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 65.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.5 |  |  |  | 7.5 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.979 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1652 | 3461 | 3388 | 0 | 1711 | 1531 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.071 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 123 | 3461 | 3388 | 0 | 1711 | 1531 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 22 |  |  | 233 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 | 50 |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 166.0 | 286.5 |  | 159.8 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 12.0 | 20.6 |  | 11.5 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 230 | 803 | 1236 | 206 | 294 | 263 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 230 | 803 | 1442 | 0 | 294 | 263 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | NA |  | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 6 |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Total Split (s) | 18.0 | 74.0 | 56.0 |  | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 68.2 | 68.2 | 50.2 |  | 22.2 | 22.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.49 |  | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.88 | 0.35 | 0.86 |  | 0.79 | 0.51 |
| Control Delay | 59.3 | 8.6 | 30.4 |  | 53.9 | 10.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 59.3 | 8.6 | 30.4 |  | 53.9 | 10.1 |
| LOS | E | A | C |  | D | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 19.9 | 30.4 |  | 33.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B | C |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 30.8 | 33.1 | 127.6 |  | 55.5 | 4.8 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | \#77.3 | 50.9 | \#197.4 |  | 79.2 | 21.7 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 142.0 | 262.5 |  | 135.8 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 65.0 |  |  |  | 50.0 |  |



Splits and Phases: 90: Thorburn Road \& Mount Scio Rd


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | ( | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 63 | 623 | 150 | 185 | 841 | 24 | 274 | 91 | 422 | 23 | 55 | 57 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 110.0 |  | 0.0 | 105.0 |  | 0.0 | 30.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 0.98 |  |  | 0.99 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.971 |  |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.877 |  |  | 0.924 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1652 | 3377 | 0 | 1652 | 3483 | 0 | 1652 | 1545 | 0 | 1652 | 1648 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.171 |  |  | 0.122 |  |  | 0.484 |  |  | 0.168 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 297 | 3377 | 0 | 212 | 3483 | 0 | 836 | 1545 | 0 | 292 | 1648 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 26 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 226 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 341.5 |  |  | 167.2 |  |  | 171.2 |  |  | 115.5 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 24.6 |  |  | 12.0 |  |  | 12.3 |  |  | 8.3 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 10 | 10 |  | 5 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 69 | 685 | 165 | 197 | 895 | 26 | 322 | 107 | 496 | 34 | 81 | 84 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 69 | 850 | 0 | 197 | 921 | 0 | 322 | 603 | 0 | 34 | 165 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 13.0 | 34.0 |  | 16.0 | 37.0 |  | 18.0 | 42.0 |  | 18.0 | 42.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 35.5 | 28.4 |  | 42.3 | 34.6 |  | 38.6 | 32.0 |  | 27.8 | 21.1 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.37 | 0.30 |  | 0.44 | 0.36 |  | 0.40 | 0.33 |  | 0.29 | 0.22 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.33 | 0.84 |  | 0.80 | 0.73 |  | 0.73 | 0.91 |  | 0.19 | 0.41 |  |
| Control Delay | 22.8 | 41.3 |  | 48.1 | 34.6 |  | 31.9 | 38.8 |  | 18.7 | 23.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 22.8 | 41.3 |  | 48.1 | 34.6 |  | 31.9 | 38.8 |  | 18.7 | 23.6 |  |
| LOS | C | D |  | D | C |  | C | D |  | B | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 39.9 |  |  | 36.9 |  |  | 36.4 |  |  | 22.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 8.3 | 86.1 |  | 26.0 | 93.7 |  | 42.3 | 77.1 |  | 3.7 | 17.8 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 17.3 | \#125.7 |  | \#68.5 | \#132.2 |  | 59.5 | \#128.9 |  | 6.7 | 23.1 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 317.5 |  |  | 143.2 |  |  | 147.2 |  |  | 91.5 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 60.0 |  |  | 110.0 |  |  | 105.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  |


| $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  | , | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Base Capacity (vph) 209 | 1016 |  | 245 | 1254 |  | 439 | 726 |  | 273 | 657 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio 0.33 | 0.84 |  | 0.80 | 0.73 |  | 0.73 | 0.83 |  | 0.12 | 0.25 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 96.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 36.8 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 79.0\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 94: O'Leary Avenue/Larkhall Street \& Thorburn Road


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 93 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 857 | 27 | 191 | 0 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 101 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 932 | 29 | 208 | 0 | 148 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal ( m ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC, conflicting volume | 932 |  |  | 179 |  |  | 1328 | 1313 | 179 | 1328 | 1328 | 946 |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 932 |  |  | 179 |  |  | 1328 | 1313 | 179 | 1328 | 1328 | 946 |
| tC , single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.2 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 86 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 0 | 100 | 83 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 735 |  |  | 1396 |  |  | 115 | 137 | 863 | 98 | 134 | 317 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | NB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 101 | 179 | 961 | 355 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 101 | 0 | 0 | 208 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 29 | 148 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 735 | 1700 | 1700 | 182 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.57 | 1.96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 203.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 10.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 492.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | B |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 3.9 |  | 0.0 | 492.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 110.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 72.5\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | \% |  | $\ddagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ${ }^{*}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 246 | 78 | 379 | 669 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 366 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 0 | 256 | 81 | 395 | 697 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 381 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume | 697 |  |  | 256 |  |  | 1783 | 1783 | 297 | 1783 | 1743 | 697 |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC 2 , stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 697 |  |  | 256 |  |  | 1783 | 1783 | 297 | 1783 | 1743 | 697 |
| tC , single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 100 |  |  | 70 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 73 | 100 | 14 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 899 |  |  | 1303 |  |  | 7 | 57 | 743 | 47 | 60 | 441 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | WB 2 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 338 | 395 | 697 | 394 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 395 | 0 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 81 | 0 | 0 | 381 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1700 | 1303 | 1700 | 456 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.41 | 0.86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.0 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 67.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 48.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  | A |  | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 3.2 |  | 48.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 12.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 72.5\% |  | U Level | Service |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | $4$ | $\dagger$ |  | , | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 $\%$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 性 |  |  | \& |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 265 | 1084 | 2 | 3 | 1388 | 97 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 199 | 2 | 419 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 4.8 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 65.0 |  | 0.0 | 40.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 115.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  | 0.990 |  |  | 0.892 |  |  | 0.851 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.990 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1652 | 3461 | 0 | 1789 | 3426 | 0 | 0 | 1663 | 0 | 1711 | 1603 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.056 |  |  | 0.248 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 97 | 3461 | 0 | 467 | 3426 | 0 | 0 | 1680 | 0 | 1711 | 1603 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 126 |  |  | 257 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 307.6 |  |  | 467.7 |  |  | 50.9 |  |  | 160.1 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 22.1 |  |  | 33.7 |  |  | 3.7 |  |  | 11.5 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.86 | 0.92 | 0.86 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 279 | 1141 | 2 | 3 | 1614 | 113 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 231 | 2 | 487 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 279 | 1143 | 0 | 3 | 1727 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 231 | 489 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Prot | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 96.0 |  | 71.0 | 71.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 24.0 | 34.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 90.1 | 90.1 |  | 65.4 | 65.4 |  |  | 4.0 |  | 23.2 | 25.1 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.71 | 0.71 |  | 0.51 | 0.51 |  |  | 0.03 |  | 0.18 | 0.20 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.94 | 0.47 |  | 0.01 | 0.98 |  |  | 0.03 |  | 0.74 | 0.94 |  |
| Control Delay | 77.8 | 9.2 |  | 16.7 | 47.6 |  |  | 0.4 |  | 64.6 | 50.2 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 77.8 | 9.2 |  | 16.7 | 47.6 |  |  | 0.4 |  | 64.6 | 50.2 |  |
| LOS | E | A |  | B | D |  |  | A |  | E | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 22.6 |  |  | 47.6 |  |  | 0.4 |  |  | 54.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 56.9 | 64.5 |  | 0.4 | $\sim 230.1$ |  |  | 0.0 |  | 54.2 | 63.0 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | \#111.2 | 78.0 |  | 2.1 | \#262.1 |  |  | 0.0 |  | \#103.0 | \#125.4 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 283.6 |  |  | 443.7 |  |  | 26.9 |  |  | 136.1 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 65.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 115.0 |  |  |


| $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | \% |  | $\pm$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Base Capacity (vph) 301 | 2450 |  | 240 | 1766 |  |  | 174 |  | 311 | 553 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio 0.93 | 0.47 |  | 0.01 | 0.98 |  |  | 0.03 |  | 0.74 | 0.88 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other Cycle Length: 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 127.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 39.7 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 97.2\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 108: Kenmount Road \& Pippy Place


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 4 |  |  | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |  | \$ |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 297 | 448 | 0 | 0 | 434 | 555 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 330 | 498 | 0 | 0 | 482 | 617 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width ( m ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal ( $m$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC , conflicting volume | 1099 |  |  | 498 |  |  | 1948 | 2257 | 498 | 1969 | 1948 | 791 |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 1099 |  |  | 498 |  |  | 1948 | 2257 | 498 | 1969 | 1948 | 791 |
| tC , single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 48 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 96 | 94 | 96 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 635 |  |  | 1066 |  |  | 29 | 20 | 564 | 26 | 31 | 390 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | NB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 330 | 498 | 1099 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 330 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 617 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 635 | 1700 | 1700 | 171 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.52 | 0.29 | 0.65 | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 22.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 16.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | C |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 6.6 |  | 0.0 | 29.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 86.6\% |  | CU Level of | f Service |  |  | E |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





Splits and Phases: 120: Wyatt Blvd \& Kenmount Rd


Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ |
| End Time | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| \# of Recorded mScheduledlntervals | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Vehs Entered | 24632 | 24542 | 24567 | 24717 | 24869 | 24618 | 24630 |
| Vehs Exited | 24240 | 24013 | 24184 | 24282 | 24314 | 24069 | 24145 |
| Starting Vehs | 1719 | 1712 | 1723 | 1686 | 1755 | 1583 | 1644 |
| Ending Vehs | 2111 | 2241 | 2106 | 2121 | 2310 | 2132 | 2129 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 56955 | 56592 | 56602 | 57056 | 57035 | 56385 | 56807 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 3566.0 | 3586.1 | 3506.4 | 3476.1 | 3586.9 | 3512.5 | 3404.7 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 2393.3 | 2422.1 | 2341.2 | 2302.3 | 2411.3 | 2350.7 | 2235.7 |
| Total Stops | 46322 | 48739 | 48124 | 47942 | 49311 | 46796 | 46741 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 6352.3 | 6343.7 | 6282.9 | 6290.9 | 6368.9 | 6289.9 | 6215.2 |

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ |
| End Time | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| \# of Recorded mScheduledIntervals | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Vehs Entered | 24967 | 24631 | 24865 | 24702 |
| Vehs Exited | 24270 | 24199 | 24408 | 24207 |
| Starting Vehs | 1558 | 1686 | 1738 | 1668 |
| Ending Vehs | 2255 | 2118 | 2195 | 2163 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 57450 | 56856 | 56948 | 56869 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 3582.9 | 3509.8 | 3623.3 | 3535.5 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 2399.9 | 2337.7 | 2451.3 | 2364.5 |
| Total Stops | 49322 | 48917 | 50903 | 48307 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 6384.1 | 6293.3 | 6406.6 | 6322.8 |

Interval \#O Information Seeding

| Start Time | $6: 50$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 10 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

Interval \#1 Information Recording \#1

| Start Time 7:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End Time 7:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by PHF, Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Vehs Entered | 6596 | 6453 | 6527 | 6617 | 6645 | 6522 | 6654 |
| Vehs Exited | 6157 | 6021 | 6105 | 6173 | 6207 | 6071 | 6065 |
| Starting Vehs | 1719 | 1712 | 1723 | 1686 | 1755 | 1583 | 1644 |
| Ending Vehs | 2158 | 2144 | 2145 | 2130 | 2193 | 2034 | 2233 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 14573 | 14392 | 14569 | 14467 | 14557 | 14226 | 14260 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 645.0 | 638.9 | 645.9 | 624.9 | 640.7 | 613.5 | 623.6 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 344.4 | 342.7 | 345.4 | 327.2 | 340.2 | 320.2 | 329.7 |
| Total Stops | 11791 | 11723 | 11665 | 11574 | 11966 | 10831 | 11535 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 1396.2 | 1384.7 | 1395.1 | 1377.4 | 1391.8 | 1354.7 | 1362.1 |

Interval \#1 Information Recording \#1

| Start Time | $7: 00$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 15$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by PHF, Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number |  |  |  |  |
| R |  |  |  |  |
| Vehs Entered | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| Vehs Exited | 6645 | 6559 | 6653 | 6587 |
| Starting Vehs | 6034 | 6062 | 6173 | 6103 |
| Ending Vehs | 1558 | 1686 | 1738 | 1668 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 2169 | 2183 | 2218 | 2148 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 14531 | 14466 | 14500 | 14454 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 632.8 | 625.6 | 666.5 | 635.7 |
| Total Stops | 333.2 | 327.2 | 368.2 | 337.8 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 11539 | 12112 | 13070 | 11777 |

Interval \#2 Information Recording \#2

| Start Time | 7:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End Time | 7:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors, Anti PHF. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Vehs Entered | 5884 | 5746 | 5693 | 5781 | 5782 | 5818 | 5758 |
| Vehs Exited | 6040 | 5898 | 5944 | 5931 | 5981 | 5875 | 6032 |
| Starting Vehs | 2158 | 2144 | 2145 | 2130 | 2193 | 2034 | 2233 |
| Ending Vehs | 2002 | 1992 | 1894 | 1980 | 1994 | 1977 | 1959 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 14163 | 14038 | 13822 | 14179 | 14163 | 13857 | 14226 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 789.9 | 802.5 | 774.4 | 768.9 | 795.7 | 777.5 | 766.9 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 498.2 | 513.2 | 490.2 | 477.7 | 504.3 | 492.3 | 474.6 |
| Total Stops | 11070 | 11565 | 11144 | 11414 | 11725 | 11215 | 11566 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 1498.2 | 1499.0 | 1465.8 | 1484.9 | 1499.9 | 1473.6 | 1482.6 |

## Interval \#2 Information Recording \#2

| Start Time | $7: 15$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 30$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors, Anti PHF. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 5936 | 5760 | 5704 | 5779 |
| Vehs Exited | 6068 | 5875 | 5953 | 5958 |
| Starting Vehs | 2169 | 2183 | 2218 | 2148 |
| Ending Vehs | 2037 | 2068 | 1969 | 1984 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 14202 | 13971 | 13894 | 14052 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 795.0 | 769.6 | 812.4 | 785.3 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 503.0 | 482.3 | 526.2 | 496.2 |
| Total Stops | 11521 | 11578 | 11701 | 11441 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 1500.9 | 1468.9 | 1497.0 | 1487.1 |

Interval \#3 Information Recording \#3

| Start Time | 7:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End Time | 7:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by PHF, Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Vehs Entered | 6537 | 6595 | 6582 | 6557 | 6501 | 6506 | 6490 |
| Vehs Exited | 6165 | 6134 | 6120 | 6172 | 6107 | 6192 | 6175 |
| Starting Vehs | 2002 | 1992 | 1894 | 1980 | 1994 | 1977 | 1959 |
| Ending Vehs | 2374 | 2453 | 2356 | 2365 | 2388 | 2291 | 2274 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 14262 | 14449 | 14308 | 14398 | 14407 | 14574 | 14419 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 982.6 | 1002.7 | 969.9 | 957.8 | 1000.0 | 988.5 | 932.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 689.3 | 705.1 | 675.3 | 661.3 | 702.9 | 688.3 | 635.8 |
| Total Stops | 12136 | 12920 | 13240 | 12901 | 13010 | 12590 | 12317 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 1669.1 | 1693.7 | 1662.5 | 1655.9 | 1692.4 | 1689.5 | 1638.2 |

Interval \#3 Information Recording \#3

| Start Time 7:30 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End Time 7:45 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by PHF, Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 6625 | 6468 | 6641 | 6547 |
| Vehs Exited | 6116 | 6170 | 6202 | 6152 |
| Starting Vehs | 2037 | 2068 | 1969 | 1984 |
| Ending Vehs | 2546 | 2366 | 2408 | 2380 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 14788 | 14397 | 14463 | 14447 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1004.4 | 982.6 | 1009.0 | 983.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 699.4 | 685.7 | 711.1 | 685.4 |
| Total Stops | 13222 | 13170 | 13503 | 12893 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 1712.7 | 1675.9 | 1705.7 | 1679.6 |

Interval \#4 Information Recording \#4

| Start Time | 7:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End Time | 8:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors, Anti PHF. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Vehs Entered | 5615 | 5748 | 5765 | 5762 | 5941 | 5772 | 5728 |
| Vehs Exited | 5878 | 5960 | 6015 | 6006 | 6019 | 5931 | 5873 |
| Starting Vehs | 2374 | 2453 | 2356 | 2365 | 2388 | 2291 | 2274 |
| Ending Vehs | 2111 | 2241 | 2106 | 2121 | 2310 | 2132 | 2129 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 13957 | 13713 | 13904 | 14011 | 13907 | 13729 | 13902 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1148.5 | 1142.0 | 1116.1 | 1124.5 | 1150.5 | 1133.0 | 1082.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 861.3 | 861.1 | 830.4 | 836.2 | 863.8 | 849.9 | 795.6 |
| Total Stops | 11325 | 12531 | 12075 | 12053 | 12610 | 12160 | 11323 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 1788.8 | 1766.3 | 1759.5 | 1772.6 | 1784.8 | 1772.1 | 1732.4 |

Interval \#4 Information Recording \#4

| Start Time | $7: 45$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $8: 00$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors, Anti PHF. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 5761 | 5844 | 5867 | 5769 |
| Vehs Exited | 6052 | 6092 | 6080 | 5991 |
| Starting Vehs | 2546 | 2366 | 2408 | 2380 |
| Ending Vehs | 2255 | 2118 | 2195 | 2163 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 13929 | 14021 | 14090 | 13916 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1150.8 | 1132.1 | 1135.5 | 1131.5 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 864.3 | 842.6 | 845.8 | 845.1 |
| Total Stops | 13040 | 12057 | 12629 | 12172 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 1792.2 | 1778.8 | 1788.2 | 1773.6 |

4: Columbus Drive /Prince Philip Drive \& Thorburn Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | All

## 6: ORR NB \& Thorburn Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 9.8 | 0.6 | 5.9 | 5.1 | 78.7 | 66.6 | 20.1 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 25.8 | 48.3 | 447.5 | 13.2 | 58.9 | 41.9 | 635.6 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.8 | 1.0 | 11.0 | 0.3 | 5.7 | 3.6 | 22.5 |

## 9: ORR SB \& Thorburn Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.1 | 4.9 | 1.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 1.1 | 0.8 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 83.0 | 62.7 | 16.8 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 58.7 | 19.3 | 102.8 | 192.0 | 2.0 | 63.2 | 438.0 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1.3 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 8.7 | 18.8 |

15: Messenger Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 13.7 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.2 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 6.0 | 6.3 | 0.7 | 147.6 | 113.8 | 13.5 | 287.9 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 6.6 |

19: Team Gushue Hwy NB Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.8 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 105.3 | 18.3 | 5.7 | 3.7 | 256.5 | 787.6 | 115.7 | 25.7 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 38.2 | 58.6 | 32.5 | 42.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 175.8 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 9.2 | 3.7 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 17.7 |

21: Columbus Drive \& Captain Whelan Drive/Hogan St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | SBR

## 21: Columbus Drive \& Captain Whelan Drive/Hogan St Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 985.4 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 94.8 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 860.5 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1305.0 |

## 22: Columbus Drive \& Blackmarsh Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.9 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 2.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 41.6 | 39.1 | 29.8 | 87.8 | 62.3 | 17.1 | 33.2 | 32.5 | 25.8 | 117.4 | 63.6 |
| Travel Dist (km) | 40.7 | 76.0 | 7.7 | 40.2 | 66.7 | 34.6 | 13.3 | 282.4 | 51.6 | 113.8 | 602.4 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 2.2 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 6.7 | 8.0 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 11.4 | 2.0 | 10.7 | 34.0 |

22: Columbus Drive \& Blackmarsh Road Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.4 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 55.7 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 1367.7 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 83.7 |

23: Columbus Drive \& Mundy Pond Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 3.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 84.3 | 32.9 | 27.0 | 46.6 | 40.7 | 32.8 | 40.8 | 18.8 | 20.2 | 28.0 | 21.3 | 24.8 |
| Travel Dist (km) | 31.6 | 51.2 | 5.5 | 38.9 | 68.2 | 13.2 | 1.7 | 459.6 | 34.6 | 38.4 | 1125.0 | 77.3 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 2.6 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 12.5 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 28.3 | 2.1 |

23: Columbus Drive \& Mundy Pond Road Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 25.0 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 1945.2 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 58.6 |

## 24: Columbus Drive \& Old Pennywell Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 3.5 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 47.9 | 51.2 | 3.8 | 50.7 | 44.0 | 3.2 | 27.7 | 34.9 | 3.5 | 32.9 | 41.8 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 18.1 | 21.5 | 21.7 | 90.5 | 38.6 | 21.7 | 7.1 | 275.2 | 50.6 | 153.3 | 1065.4 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 2.2 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 8.7 | 3.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 13.4 | 1.2 | 4.6 | 33.8 |

## 24: Columbus Drive \& Old Pennywell Road Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 35.9 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 1880.8 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 74.2 |

## 28: Hamlyn Road \& Captain Whelan Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.6 | 2.1 | 10.5 | 4.1 | 7.8 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 18.7 | 136.3 | 23.2 | 96.7 | 47.6 | 36.7 | 359.2 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.5 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 9.8 |

29: Team Gushue Hwy SB \& Kelsey Dr Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 407.6 | 390.4 | 135.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 22.1 | 0.8 | 5.8 | 1.4 | 215.8 | 204.3 | 65.8 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 57.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 60.7 | 27.3 | 40.0 | 186.2 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 32.7 | 46.5 | 85.3 |

40: Kelsey Drive \& Kiwanis St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.6 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 12.0 | 3.3 | 10.8 | 6.5 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 4.6 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 2.1 | 18.9 | 61.1 | 223.8 | 172.7 | 3.0 | 481.6 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.1 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 6.3 | 3.9 | 0.1 | 13.1 |

45: Allston Street/Brougham Drive \& Kenmount Rd Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1901.1 | 1840.0 | 1783.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 44.8 | 30.8 | 22.9 | 77.1 | 65.7 | 68.4 | 3770.7 | 3552.5 | 3531.4 | 42.1 | 17.1 | 7.1 |
| Travel Dist (km) | 79.6 | 328.0 | 13.9 | 148.1 | 899.0 | 416.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 64.1 | 33.8 | 28.4 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 3.2 | 10.9 | 0.4 | 5.5 | 31.2 | 14.8 | 15.8 | 80.2 | 47.5 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 0.7 |

45: Allston Street/Brougham Drive \& Kenmount Rd Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 197.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 104.6 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 2011.0 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 214.0 |

51: Commonwealth Ave/Mt. Carson Ave \& Topsail Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 15.0 | 14.7 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 160.7 | 186.5 | 238.6 | 78.3 | 20.7 | 12.6 | 42.8 | 32.3 | 2.8 | 561.5 | 562.3 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 60.4 | 815.8 | 625.5 | 347.8 | 692.6 | 38.0 | 44.3 | 68.0 | 46.4 | 64.4 | 473.8 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 3.9 | 57.6 | 54.2 | 15.8 | 19.4 | 0.9 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 1.2 | 12.4 | 90.8 |

51: Commonwealth Ave/Mt. Carson Ave \& Topsail Road Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.3 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 171.5 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 3309.5 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 270.2 |

53: Topsail Road \& Blackmarsh Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 25.4 | 9.6 | 4.9 | 5.9 | 53.0 | 1.9 | 8.2 | 9.9 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 424.5 | 497.2 | 954.5 | 55.4 | 2.1 | 51.3 | 187.3 | 2172.3 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 12.6 | 12.1 | 21.1 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 5.6 | 54.0 |

58: Captain Whelan Drive \& Captain Whelan Drive' Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | SBL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 5.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 3.9 | 32.0 | 34.2 | 70.1 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.1 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 2.1 |

## 61: Blackmarsh Road \& Empire Avenue Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 9.9 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 34.4 | 27.2 | 10.5 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 736.2 | 76.7 | 16.7 | 13.7 | 58.5 | 901.8 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 16.9 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 3.7 | 24.7 |

## 62: Captain Whelan Drive' \& Blackmarsh Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.8 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.7 | 5.5 | 2.7 | 37.6 | 4.4 | 4.5 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 47.8 | 69.9 | 96.2 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 220.8 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1.3 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 7.9 |

64: Blackmarsh Road/Captain Whelan Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 2.5 | 2.8 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 49.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 92.1 | 144.7 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 4.5 |

75: Austin Street/Bambrick St \& Thorburn Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 4.1 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 29.5 | 10.6 | 6.5 | 12.9 | 11.3 | 8.3 | 41.0 | 41.7 | 3.7 | 38.5 | 36.3 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 1.5 | 184.7 | 3.6 | 19.3 | 205.4 | 12.9 | 25.2 | 2.7 | 60.0 | 6.7 | 0.7 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.1 | 5.5 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 8.4 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.1 |

75: Austin Street/Bambrick St \& Thorburn Road Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 12.3 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 523.0 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 20.3 |

79: Goldstone St/Seaborn St \& Thorburn Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 19.2 | 11.4 | 2.1 | 13.3 | 7.7 | 6.1 | 39.7 | 13.0 | 4.1 | 33.9 | 30.9 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 1.2 | 21.3 | 2.9 | 130.5 | 367.8 | 9.7 | 31.5 | 19.2 | 38.1 | 1.3 | 2.7 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.1 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 4.1 | 9.8 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |

79: Goldstone St/Seaborn St \& Thorburn Road Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 11.3 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 626.6 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 20.1 |

90: Thorburn Road \& Mount Scio Rd Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 35.8 | 11.3 | 32.0 | 27.0 | 40.7 | 20.9 | 26.6 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 33.2 | 116.8 | 223.4 | 36.1 | 41.2 | 34.9 | 485.5 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 2.9 | 5.0 | 15.6 | 2.4 | 4.4 | 2.4 | 32.7 |

92: Moss Heather Dr \& Thorburn Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 4.2 | 4.0 | 13.4 | 3.8 | 4.2 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 243.0 | 2.8 | 17.6 | 292.5 | 555.9 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 6.3 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 7.4 | 14.3 |

94: O'Leary Avenue/Larkhall Street \& Thorburn Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | SBR (V)

94: O'Leary Avenue/Larkhall Street \& Thorburn Road Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.4 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 35.1 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 602.8 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 46.1 |

## 102: Freshwater Road \& Loop Ramp Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 5.0 | 9.2 | 4.9 | 32.9 | 0.9 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 221.4 | 118.2 | 62.5 | 35.3 | 0.7 | 25.2 | 463.4 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 6.6 | 4.7 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 19.1 |

105: Kenmount Rd \& Avalon Mall Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Senied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 22.8 | 13.3 | 11.6 | 30.8 | 16.6 | 5.0 | 25.2 | 31.6 | 10.3 | 35.5 | 35.1 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 16.7 | 321.1 | 5.9 | 1.9 | 279.4 | 88.4 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 3.2 | 37.9 | 0.6 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 0.8 | 10.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 10.7 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.3 | 0.1 |

## 105: Kenmount Rd \& Avalon Mall Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 15.7 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 770.2 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 30.3 |

## 108: Kenmount Road \& Pippy Place Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 |  | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 37.8 | 6.6 | 4.7 | 23.8 | 30.7 | 31.5 |  | 9.7 | 91.7 | 67.6 | 79.7 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 78.6 | 347.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 537.4 | 36.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 31.9 | 0.2 | 66.2 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 4.5 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.6 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 11.5 |

109: Kenmount Rd \& Peet St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 11.9 | 3.7 | 5.8 | 7.0 | 32.4 | 8.3 | 5.9 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 32.9 | 222.6 | 191.2 | 16.7 | 13.1 | 17.3 | 493.7 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1.3 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 14.6 |

## 114: Terra Nova Motors/Great Eastern Ave \& Kenmount Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 4.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 23.7 | 10.6 | 19.5 | 26.7 | 21.7 | 18.3 | 32.5 | 22.0 | 7.2 | 31.0 | 42.2 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 28.9 | 584.2 | 0.5 | 14.4 | 2034.1 | 16.3 | 6.4 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 0.2 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1.1 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 50.9 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

114: Terra Nova Motors/Great Eastern Ave \& Kenmount Road Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 16.6 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 2703.8 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 71.1 |

## 117: Crotty's/Kelsey Drive \& Kenmount Road Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 36.6 | 12.2 | 13.7 | 75.9 | 90.9 | 47.1 | 53.2 | 33.5 | 30.9 | 40.0 | 49.6 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 151.0 | 546.7 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 737.7 | 196.9 | 0.0 | 94.3 | 2.7 | 212.6 | 1943.6 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 6.8 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 53.1 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 12.0 | 101.7 |

120: Wyatt Blvd \& Kenmount Rd Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 30.4 | 10.5 | 45.9 | 9.2 | 46.1 | 16.4 | 24.5 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 214.3 | 22.7 | 372.5 | 442.0 | 32.4 | 310.8 | 1394.7 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 8.6 | 0.6 | 17.7 | 10.1 | 1.9 | 10.8 | 49.7 |

123: Kenmount Road \& Ladysmith Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 50.9 | 22.3 | 91.9 | 89.5 | 24.8 | 3.4 | 64.2 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 30.1 | 1401.6 | 858.8 | 98.0 | 22.7 | 4.6 | 2415.8 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1.0 | 35.8 | 66.4 | 7.6 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 112.4 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 187.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 129.1 |
| Travel Dist $(\mathrm{km})$ | 56868.7 |
| Travel Time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 3535.5 |

Intersection: 4: Columbus Drive /Prince Philip Drive \& Thorburn Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | B63 | WB | WB | B71 | B71 | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | TR | T | T | TR | T | T | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 79.6 | 138.1 | 214.9 | 152.5 | 0.8 | 43.0 | 47.4 | 68.2 | 77.1 | 102.3 | 208.3 | 150.7 |
| Average Queue (m) | 43.9 | 51.0 | 72.6 | 100.9 | 0.0 | 36.0 | 39.8 | 24.8 | 36.6 | 57.3 | 59.0 | 60.3 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 70.8 | 97.5 | 233.3 | 167.3 | 0.0 | 47.7 | 47.1 | 63.5 | 74.9 | 91.5 | 150.9 | 128.6 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 361.3 | 361.3 |  | 147.5 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 92.4 | 92.4 |  | 709.7 | 709.7 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 55 | 73 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 1 |  |  | 128 | 168 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 150.0 |  |  | 150.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 175.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 1 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 5 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 4: Columbus Drive /Prince Philip Drive \& Thorburn Road

| Movement | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | B44 | B44 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | L | T | T | T | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 194.4 | 87.5 | 112.6 | 107.0 | 108.7 | 87.9 | 100.3 | 154.0 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 39.9 | 21.6 | 87.3 | 80.4 | 71.2 | 33.9 | 11.8 | 12.6 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 156.2 | 67.1 | 118.0 | 105.7 | 104.1 | 96.5 | 60.3 | 83.3 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 709.7 |  | 87.9 | 87.9 | 87.9 |  | 472.4 | 472.4 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 3 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 110.0 |  |  |  | 90.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  | 0 | 11 |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 6 |  | 16 | 3 |  |  |

## Intersection: 6: ORR NB \& Thorburn Road

| Movement | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 24.0 | 3.7 | 167.6 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 9.0 | 0.2 | 78.1 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 19.5 | 2.0 | 151.8 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 486.0 | 297.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 100.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 9: ORR SB \& Thorburn Road

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | T | LT | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 10.5 | 33.2 | 1.9 | 134.6 | 19.5 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.8 | 14.2 | 0.1 | 70.4 | 17.2 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 4.8 | 25.4 | 1.4 | 151.0 | 19.4 |
| Link Distance (m) | 233.2 |  | 266.9 | 169.4 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 4 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 100.0 |  |  | 15.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 27 | 71 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 100 | 9 |

## Intersection: 15: Messenger Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | L | T | T | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 16.7 | 13.0 | 14.8 | 6.3 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 5.8 | 0.7 | 6.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 13.8 | 5.9 | 15.0 | 3.2 | 5.9 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 194.8 | 194.8 |  |  | 272.9 | 272.9 | 183.4 | 183.4 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  | 10.0 | 250.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 19: Team Gushue Hwy NB

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | B87 | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | T | LTR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 67.5 | 136.9 | 24.7 | 22.6 | 30.9 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 58.9 | 83.7 | 6.3 | 0.8 | 9.7 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 82.1 | 185.0 | 17.0 | 23.1 | 32.3 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 130.8 | 99.7 | 218.7 | 206.6 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 22 |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 170 |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 65.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 43 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 201 | 32 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 21: Columbus Drive \& Captain Whelan Drive/Hogan St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | L | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 147.3 | 314.5 | 241.5 | 87.4 | 187.8 | 17.4 | 18.3 | 20.1 | 51.1 | 10.8 | 18.8 | 8.2 |
| Average Queue (m) | 26.3 | 272.7 | 140.6 | 14.8 | 177.1 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 20.8 | 1.7 | 4.2 | 0.6 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 116.6 | 306.1 | 317.4 | 63.8 | 181.7 | 14.5 | 15.3 | 16.0 | 41.8 | 7.2 | 12.7 | 4.5 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 449.3 | 449.3 |  | 171.4 |  | 338.0 | 338.0 |  | 652.4 | 652.4 | 652.4 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 140.0 |  |  | 80.0 |  | 100.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 93 |  | 0 | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 10 |  | 0 | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 22: Columbus Drive \& Blackmarsh Road

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | B125 | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | T | R | T | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 54.0 | 77.0 | 82.4 | 182.4 | 82.5 | 166.0 | 49.4 | 102.7 | 107.7 | 77.4 | 279.8 | 281.7 |
| Average Queue (m) | 20.8 | 38.8 | 60.4 | 106.3 | 26.0 | 42.6 | 8.8 | 64.8 | 65.4 | 68.0 | 158.3 | 159.5 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 40.7 | 65.4 | 97.5 | 196.5 | 88.0 | 185.9 | 30.0 | 94.3 | 95.8 | 95.5 | 291.7 | 293.7 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 381.8 |  | 163.9 |  | 287.6 |  | 652.4 | 652.4 |  | 435.8 | 435.8 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 13 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 70.0 |  | 75.0 |  | 75.0 |  | 80.0 |  |  | 70.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 1 | 13 | 15 | 0 |  |  | 2 |  | 24 | 25 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 1 | 77 | 67 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 160 | 66 |  |

Intersection: 23: Columbus Drive \& Mundy Pond Road

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | B46 | B46 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 52.0 | 60.1 | 67.4 | 133.0 | 8.3 | 63.5 | 334.0 | 74.7 | 137.0 | 143.0 | 275.3 | 282.6 |
| Average Queue (m) | 23.1 | 24.8 | 35.1 | 58.8 | 1.0 | 28.5 | 45.1 | 12.8 | 82.9 | 89.1 | 24.3 | 38.9 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 47.6 | 55.5 | 67.0 | 111.6 | 5.3 | 53.2 | 154.6 | 39.4 | 124.0 | 128.7 | 146.3 | 191.7 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 402.4 |  | 252.9 |  | 435.8 | 435.8 |  | 636.8 | 636.8 | 274.8 | 274.8 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 70.0 |  | 60.0 |  | 75.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) | 2 |  | 1 | 10 |  | 0 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 3 |  | 4 | 18 |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 23: Columbus Drive \& Mundy Pond Road

| Movement | B46 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served |  |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 223.6 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 11.9 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 105.0 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 274.8 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 24: Columbus Drive \& Old Pennywell Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | L | L | T | R | L | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 52.2 | 81.2 | 34.3 | 71.6 | 95.3 | 75.0 | 30.8 | 23.9 | 79.5 | 81.6 | 73.1 | 28.6 |
| Average Queue (m) | 26.9 | 34.2 | 1.8 | 44.4 | 52.5 | 37.7 | 1.1 | 4.3 | 55.6 | 54.3 | 48.2 | 5.0 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 49.4 | 64.8 | 22.4 | 69.9 | 80.9 | 62.9 | 16.2 | 12.7 | 76.6 | 75.4 | 69.7 | 18.8 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 142.8 |  |  | 179.9 | 179.9 |  |  | 274.8 | 274.8 | 274.8 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 45.0 |  | 80.0 | 65.0 |  |  | 70.0 | 100.0 |  |  |  | 140.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 6 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 24: Columbus Drive \& Old Pennywell Road

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 94.3 | 117.8 | 128.6 | 111.7 | 74.6 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 35.6 | 85.0 | 92.4 | 76.9 | 10.1 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 75.8 | 109.3 | 117.7 | 103.3 | 52.3 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 709.7 | 709.7 | 709.7 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 75.0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 100.0 |  |  | 3 | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 2 |  | 5 | 0 |

## Intersection: 28: Hamlyn Road \& Captain Whelan Drive

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | T | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 12.7 | 18.9 | 93.4 | 35.3 | 19.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 2.3 | 7.1 | 3.3 | 14.1 | 10.6 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 9.0 | 16.8 | 68.3 | 26.6 | 16.5 |
| Link Distance (m) | 299.3 |  | 449.3 | 262.5 | 262.5 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 70.0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 29: Team Gushue Hwy SB \& Kelsey Dr

| Movement | EB | EB | B18 | B18 | WB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | T | T | L | T | LTR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 114.9 | 25.6 | 113.2 | 90.8 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 207.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 38.1 | 1.3 | 17.1 | 11.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 169.3 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 114.6 | 18.6 | 82.6 | 66.4 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 253.2 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 96.2 | 96.2 | 183.4 | 183.4 |  | 130.8 | 191.8 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 68 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 39 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  |  |  | 60.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 31: Bend

| Movement | EB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 120.3 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 5.0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 56.4 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 179.9 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty $(\mathrm{veh})$ |  |

## Intersection: 40: Kelsey Drive \& Kiwanis St

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 26.4 | 27.3 | 27.1 | 150.2 | 193.2 | 13.4 | 16.8 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 5.2 | 1.8 | 11.3 | 8.0 | 11.1 | 1.6 | 5.1 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 17.8 | 12.1 | 21.3 | 97.4 | 117.6 | 9.7 | 17.6 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 120.8 |  |  | 378.5 | 378.5 | 280.5 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 30.0 | 100.0 |  |  |  | 20.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |

Intersection: 45: Allston Street/Brougham Drive \& Kenmount Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | LT | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 51.4 | 65.8 | 72.3 | 52.3 | 179.8 | 185.4 | 190.2 | 47.4 | 38.6 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 23.1 | 34.7 | 39.3 | 34.5 | 100.4 | 111.0 | 187.9 | 23.0 | 13.7 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 43.0 | 56.9 | 62.4 | 62.1 | 194.6 | 201.4 | 200.1 | 40.2 | 28.5 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 716.1 | 716.1 |  | 1379.6 | 1379.6 | 188.9 | 576.8 | 576.8 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 50.0 |  |  | 50.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 35 |  | 100 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 41 |  | 76 |  |  |

Intersection: 51: Commonwealth Ave/Mt. Carson Ave \& Topsail Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | L | T | R | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 62.4 | 593.4 | 614.9 | 42.5 | 102.2 | 158.4 | 424.9 | 32.4 | 72.0 | 93.2 | 16.6 | 42.4 |
| Average Queue (m) | 25.4 | 376.0 | 404.2 | 42.5 | 75.0 | 70.0 | 64.3 | 7.4 | 37.8 | 51.2 | 1.0 | 19.3 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 67.9 | 709.9 | 729.8 | 42.5 | 117.1 | 160.9 | 260.7 | 29.2 | 64.6 | 82.1 | 11.3 | 46.6 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 1082.8 | 1082.8 |  |  | 912.8 | 912.8 |  | 208.3 | 208.3 | 208.3 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 60.0 |  |  | 40.0 | 100.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  |  | 40.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 57 | 37 | 50 | 16 | 2 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 31 | 224 | 192 | 49 | 8 | 9 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |

## Intersection: 51: Commonwealth Ave/Mt. Carson Ave \& Topsail Road

| Movement | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 1109.6 | 32.5 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 746.3 | 9.3 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 1234.1 | 32.9 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 1121.9 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 18 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 30.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 76 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 76 | 2 |

Intersection: 53: Topsail Road \& Blackmarsh Road

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | T | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 251.9 | 574.2 | 6.1 | 24.1 | 56.5 | 22.6 |
| Average Queue $(m)$ | 52.8 | 44.0 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 19.2 | 19.8 |
| 95th Queue $(m)$ | 204.9 | 352.4 | 4.5 | 14.9 | 47.5 | 24.8 |
| Link Distance $(m)$ | 912.8 | 912.8 | 1253.0 | 1253.0 | 341.5 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty $($ veh $)$ | 0 | 2 |  |  |  | 20.0 |
| Storage Bay Dist $(m)$ |  |  |  |  | 1 | 9 |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  | 7 | 1 |

## Intersection: 58: Captain Whelan Drive \& Captain Whelan Drive'

| Movement | EB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 10.6 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 1.8 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 8.0 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 162.4 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |
| Queuing Penalty $(\mathrm{veh})$ |  |

## Intersection: 61: Blackmarsh Road \& Empire Avenue

| Movement | B54 | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | TR | LR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 33.2 | 0.7 | 90.2 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 1.2 | 0.0 | 31.1 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 33.8 | 0.7 | 72.9 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 341.5 | 127.7 | 201.4 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |

Intersection: 62: Captain Whelan Drive' \& Blackmarsh Road

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | T | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.7 | 35.9 | 14.4 | 32.6 | 29.6 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.0 | 17.6 | 0.3 | 12.7 | 13.8 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.7 | 30.7 | 7.1 | 26.9 | 23.8 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 128.2 |  | 160.7 |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 40.0 |  | 64.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |

## Intersection: 64: Blackmarsh Road/Captain Whelan Drive

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 75: Austin Street/Bambrick St \& Thorburn Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 8.7 | 48.0 | 40.9 | 62.1 | 108.7 | 106.8 | 52.4 | 18.8 | 30.3 | 9.2 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.9 | 17.5 | 13.7 | 17.3 | 40.8 | 40.9 | 25.0 | 3.8 | 11.4 | 1.4 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 5.1 | 36.4 | 31.9 | 45.2 | 91.9 | 90.5 | 44.2 | 13.5 | 24.3 | 6.6 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 368.4 | 368.4 |  | 145.7 | 145.7 |  | 205.8 |  | 132.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 55.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  | 60.0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 55.0 |  |  | 0 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  | 0 | 4 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 79: Goldstone St/Seaborn St \& Thorburn Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 13.1 | 36.3 | 33.8 | 65.3 | 58.2 | 65.2 | 64.1 | 46.1 | 13.1 | 16.0 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.5 | 16.6 | 12.3 | 27.3 | 22.6 | 24.2 | 27.4 | 12.0 | 3.0 | 5.1 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 11.3 | 30.7 | 27.6 | 52.3 | 48.2 | 51.6 | 52.4 | 31.9 | 10.1 | 14.4 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 65.8 | 65.8 |  | 368.4 | 368.4 |  | 218.7 |  | 121.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ | 55.0 |  |  | 65.0 |  |  | 85.0 |  | 50.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 90: Thorburn Road \& Mount Scio Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 61.9 | 77.3 | 87.5 | 148.6 | 151.1 | 57.3 | 125.6 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 31.9 | 26.4 | 31.1 | 99.8 | 92.0 | 45.2 | 43.1 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 54.0 | 55.9 | 63.9 | 151.6 | 145.6 | 63.7 | 96.2 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 145.7 | 145.7 | 265.2 | 265.2 |  | 146.8 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 65.0 |  |  |  |  | 50.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 10 | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 2 | 0 |  |  |  | 26 | 3 |

Intersection: 92: Moss Heather Dr \& Thorburn Road

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | TR | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 38.8 | 52.0 | 23.2 | 35.6 | 38.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 11.8 | 16.8 | 7.9 | 9.0 | 10.8 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 31.3 | 39.5 | 18.4 | 25.4 | 29.2 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 265.2 | 265.2 |  | 325.0 | 325.0 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  | 50.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 |  |

Intersection: 94: O'Leary Avenue/Larkhall Street \& Thorburn Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | B63 | B63 | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | T | T | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 67.3 | 145.0 | 158.7 | 57.2 | 95.2 | 98.9 | 73.0 | 110.4 | 112.4 | 167.6 | 29.0 | 53.8 |
| Average Queue (m) | 18.2 | 71.0 | 87.9 | 28.6 | 55.4 | 58.3 | 3.9 | 5.2 | 59.2 | 94.1 | 6.3 | 20.2 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 52.9 | 122.8 | 142.0 | 48.1 | 86.0 | 89.3 | 65.5 | 77.0 | 118.4 | 171.2 | 17.8 | 40.8 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 325.0 | 325.0 |  | 147.5 | 147.5 | 361.3 | 361.3 |  | 156.6 |  | 101.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 9 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 60.0 |  |  | 110.0 |  |  |  |  | 105.0 |  | 30.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 15 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 13 |  | 4 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 10 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 39 |  | 1 |

Intersection: 102: Freshwater Road \& Loop Ramp

| Movement | EB | EB | B68 | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | R | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 63.9 | 68.1 | 1.7 | 77.7 | 89.0 | 47.4 | 47.4 | 49.7 | 38.1 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 27.5 | 30.5 | 0.1 | 36.0 | 29.0 | 22.1 | 24.0 | 27.2 | 15.1 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 52.9 | 56.4 | 1.7 | 64.2 | 63.1 | 42.1 | 41.7 | 43.7 | 30.3 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 173.1 | 173.1 | 280.0 | 137.0 | 137.0 |  |  | 92.4 | 92.4 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  |  |  |  | 40.0 | 95.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 8 | 1 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 105: Kenmount Rd \& Avalon Mall

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | B68 | B33 | NB | NB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | T | R | T | T | L | TR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 48.4 | 100.1 | 100.2 | 16.7 | 109.8 | 113.4 | 37.4 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 9.7 | 17.6 | 105.8 |
| Average Queue (m) | 12.3 | 48.0 | 50.9 | 2.1 | 50.1 | 52.4 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 5.7 | 56.5 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 31.1 | 85.4 | 87.6 | 9.8 | 89.1 | 92.6 | 30.6 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 8.0 | 14.7 | 91.4 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 268.1 | 268.1 |  | 280.0 | 280.0 | 280.0 | 45.8 | 173.1 | 121.0 | 121.0 | 110.0 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 55.0 |  |  | 16.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 4 |  | 0 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 3 |  | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 105: Kenmount Rd \& Avalon Mall

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 26.1 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 2.3 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 14.2 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 110.0 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 108: Kenmount Road \& Pippy Place

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB | B113 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | LTR | L | TR | T |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 71.2 | 103.3 | 80.2 | 10.0 | 192.4 | 193.1 | 10.2 | 118.4 | 159.9 | 132.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 42.0 | 32.2 | 33.0 | 0.6 | 108.0 | 110.2 | 1.6 | 81.3 | 108.8 | 37.4 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 69.7 | 69.9 | 62.8 | 6.1 | 181.8 | 183.5 | 7.3 | 143.5 | 185.8 | 139.1 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 293.7 | 293.7 |  | 454.9 | 454.9 | 40.3 |  | 142.8 | 176.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | 7 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 65.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |  |  | 115.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 3 | 0 |  |  | 29 |  |  | 2 | 27 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 18 | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 8 | 56 |  |

Intersection: 109: Kenmount Rd \& Peet St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | B103 | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | TR | T | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 38.9 | 50.5 | 55.1 | 71.3 | 72.9 | 194.8 | 26.3 | 20.5 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 17.9 | 15.9 | 20.1 | 23.9 | 29.0 | 7.0 | 10.7 | 9.5 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 31.5 | 38.9 | 44.4 | 56.8 | 62.0 | 78.7 | 22.5 | 17.5 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 183.4 | 183.4 | 176.9 | 176.9 | 268.1 |  | 266.4 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ | 60.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 50.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 114: Terra Nova Motors/Great Eastern Ave \& Kenmount Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | TR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 24.4 | 164.4 | 312.1 | 28.8 | 467.9 | 590.0 | 19.5 | 18.0 | 13.4 | 7.8 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 9.3 | 17.6 | 36.6 | 3.4 | 61.3 | 62.4 | 7.4 | 5.3 | 2.6 | 0.4 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 19.4 | 96.5 | 189.6 | 16.5 | 279.4 | 344.7 | 17.0 | 13.9 | 9.6 | 3.6 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 468.6 | 468.6 |  | 1304.6 | 1304.6 |  | 178.3 |  | 232.8 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 4 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 55.0 |  |  | 45.0 |  |  | 25.0 |  | 65.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 | 6 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 117: Crotty's/Kelsey Drive \& Kenmount Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | B16 | B16 | NB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | TR | L | T | T | R | T | T | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 47.0 | 67.7 | 96.6 | 96.7 | 47.9 | 384.7 | 397.6 | 137.5 | 5.6 | 11.3 | 8.7 | 153.2 |
| Average Queue (m) | 27.9 | 29.0 | 35.8 | 38.0 | 1.8 | 222.2 | 234.1 | 104.9 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 39.4 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 42.3 | 48.4 | 70.4 | 75.2 | 17.7 | 401.2 | 417.6 | 200.4 | 7.0 | 10.1 | 4.9 | 109.5 |
| Link Distance (m) |  |  | 474.7 | 474.7 |  | 490.5 | 490.5 |  | 294.4 | 294.4 | 22.0 | 378.5 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 75.0 | 75.0 |  |  | 50.0 |  |  | 130.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |  |  | 54 | 34 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 | 137 | 4 |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 117: Crotty's/Kelsey Drive \& Kenmount Road

| Movement | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 272.4 | 67.5 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 103.1 | 59.2 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 220.7 | 90.0 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 378.5 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 34 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 51 |

## Intersection: 120: Wyatt Blvd \& Kenmount Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | TR | L | T | T | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 86.0 | 82.2 | 92.5 | 244.8 | 311.8 | 44.6 | 172.0 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 46.1 | 38.0 | 81.1 | 102.7 | 55.9 | 20.6 | 59.9 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 75.9 | 72.1 | 112.2 | 239.8 | 191.6 | 37.8 | 153.1 |
| Link Distance (m) | 377.0 | 377.0 |  | 468.6 | 468.6 | 367.2 | 367.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  | 85.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 26 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 134 | 1 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 123: Kenmount Road \& Ladysmith Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | TR | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 32.1 | 103.8 | 103.7 | 462.7 | 491.3 | 29.9 | 40.6 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 8.3 | 62.5 | 61.8 | 271.6 | 286.6 | 6.8 | 15.8 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 24.7 | 97.2 | 96.1 | 479.6 | 511.0 | 19.2 | 32.4 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 1304.6 | 1304.6 | 474.7 | 474.7 |  | 179.9 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 | 5 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 1 | 54 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 35.0 |  |  |  |  | 50.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 22 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 6 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 2720

TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS



## Average 6-hour Peak Turning Movements




## Average 6-hour Peak Turning Movements




| Lane Configuration |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \leftrightarrows \\ & \dot{7} \\ & \underset{F}{\leftrightarrows} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\alpha} \\ & \approx \\ & \stackrel{\pi}{\approx} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\star}{\widetilde{5}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{x} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E E E } \\ & \text { Ey } \\ & \text { En } \\ & \text { Sin } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Topsail Road | WB |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2,000 | 2 |
| Topsail Road | EB |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 1,000 | 2 |
| Blackmarsh Road | NB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blackmarsh Road | SB | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Are the Blackmarsh Road SB right turns significantly impeded by through movements? (y/n) |  |  |  |  |  |  | n |  |  |


| Demographics |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Elem. School/Mobility Challenged | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |
| Senior's Complex | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |
| Pathway to School | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |
| Metro Area Population | $(\#)$ | 200,000 |
| Central Business District | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | y |



## Average 6-hour Peak Turning Movements



| Lane Configuration |  | 上 - x | F ® $\stackrel{\text { F }}{ }$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\rightleftarrows} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\rightleftarrows} \\ & \rightleftarrows \\ & \rightleftarrows \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\alpha} \\ & \approx \\ & \approx \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \\ & \text { an } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kelsey Drive | WB | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1,000 | 2 |
| Kelsey Drive | EB |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 150 | 1 |
| Team Gushue Highway SB | NB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Team Gushue Highway SB | SB |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |


the Team Gushue Highway SB SB right turns significantly impeded by through movements? ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) n

| Road Authority: | City of St. John's |
| ---: | :---: |
| City: | St. John's |
|  | 2014 Mar 13, Thu |
| Count Date: | 2013 Sep 19, Thu |
| Date Entry Format: | (yyyy-mm-dd) |
|  |  |


| Other input |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Speed } \\ (\mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{h}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Truck \% | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Bus Rt } \\ (\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}) \end{gathered}$ | Median (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kelsey Drive | EW | 50 | 6.0\% | n |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Team Gushue Highway SB | NS |  | 6.0\% | n |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Set Peak Hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ped1 | Ped2 | Ped3 | Ped4 |
| Traffic Input | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | NS | NS | EW | EW |
|  | LT | Th | RT | LT | Th | RT | LT | Th | RT | LT | Th | RT | W Side | E Side | N Side | S Side |
| 7:00-8:00 |  |  |  | 385 |  | 173 | 5 | 129 |  |  | 171 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 8:00-9:00 |  |  |  | 508 |  | 142 | 2 | 185 |  |  | 302 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00-13:00 |  |  |  | 321 |  | 207 | 3 | 269 |  |  | 351 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 13:00-14:00 |  |  |  | 321 |  | 207 | 3 | 269 |  |  | 351 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 16:00-17:00 |  |  |  | 203 |  | 261 | 3 | 420 |  |  | 489 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00-18:00 |  |  |  | 186 |  | 252 | 1 | 340 |  |  | 439 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Total (6-hour peak) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,924 | 0 | 1,242 | 17 | 1,612 | 0 | 0 | 2,103 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Average (6-hour peak) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 321 | 0 | 207 | 3 | 269 | 0 | 0 | 351 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Average 6-hour
Peak Turning Movements

Traffic Signal Warrant Spreadsheet - v3H © 2007 Transportation Association of Canada

| Lane Configuration |  | $\stackrel{5}{\square}$ x | F \% F |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{y}{*} \\ & \stackrel{\leftrightarrows}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \\ & \stackrel{x}{x} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kelsey Drive | WB |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 150 | 1 |
| Kelsey Drive | EB | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1,000 | 1 |
| Team Gushue Highway NB | NB |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Team Gushue Highway NB | SB |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| he Team Gushue Highway NB NB right turns significantly impeded by through movements? (y/n) |  |  |  |  |  |  | n |  |  |


| Road Authority: | City of St. John's |
| ---: | :---: |
| City: | St. John's |
| Analysis Date: | 2014 Mar 13, Thu |
| Count Date: | 2013 Sep 19, Thu |
| Date Entry Format: | (yyyy-mm-dd) |


| Demographics |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Elem. School/Mobility Challenged | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |
| Senior's Complex | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |
| Pathway to School | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |
| Metro Area Population | $(\#)$ | 200,000 |
| Central Business District | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | y |


| Other input |  | Speed <br> (Km/h) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Truck } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bus Rt } \\ (\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Median } \\ (\mathrm{m}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kelsey Drive | EW | 50 |  | n |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Team Gushue Highway NB | NS |  |  | n |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Set Peak Hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ped1 | Ped2 | Ped3 | Ped4 |
| Traffic Input |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  | NS | NS | EW | EW |
|  | LT | Th | RT | LT | Th | RT | LT | Th | RT | LT | Th | RT | W Side | E Side | N Side | S Side |
| 7:00-8:00 | 1 |  | 11 |  |  |  |  | 152 | 101 | 52 | 573 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8:00-9:00 | 6 |  | 28 |  |  |  |  | 203 | 129 | 75 | 764 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00-13:00 | 2 |  | 14 |  |  |  |  | 262 | 281 | 154 | 536 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13:00-14:00 | 2 |  | 14 |  |  |  |  | 262 | 281 | 154 | 536 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:00-17:00 | 1 |  | 15 |  |  |  |  | 434 | 529 | 255 | 448 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00-18:00 | 0 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 260 | 366 | 234 | 358 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total (6-hour peak) | 12 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,573 | 1,687 | 924 | 3,215 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Average (6-hour peak) | 2 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 262 | 281 | 154 | 536 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Average 6-hour Peak Turning Movements
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APPENDIX B-7
2025 INTERSECTION TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Figure B7-1: Scenario 1-2025 AM (PM) Peak Hour Traffic


Figure B7-2: Scenario 2-2025 AM (PM) Peak Hour Traffic

## SCENARIO 2-2025 VOLUMES




APPENDIX B-8

## ROUNDABOUTS CONCEPTS

## 1 - SK-001

2 - SK-002
3 - SK-003




APPENDIX C

## SANITARY

STORM SEWER
WATER SERVICES


Flow Determination - Catchment Area B




Flow Determination - Catchment Area D


Flow Determination Catchment Area E





Flow Determination - Catchment Area G

| Location: |  | To MH 29 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential Area (Ha) |  |  | 16.05 |  |  |  |  |
| Residential Population |  |  | 744 |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Area (Ha) |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial Area (Ha) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Institutional Area (Ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential Flow (L/p/day) |  |  | 275 |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Flow (L/ha/day) |  |  | 28000 |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial Flow (L/ha/day) |  |  | 39000 |  |  |  |  |
| Institutional Flow (L/ha/day) |  |  | 34000 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equivalent Population (Commercial) |  |  | 204 |  |  |  |  |
| Equivalent Population (Industrial) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Equivalent Population (Institutional) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-Total Population (Com/Ind/Inst) |  |  | 204 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peaking Factor (Harmon) - Residental |  |  | 3.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Peaking Factor (Harmon) - Comm / Indust / Inst |  |  | 3.3 | * 80\% of Residental Peaking Factor |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inflow \& Infiltration Allowance (L/s/ha) |  |  | 0.26 |  |  |  |  |
| Infiltration Allowance (L/ min) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 281.58 |  | 4.7 | (L/s) |  |
| Average Day Flow (L/min) |  |  | 462.6 |  | 7.7 | (L/s) |  |
| Peak Hour Flow (L/min) |  |  | 961.7 |  | 16.0 | (L/s) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| Location(2) | Manhole Number |  | Length (m) (5) | Area |  | Land Use | Equivalent Population <br> (9) | Peak Flow Factor (10) | Actual Flow |  |  | Pipe Capacity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | From <br> (3) | To (4) |  | Increment (ha) (6) | Total (ha) <br> (7) |  |  |  | Sewage (L/s) (11) | Infiltration <br> (L/s) <br> (12) | Total <br> (L/s) <br> (13) | Diameter (mm) (14) | Slope ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{m}$ ) (15) |  | Full Velocity (m/s) (17) | Partial Velocity (m/s) (18) | Full Capacity (L/s) (19) | Additional Capacity (L/s) (20) |
| Catchment Area H |  | 1 |  | 34.9 | 34.9 | Res | 1333 | 3.7 | 15.8 | 9.1 | 24.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 98 |  | 34.9 | Res | 1333 | 3.7 | 15.8 | 9.1 | 24.8 | 200 | 0.0273 | 0.013 | 1.73 | 1.68 | 54.20 | 29.36 |
|  | Lift Station | 2 |  | 8.6 | 43.5 | Res | 328 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 11.3 | 15.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 3 | 120 |  | 43.5 | Res | 1661 | 3.6 | 19.3 | 11.3 | 30.6 | 200 | 0.046 | 0.013 | 2.24 | 2.13 | 70.35 | 39.76 |
|  | 3 | 4 | 96 |  | 43.5 | Res | 1661 | 3.6 | 19.3 | 11.31 | 30.6 | 200 | 0.077 | 0.013 | 2.90 | 2.64 | 91.02 | 60.43 |
|  |  | 4 |  | 4.3 | 4.3 | Res | 164 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 5 | 120 | 0.24 | 48.0 | Res | 1825 | 3.6 | 21.0 | 12.5 | 33.5 | 200 | 0.086 | 0.013 | 3.06 | 2.79 | 96.19 | 62.69 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 95 | 0.19 | 48.2 | Res | 1825 | 3.6 | 21.0 | 12.5 | 33.5 | 200 | 0.046 | 0.013 | 2.24 | 2.15 | 70.35 | 36.80 |
|  | 6 | 7 | 70 | 0.14 | 48.4 | Res | 1825 | 3.6 | 21.0 | 12.6 | 33.6 | 200 | 0.027 | 0.013 | 1.72 | 1.79 | 53.90 | 20.31 |
|  | 7 | 8 | 106 | 0.21 | 48.6 | Res | 1825 | 3.6 | 21.0 | 12.6 | 33.6 | 250 | 0.015 | 0.013 | 1.48 | 1.45 | 72.84 | 39.20 |
|  | 8 | 9 - Existing System on Messenger Drive | 120 | 0.24 | 48.8 | Res | 1825 | 3.6 | 21.0 | 12.7 | 33.7 | 250 | 0.037 | 0.013 | 2.33 | 2.04 | 114.40 | 80.70 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catchment Area D |  | 10 |  | 6.13 | 6.13 | Res | 234 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 4.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | 11 | 88 |  | 6.13 | Res | 234 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 4.7 | 200 | 0.037 | 0.013 | 2.01 | 1.16 | 63.09 | 58.43 |
|  |  | 13 |  | 29.2 | 29.2 | Res | 1304 | 3.7 | 15.5 | 7.6 | 23.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13 | 14 | 90 | 0.18 | 35.3 | Res | 1304 | 3.7 | 15.5 | 9.2 | 24.6 | 200 | 0.075 | 0.013 | 2.86 | 2.43 | 89.83 | 65.19 |
|  | 14 | 15 | 80 | 0.16 | 35.5 | Res | 1304 | 3.7 | 15.5 | 9.2 | 24.7 | 200 | 0.015 | 0.013 | 1.28 | 1.38 | 40.17 | 15.49 |
|  | 15 | 11 | 84 | 0.17 | 35.7 | Res | 1304 | 3.7 | 15.5 | 9.3 | 24.7 | 200 | 0.04 | 0.013 | 2.09 | 1.92 | 65.60 | 40.88 |
|  | 11 | 12 - Existing System at Tigress Street | 77 | 0.16 | 35.8 | Res | 1538 | 3.7 | 18.0 | 9.3 | 27.3 | 200 | 0.016 | 0.013 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 41.49 | 14.20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catchment Area C |  | 16 |  | 10.86 | 10.86 | Res | 485 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 2.8 | 9.0 | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16 | 17 | 120 | 0.36 | 11.22 | Res | 485 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 2.9 | 9.1 | 200 | 0.005 | 0.013 | 0.74 | 0.69 | 23.19 | 14.13 |
|  | 17 | 18 | 120 | 0.36 | 11.58 | Res | 485 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 3.0 | 9.2 | 200 | 0.007 | 0.013 | 0.87 | 0.79 | 27.44 | 18.29 |
|  | 18 | 19 | 90 | 0.36 | 11.94 | Res | 485 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 3.1 | 9.2 | 200 | 0.021 | 0.013 | 1.51 | 1.17 | 47.53 | 38.28 |
|  | 19 | 20 | 90 | 0.36 | 12.3 | Res | 485 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 3.2 | 9.3 | 200 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 1.19 | 0.99 | 37.40 | 28.06 |
|  | 20 | 22 | 77 | 0.36 | 12.66 | Res | 485 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 3.3 | 9.4 | 200 | 0.03 | 0.013 | 1.81 | 1.36 | 56.81 | 47.38 |
|  |  | 21 |  |  | 10.74 | Res | 497 | 4.0 | 6.3 | 2.8 | 9.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 21 | 22 | 100 | 0.36 | 11.1 | Res | 497 | 4.0 | 6.3 | 2.9 | 9.2 | 200 | 0.044 | 0.013 | 2.19 | 1.53 | 68.80 | 59.63 |
|  | 22 | 23 - Existing System at Lady Anderson Street | 73 | 0.36 | 24.12 | Res | 982 | 3.8 | 11.9 | 6.3 | 18.2 | 200 | 0.05 | 0.013 | 2.34 | 1.94 | 73.35 | 55.18 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catchment Area G |  | 24 |  | 16.47 | 16.47 | Res | 763 | 3.9 | 9.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 24 |  | 0.34 | 0.34 | Comm | 35 | 3.5 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 25 | 85 | 16.81 | 16.81 |  | 798 |  | 9.8 | 4.4 | 14.2 | 200 | 0.07 | 0.013 | 2.76 | 2.05 | 86.78 | 72.62 |
|  | 25 | 26 | 120 | 0.52 |  | Comm | 53 | 3.4 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 25 | 26 | 100 |  | 17.33 |  | 851 |  | 10.4 | 4.5 | 14.9 | 200 | 0.019 | 0.013 | 1.44 | 1.28 | 45.21 | 30.34 |
|  | 26 | 27 | 120 | 0.52 |  | Comm | 53 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 26 | 27 | 100 |  | 17.85 |  | 904 |  | 11.0 | 4.6 | 15.6 | 200 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 1.14 | 1.09 | 35.93 | 20.34 |
|  | 27 | 28 | 120 | 0.52 |  | Comm | 53 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 27 | 28 | 86.5 |  | 18.37 |  | 957 |  | 11.5 | 4.8 | 16.3 | 200 | 0.0075 | 0.013 | 0.90 | 0.97 | 28.41 | 12.10 |
|  |  | 29 |  | 16.05 | 16.05 | Res | 744 | 3.9 | 9.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 29 |  | 0.34 | 0.34 | Comm | 35 | 3.5 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 29 |  | 2.1 | 2.1 | Inst | 260 | 3.3 | 2.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 29 | 30 | 84 | 18.49 | 18.49 |  | 1004 |  | 12.3 | 4.8 | 17.1 | 200 | 0.0075 | 0.013 | 0.90 | 0.98 | 28.41 | 11.30 |
|  | 30 | 31 | 120 | 0.56 |  | Comm | 57 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 30 | 31 | 110 |  | 19.05 |  | 1061 |  | 12.9 | 5.0 | 17.9 | 200 | 0.05 | 0.013 | 2.34 | 1.92 | 73.35 | 55.47 |
|  | 31 | 32 | 120 | 0.56 |  | Comm | 57 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 31 | 32 | 100 |  | 19.61 |  | 1118 |  | 13.5 | 5.1 | 18.6 | 200 | 0.052 | 0.013 | 2.38 | 1.98 | 74.80 | 56.15 |
|  | 32 | 27 | 120 | 0.56 |  | Comm | 57 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 32 | 28 | 96 |  | 20.17 |  | 1175 |  | 14.2 | 5.2 | 19.4 | 200 | 0.032 | 0.013 | 1.87 | 1.68 | 58.68 | 39.26 |
|  |  | 34 |  | 11.66 | 11.66 | Res | 540 | 4.0 | 6.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 34 |  | 3.26 | 3.26 | Comm | 332 | 3.2 | 3.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 34 | 28 | 84 | 14.92 | 14.92 |  | 872 |  | 10.2 | 3.9 | 14.1 | 200 | 0.032 | 0.013 | 1.87 | 1.64 | 58.68 | 44.57 |
|  | 28 | 33 - Existing System at Great Eastern Ave | 15 |  | 53.46 |  | 3004 |  | 35.9 | 13.9 | 49.8 | 200 | 0.037 | 0.013 | 2.01 | 2.24 | 63.09 | 13.26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catchment Area B | 47 | 48 | 120 | 45.55 | 45.55 | Com / Indust | 5626 | 2.6 | 45.8 | 11.8 | 57.6 | 250 | 0.018 | 0.013 | 1.63 | 1.77 | 79.79 | 22.15 |
|  | 48 | 49 | 120 | 45.55 | 45.55 | Com / Indust | 5626 | 2.6 | 45.8 | 11.8 | 57.6 | 250 | 0.015 | 0.013 | 1.48 | 1.65 | 72.84 | 15.20 |
|  | 49 | Lift Station | 20 | 45.55 | 45.55 | Com/Indust | 5626 | 2.6 | 45.8 | 11.8 | 57.6 | 250 | 0.015 | 0.013 | 1.48 | 1.65 | 72.84 | 15.20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catchment Area B |  | 36 | 120 | 19.75 | 19.75 | C/I/I | 2219 | 2.8 | 20.1 | 5.1 | 25.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 36 | 37 - New Sewer Main on Kenmount Rd | 106 | 19.75 | 19.75 | C/I/I | 2219 | 2.8 | 20.1 | 5.1 | 25.2 | 250 | 0.01 | 0.013 | 1.21 | 1.15 | 59.47 | 34.24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kenmount Road | Focemain | 37 |  | 45.55 | 45.55 | Com / Indust | 5626 | 2.6 | 45.8 | 11.8 | 57.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 36 | 37 |  | 19.75 | 19.75 | C/I/I | 2219 | 2.8 | 20.1 | 5.1 | 25.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 37 | 38 | 66 | 0.24 | 65.54 | Com / Indust | 7845 | 2.5 | 62.4 | 17.0 | 79.5 | 250 | 0.015 | 0.013 | 1.48 | 1.65 | 72.84 | -6.63 |
|  | 38 | 39 | 70 | 0.24 | 65.78 | Com / Indust | 7845 | 2.5 | 62.4 | 17.1 | 79.5 | 250 | 0.041 | 0.013 | 2.45 | 2.45 | 120.42 | 40.90 |
|  | 39 | 40 | 120 | 0.24 | 66.02 | Com / Indust | 7845 | 2.5 | 62.4 | 17.2 | 79.6 | 250 | 0.047 | 0.013 | 2.63 | 2.50 | 128.93 | 49.34 |
|  | 40 | 41 | 120 | 0.24 | 66.26 | Com / Indust | 7845 | 2.5 | 62.4 | 17.2 | 79.7 | 250 | 0.035 | 0.013 | 2.27 | 2.38 | 111.26 | 31.61 |
|  | 41 | 42 | 120 | 0.24 | 66.5 | Com / Indust | 7845 | 2.5 | 62.4 | 17.3 | 79.7 | 300 | 0.016 | 0.013 | 1.73 | 1.92 | 122.33 | 42.61 |
|  | 42 | 43 | 120 | 0.24 | 66.74 | Com / Indust | 7845 | 2.5 | 62.4 | 17.4 | 79.8 | 300 | 0.015 | 0.013 | 1.68 | 1.88 | 118.44 | 38.67 |
|  | 43 | 44 | 120 | 0.24 | 66.98 | Com / Indust | 7845 | 2.5 | 62.4 | 17.4 | 79.8 | 300 | 0.029 | 0.013 | 2.33 | 2.40 | 164.69 | 84.85 |
|  | 44 | 45 | 120 | 0.24 | 67.22 | Com / Indust | 7845 | 2.5 | 62.4 | 17.5 | 79.9 | 300 | 0.03 | 0.013 | 2.37 | 2.42 | 167.50 | 87.60 |
|  | 33 (Area G) | 45 |  |  | 53.46 | Com/Res | 3004 |  | 35.9 | 13.9 | 49.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 45 | 46 | 70 | 0.24 | 120.92 |  | 10849 |  | 98.36 | 31.4 | 129.8 | 300 | 0.02 | 0.013 | 1.94 | 2.17 | 136.77 | 6.97 |
|  | 46 | Existing Behind Canadian Tire | 3000 | 6 | 126.92 |  | 10849 |  | 98.36 | 33.0 | 131.4 | 300 | 0.02 | 0.013 | 1.94 | 2.17 | 136.77 | 5.41 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 50 |  | 9.75 | 9.75 | Res | 457 | 4.0 | 5.8 | 2.5 | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Catchment Area A | 50 | 52 | 70 | 9.75 | 9.75 | Res | 457 | 4.0 | 5.8 | 2.5 | 8.3 | 200 | 0.042 | 0.013 | 2.14 | 1.45 | 67.22 | 58.88 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 51 |  | 19.1 | 19.1 | Res | 895 | 3.8 | 10.9 | 5.0 | 15.9 | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 51 | 52 | 120 | 19.1 | 19.1 | Res | 895 | 3.8 | 10.9 | 5.0 | 15.9 | 200 | 0.037 | 0.013 | 2.01 | 1.67 | 63.09 | 47.22 |
|  | 52 | 53 | 80 | 0.36 | 29.21 | Res | 1352 | 3.7 | 16.0 | 7.6 | 23.6 | 200 | 0.1 | 0.013 | 3.30 | 2.81 | 103.73 | 80.16 |
|  | 53 | 54 | 100 | 0.36 | 29.57 | Res | 1352 | 3.7 | 16.0 | 7.7 | 23.7 | 200 | 0.042 | 0.013 | 2.14 | 1.83 | 67.22 | 43.56 |
|  | 54 | 55-Existing Main on South side of Kenmount Rd | 37 | 0.18 | 29.75 | Res | 1352 | 3.7 | 16.0 | 7.7 | 23.7 | 200 | 0.072 | 0.013 | 2.80 | 2.21 | 88.01 | 64.31 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catchment Area F |  | 56 |  | 10.44 | 10.44 | Res | 338 | 4.1 | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 56 |  | 18.61 | 18.61 | Comm | 5885 | 2.5 | 15.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 56 | 58 | 100 | 29.05 | 29.05 |  | 6223 |  | 19.7 | 7.6 | 27.3 | 200 | 0.036 | 0.013 | 1.98 | 1.90 | 62.24 | 34.98 |
|  |  | 57 |  | 17.41 | 17.41 | Res | 563 | 3.9 | 7.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 57 |  | 8.69 | 8.69 | Comm | 885 | 3.1 | 8.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 57 | 58 | 80 | 26.1 | 26.1 |  | 1448 |  | 15.7 | 6.8 | 22.5 | 200 | 0.06 | 0.013 | 2.56 | 2.20 | 80.35 | 57.85 |
|  | 58 | 59 | 100 | 0.24 | 55.39 | Res / Comm | 7671 |  | 35.4 | 14.4 | 49.8 | 200 | 0.06 | 0.013 | 2.56 | 2.66 | 80.35 | 30.53 |
|  | 59 | 60 | 100 | 0.24 | 55.63 | Res / Comm | 7671 |  | 35.4 | 14.5 | 49.9 | 200 | 0.1 | 0.013 | 3.30 | 0.00 | 103.73 | 53.86 |
|  | 60 | Existing Main on South side of Kenmount Rd | 65 | 0.24 | 55.63 | Res / Comm | 7671 |  | 35.4 | 14.5 | 49.9 | 200 | 0.1 | 0.013 | 3.30 | 3.27 | 103.73 | 53.86 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catchment Area E |  | 62 |  | 31.84 | 31.84 | Res | 1030 | 3.8 | 12.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 62 |  | 9.48 | 9.48 | Comm | 965 | 3.0 | 9.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 62 | 63 | 100 | 41.32 | 41.32 |  | 1995 |  | 21.8 | 10.7 | 32.6 | 200 | 0.015 | 0.013 | 1.28 | 1.42 | 40.17 | 7.61 |
|  | 63 | 67 | 100 |  | 41.32 |  | 1995 |  | 21.8 | 10.7 | 32.6 | 200 | 0.031 | 0.013 | 1.84 | 2.21 | 57.75 | 25.19 |
|  |  | 64 |  | 19.9 | 19.9 | Res | 1171 | 3.8 | 14.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 64 |  | 7.15 | 7.15 | Comm | 728 | 3.1 | 7.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 64 | 65 | 100 | 27.05 | 27.05 |  | 1899 |  | 21.4 | 7.0 | 28.4 | 200 | 0.02 | 0.013 | 1.48 | 1.55 | 46.39 | 17.99 |
|  | 65 | 66 | 100 | 27.05 | 27.05 |  | 1899 |  | 21.2 | 7.0 | 28.2 | 200 | 0.02 | 0.013 | 1.48 | 1.55 | 46.39 | 18.15 |
|  | 66 | 67 | 48 | 27.05 | 27.05 |  | 1899 |  | 21.2 | 7.0 | 28.2 | 200 | 0.015 | 0.013 | 1.28 | 1.37 | 40.17 | 11.94 |
|  | 67 | 68 | 120 | 68.37 | 68.37 |  | 3894 |  | 43.0 | 17.8 | 60.8 | 250 | 0.02 | 0.013 | 1.71 | 1.85 | 84.11 | 23.31 |
|  | 68 | 76 - Connection at Blackmash Rd/Canada Drive | 960 | 1.92 | 70.29 |  | 3894 |  | 43 | 18.3 | 61.3 | 250 | 0.02 | 0.013 | 1.71 | 1.87 | 84.11 | 22.83 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |






## Appendix A: Post Development Subcatchment Hydrographs with Stormwater Detention












| Manhole Number |  | Length (m) <br> (5) | Area |  | Runoff Coeff(8) | Time of Concentration |  |  | Runoff (L/s) (13) | Pipe Capacity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From <br> (3) | To <br> (4) |  | Increment <br> (ha) <br> (6) | Total (ha) <br> (7) |  | Inlet <br> Time (min) (9) | Total (min) (11) | Intensity (mm/hr) <br> (12) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diameter } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \\ (14) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Slope (m/m) (15) | Pipe Material <br> (16) | Manning's n <br> (17) | Full Velocity $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ (18) | Full Capacity (L/s) (20) | Additional Capacity (L/s) <br> (21) |
| 1 | Outlet | 106 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 0.67 | 15.7 | 15.7 | 76.7 | 1310 | 900 | 0.019 | CSP | 0.0180 | 2.81 | 1788 | 478 |
| 2 | Outlet | 63 | 10.4 | 10.5 | 0.69 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 93.6 | 1869 | 1000 | 0.017 | CSP | 0.0200 | 2.55 | 2002 | 133 |
| 3 | 4 | 120 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 0.69 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 93.6 | 998 | 800 | 0.017 | CSP | 0.0180 | 2.50 | 1256 | 258 |
| 4 | Outlet | 120 | 1.9 | 7.4 | 0.58 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 93.6 | 1283 | 800 | 0.031 | CSP | 0.0180 | 3.35 | 1682 | 399 |
| 5 | 6 | 115 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.72 | 12.3 | 12.3 | 93.6 | 207 | 300 | 0.070 | CSP | 0.0150 | 3.13 | 221 | 14 |
| 6 | Outlet | 118 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 0.77 | 10.9 | 10.9 | 93.6 | 359 | 400 | 0.051 | CSP | 0.0150 | 3.24 | 407 | 48 |
| 7 | 10 | 93 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 0.62 | 13.8 | 13.8 | 93.6 | 3875 | 1200 | 0.040 | CSP | 0.0200 | 4.48 | 5068 | 1194 |
| 8 | 9 | 120 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 0.67 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 135.3 | 1068 | 650 | 0.040 | CSP | 0.0180 | 3.31 | 1098 | 30 |
| 9 | 10 | 120 | 0.8 | 5.1 | 0.70 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 135.3 | 1289 | 650 | 0.058 | CSP | 0.0180 | 3.97 | 1319 | 30 |
| 10 | Outlet | 65 | 1.6 | 30.7 | 0.71 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 135.3 | 5589 | 1400 | 0.030 | CSP | 0.0230 | 3.74 | 5757 | 168 |
| 11 | 12 | 120 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 0.65 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 135.3 | 1318 | 900 | 0.014 | CSP | 0.0180 | 2.39 | 1519 | 201 |
| 12 | Outlet | 120 | 9.9 | 15.3 | 0.68 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 135.3 | 3850 | 1200 | 0.045 | CSP | 0.0200 | 4.75 | 5376 | 1526 |
| 13 | Outlet | 60 | 0.3 | 3.9 | 0.76 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 135.3 | 727 | 600 | 0.060 | CSP | 0.0180 | 3.84 | 1086 | 359 |
| 14 | 13 | 87 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 0.69 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 93.6 | 639 | 600 | 0.028 | CSP | 0.0180 | 2.62 | 741 | 101 |
| 15 | 16 | 90 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 0.66 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 93.6 | 1612 | 900 | 0.018 | CSP | 0.0180 | 2.77 | 1764 | 152 |
| 16 | 17 | 106 | 0.3 | 9.7 | 0.97 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 135.3 | 1721 | 1200 | 0.005 | CSP | 0.0200 | 1.58 | 1792 | 71 |
| 17 | 18 | 100 | 11.3 | 21.1 | 0.91 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 135.3 | 5623 | 1600 | 0.023 | CSP | 0.0244 | 3.38 | 6799 | 1177 |
| 18 | 19 | 95 | 0.3 | 21.3 | 1.00 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 135.3 | 5717 | 1600 | 0.020 | CSP | 0.0244 | 3.15 | 6326 | 610 |
| 19 | 20 | 85 | 10.8 | 32.2 | 0.91 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 135.3 | 9438 | 1800 | 0.025 | CSP | 0.0250 | 3.71 | 9451 | 13 |
| 20 | Outlet | 65 | 0.2 | 32.3 | 1.00 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 135.3 | 9508 | 1800 | 0.030 | CSP | 0.0250 | 4.07 | 10353 | 845 |
| 21 | 22 | 100 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 0.68 | 6 | 6 | 135.3 | 590 | 800 | 0.005 | CSP | 0.0180 | 1.34 | 675 | 85 |
| 22 | 23 | 100 | 1.8 | 4.1 | 0.92 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 135.3 | 1204 | 800 | 0.023 | CSP | 0.0180 | 2.86 | 1436 | 231 |
| 23 | 24 | 120 | 1.0 | 5.1 | 0.93 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 135.3 | 1541 | 800 | 0.035 | CSP | 0.0180 | 3.55 | 1787 | 245 |
| 24 | 25 | 120 | 3.7 | 8.8 | 0.93 | 8 | 8 | 135.3 | 2839 | 1000 | 0.035 | CSP | 0.0200 | 3.71 | 2916 | 77 |
| 25 | 26 | 108 | 0.9 | 9.7 | 0.94 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 135.3 | 3145 | 1000 | 0.035 | CSP | 0.0200 | 3.71 | 2916 | -229 |
| 26 | 27 | 77 | 7.2 | 16.8 | 0.93 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 135.3 | 5646 | 1400 | 0.040 | CSP | 0.0230 | 4.32 | 6648 | 1003 |
| 27 | Outlet | 18 | 5.1 | 21.9 | 0.91 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 135.3 | 7396 | 1500 | 0.040 | CSP | 0.0244 | 4.26 | 7532 | 137 |
| 28 | 29 | 110 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 0.65 | 30.6 | 30.6 | 53 | 1714 | 800 | 0.051 | CSP | 0.0180 | 4.28 | 2150 | 436 |
| 29 | 30 | 100 | 0.9 | 18.8 | 0.93 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 135.3 | 2045 | 800 | 0.052 | CSP | 0.0180 | 4.35 | 2186 | 141 |
| 30 | 34 | 96 | 0.8 | 19.7 | 0.93 | 4 | 4 | 135.3 | 2340 | 1000 | 0.032 | CSP | 0.0200 | 3.55 | 2788 | 448 |
| 31 | 32 | 100 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 0.67 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 76.7 | 2683 | 1200 | 0.019 | CSP | 0.0200 | 3.12 | 3530 | 847 |
| 32 | 33 | 100 | 1.5 | 20.2 | 0.92 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 135.3 | 3203 | 1400 | 0.010 | CSP | 0.0230 | 2.15 | 3307 | 104 |
| 33 | 34 | 87 | 1.0 | 21.2 | 0.62 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 135.3 | 3440 | 1800 | 0.005 | CSP | 0.0250 | 1.66 | 4227 | 787 |
| 34 | Outlet | 120 | 24.4 | 65.3 | 0.54 | 56.1 | 56.1 | 53 | 7728 | 1800 | 0.037 | CSP | 0.0250 | 4.51 | 11482 | 3754 |
| 35 | 36 | 70 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 0.59 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 76.7 | 1000 | 800 | 0.017 | CSP | 0.0180 | 2.48 | 1245 | 245 |
| 36 | 37 | 76 | 1.3 | 9.3 | 0.56 | 25.6 | 25.6 | 76.7 | 1152 | 900 | 0.010 | CSP | 0.0180 | 2.10 | 1333 | 181 |
| 37 | 38 | 90 | 1.4 | 10.7 | 0.55 | 28.7 | 28.7 | 76.7 | 1318 | 1200 | 0.005 | CSP | 0.0200 | 1.58 | 1792 | 474 |

CITY OF ST. JOHN'S




ST. JOHN'S LAND USE DEVELOPMENT PLAN A

PLAN - STORM SEWER AREA \#1 SK\# 002

SCALE $=$ NTS
НАтСН



WATER SERVICES

ST. JOHN'S LANDS ABOVE 190m DEVELOPMENT - WATER MODEL AREAS



## Flow Calculation - 190m Development Area

| Residential Development Area (Ha) | 268.1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Residential Population | 10845 |
| Commercial Area (Ha) | 84.65 |
| Industrial Area (Ha) | 27.9 |
| Institutional Area (Ha) | 5.7 |
|  |  |

## Flow Calculation - Model Area 1

| Residential Development Area (Ha) | 55 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential Population | 2059 |  |
| Commercial Area (Ha) | 0 |  |
| Industrial Area (Ha) | 0 |  |
| Institutional Area (Ha) | 0 |  |
| Residential Flow (L/p/day) | 306 |  |
| Commercial Flow (L/ha/day) | 31111 | * Based on sewage collection rates of 90\% |
| Industrial Flow (L/ha/day) | 43333 | the average day water demand rates |
| Institutional Flow (L/ha/day) | 37778 |  |
| Equivalent Population (Commercial) | 0 |  |
| Equivalent Population (Industrial) | 0 |  |
| Equivalent Population (Institutional) | 0 |  |
| Sub-Total Population (Com/Ind/Inst) | 0 |  |
| Total Equivalent Population | 2059 |  |
| Peaking Factor (Table 7.1, Atl Canada Gudelines) | 3.38 |  |
| Max Day Factor | 2.25 | * Factors for Area Population |
| Min Hourly Factor | 0.45 |  |
| Average Day Flow (L/min) | 437 | 7 (L/s) |
| Max Day Flow (L/min) | 983 | 16 (L/s) |
| Peak Hour Flow (L/min) | 1477 | 25 (L/s) |
| Minimum Hourly Flow (1/min) | 197 | 3 (L/s) |

## Flow Calculation - Model Area 2

| Residential Development Area (Ha) | 48 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential Population | 1789 |  |
| Commercial Area ( Ha ) | 0.0 |  |
| Industrial Area (Ha) | 0.0 |  |
| Institutional Area (Ha) | 0 |  |
| Residential Flow (L/p/day) | 306 |  |
| Commercial Flow (L/ha/day) | 31111 | * Based on sewage collection rates of 90\% |
| Industrial Flow (L/ha/day) | 43333 | the average day water demand rates |
| Institutional Flow (L/ha/day) | 37778 |  |
| Equivalent Population (Commercial) | 0 |  |
| Equivalent Population (Industrial) | 0 |  |
| Equivalent Population (Institutional) | 0 |  |
| Sub-Total Population (Com/Ind/Inst) | 0 |  |
| Total Equivalent Population | 1789 |  |
| Peaking Factor (Table 7.1, Atl Canada Gudelines) | 3.75 |  |
| Max Day Factor | 2.50 | * Factors for Area Population |
| Min Hourly Factor | 0.45 |  |
| Average Day Flow (L/min) | 380 | 6 (L/s) |
| Max Day Flow (L/min) | 949 | 16 (L/s) |
| Peak Hour Flow (L/min) | 1424 | 24 (L/s) |
| Minimum Hourly Flow (1/min) | 171 | 3 (L/s) |

## Flow Calculation - Model Area 3

| Residential Development Area (Ha) | 55.4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential Population | 2544 |  |
| Commercial Area (Ha) | 7.2 |  |
| Industrial Area (Ha) | 0.0 |  |
| Institutional Area (Ha) | 2.1 |  |
| Residential Flow (L/p/day) | 306 |  |
| Commercial Flow (L/ha/day) | 31111 | * Based on sewage collection rates of 90\% |
| Industrial Flow (L/ha/day) | 43333 | the average day water demand rates |
| Institutional Flow (L/ha/day) | 37778 |  |
| Equivalent Population (Commercial) | 732 |  |
| Equivalent Population (Industrial) | 0 |  |
| Equivalent Population (Institutional) | 259 |  |
| Sub-Total Population (Com/Ind/Inst) | 991 |  |
| Total Equivalent Population | 3535 |  |
| Peaking Factor (Table 7.1, Atl Canada Gudelines) | 3.00 |  |
| Max Day Factor | 2.00 | * Factors for Area Population |
| Min Hourly Factor | 0.5 |  |
| Average Day Flow (L/min) | 750 | 13 (L/s) |
| Max Day Flow (L/min) | 1501 | 25 (L/s) |
| Peak Hour Flow (L/min) | 2251 | 38 (L/s) |
| Minimum Hourly Flow (1/min) | 375 | 6 (L/s) |

## Flow Calculation - Model Area 4

| Residential Development Area ( Ha ) | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential Population | 0 |  |
| Commercial Area (Ha) | 33.8 |  |
| Industrial Area (Ha) | 27.9 |  |
| Institutional Area (Ha) | 3.6 |  |
| Residential Flow (L/p/day) | 306 |  |
| Commercial Flow (L/ha/day) | 31111 | * Based on sewage collection rates of 90\% |
| Industrial Flow (L/ha/day) | 43333 | the average day water demand rates |
| Institutional Flow (L/ha/day) | 37778 |  |
| Equivalent Population (Commercial) | 3436 |  |
| Equivalent Population (Industrial) | 3951 |  |
| Equivalent Population (Institutional) | 444 |  |
| Sub-Total Population (Com/Ind/Inst) | 7832 |  |
| Total Equivalent Population | 7832 |  |
| Peaking Factor (Table 7.1, Atl Canada Gudelines) | 3.00 |  |
| Max Day Factor | 2.00 | * Factors for Area Population |
| Min Hourly Factor | 0.5 |  |
| Average Day Flow (L/min) | 1664 | 28 (L/s) |
| Max Day Flow (L/min) | 3329 | 55 (L/s) |
| Peak Hour Flow (L/min) | 4993 | 83 (L/s) |
| Minimum Hourly Flow (1/min) | 832 | 14 (L/s) |

## Flow Calculation - Model Area 5

| Residential Development Area (Ha) | 30.0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential Population | 1351 |  |
| Commercial Area (Ha) | 0.0 |  |
| Industrial Area (Ha) | 0.0 |  |
| Institutional Area (Ha) | 0.0 |  |
| Residential Flow (L/p/day) | 306 |  |
| Commercial Flow (L/ha/day) | 31111 | * Based on sewage collection rates of 90\% |
| Industrial Flow (L/ha/day) | 43333 | the average day water demand rates |
| Institutional Flow (L/ha/day) | 37778 |  |
| Equivalent Population (Commercial) | 0 |  |
| Equivalent Population (Industrial) | 0 |  |
| Equivalent Population (Institutional) | 0 |  |
| Sub-Total Population (Com/Ind/Inst) | 0 |  |
| Total Equivalent Population | 1351 |  |
| Peaking Factor (Table 7.1, Atl Canada Gudelines) | 3.75 |  |
| Max Day Factor | 2.50 | * Factors for Area Population |
| Min Hourly Factor | 0.45 |  |
| Average Day Flow (L/min) | 287 | 5 (L/s) |
| Max Day Flow (L/min) | 717 | 12 (L/s) |
| Peak Hour Flow (L/min) | 1075 | 18 (L/s) |
| Minimum Hourly Flow (1/min) | 129 | 2 (L/s) |

## Flow Calculation - Model Area 6

| Residential Development Area (Ha) | 19.9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential Population | 1171 |  |
| Commercial Area (Ha) | 7.2 |  |
| Industrial Area (Ha) | 0.0 |  |
| Institutional Area (Ha) | 0.0 |  |
| Residential Flow (L/p/day) | 306 |  |
| Commercial Flow (L/ha/day) | 31111 | * Based on sewage collection rates of 90\% |
| Industrial Flow (L/ha/day) | 43333 | the average day water demand rates |
| Institutional Flow (L/ha/day) | 37778 |  |
| Equivalent Population (Commercial) | 727 |  |
| Equivalent Population (Industrial) | 0 |  |
| Equivalent Population (Institutional) | 0 |  |
| Sub-Total Population (Com/Ind/Inst) | 727 |  |
| Total Equivalent Population | 1898 |  |
| Peaking Factor (Table 7.1, Atl Canada Gudelines) | 3.75 |  |
| Max Day Factor | 2.50 | * Factors for Area Population |
| Min Hourly Factor | 0.45 |  |
| Average Day Flow (L/min) | 403 | 7 (L/s) |
| Max Day Flow (L/min) | 1007 | 17 (L/s) |
| Peak Hour Flow (L/min) | 1511 | 25 (L/s) |
| Minimum Hourly Flow (1/min) | 181 | 3 (L/s) |

## Flow Calculation - Model Area 7

| Residential Development Area (Ha) | 59.8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential Population | 1931 |  |
| Commercial Area (Ha) | 36.5 |  |
| Industrial Area (Ha) | 0.0 |  |
| Institutional Area (Ha) | 0.0 |  |
| Residential Flow (L/p/day) | 306 |  |
| Commercial Flow (L/ha/day) | 31111 | * Based on sewage collection rates of 90\% |
| Industrial Flow (L/ha/day) | 43333 | the average day water demand rates |
| Institutional Flow (L/ha/day) | 37778 |  |
| Equivalent Population (Commercial) | 3711 |  |
| Equivalent Population (Industrial) | 0 |  |
| Equivalent Population (Institutional) | 0 |  |
| Sub-Total Population (Com/Ind/Inst) | 3711 |  |
| Total Equivalent Population | 5642 |  |
| Peaking Factor (Table 7.1, Atl Canada Gudelines) | 3.00 |  |
| Max Day Factor | 2.00 | * Factors for Area Population |
| Min Hourly Factor | 0.5 |  |
| Average Day Flow (L/min) | 1198 | 20 (L/s) |
| Max Day Flow (L/min) | 2397 | 40 (L/s) |
| Peak Hour Flow (L/min) | 3595 | 60 (L/s) |
| Minimum Hourly Flow (1/min) | 599 | 10 (L/s) |


| DESCRPPTION | CHECKED | DEPARTMENT MANAGER | PROJECT <br> Land Use Development Plan <br> above 190m Contour |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fire Flow Calculations | DESIGNED | PROJECT MANAGER | REFERENCE |
|  | DEPARTMENT | DATE |  |

## SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DWELLINGS

Assume max. 2 storeys in height
Assume ordinary construction
Assume less than 3m separation (worst case)
Using short method,
FIRE FLOW REQUIRED $=8,000 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}=133 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$

| DESCRIPTION | CHECKED | DEPARTMENT MANAGER | PROJECT <br> Land Use Development Plan <br> above 190m Contour |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fire Flow Calculations | DESIGNED | PROJECT MANAGER | REFERENCE |
|  | DEPARTMENT | DATE |  |

TOWNHOMES (wood frame, no sprinkler, no 2hr fire wall)
Assume footprint of each unit $=100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
Assume max. 2 storeys in height
Assume 5 units per townhome complex
Assume no 2hr fire wall separation
Total Area $=1,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
Assume wood frame construction
$F=220^{*} C^{*} \sqrt{ } A=220^{*} 1.5^{*} \sqrt{ } 1000=10,436 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ Therefore, use 10,000 L/min

Assume Limited Combustible contents, reduction factor $=15 \%=1,500 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$
Therefore revised Fire Flow $=8,500 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$
Assume no sprinklers
Exposure
side 1 assume 3.1 to 10 m charge $=20 \%$
side2 assume 3.1 to $10 \mathrm{~m} \quad$ charge $=20 \%$
front assume 30.1 to $45 \mathrm{~m} \quad$ charge $=5 \%$
back assume 10.1 to 20 m charge $=15 \%$
TOTAL CHARGE $=60 \%=5,100 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$
Therefore revised Fire Flow = 13,600 L/min

FIRE FLOW REQUIRED $=14,000 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}=233 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$

| DESCRIPTION | CHECKED | DEPARTMENT MANAGER | PROJECT <br> Land Use Development Plan <br> above 190m Contour |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fire Flow Calculations | DESIGNED | PROJECT MANAGER | REFERENCE |
|  | DEPARTMENT | DATE |  |

TOWNHOMES (wood frame, no sprinkler, 2hr fire wall)
Assume footprint of each unit $=100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
Assume max. 2 storeys in height
Assume 5 units per townhome complex
Assume 2hr fire wall separation, allowing each unit to be considered separately
Total Area $=200 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
Assume wood frame construction
$F=220^{*} C * \sqrt{ } A=220^{*} 1.5^{*} \sqrt{ } 200=4,666 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ Therefore, use 5,000 L/min

Assume Limited Combustible contents, reduction factor $=15 \%=750 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$
Therefore revised Fire Flow $=4,250 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$
Assume no sprinklers
Exposure
side 1 firewall
charge $=10 \%$
side2 assume 3.1 to $10 \mathrm{~m} \quad$ charge $=20 \%$
front assume 30.1 to $45 \mathrm{~m} \quad$ charge $=5 \%$
back assume 10.1 to 20 m charge $=15 \%$
TOTAL CHARGE $=50 \%=2,125 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$
Therefore revised Fire Flow $=6,375 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$
FIRE FLOW REQUIRED $=6,000 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}=100 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$

| DESCRIPTION | CHECKED | DEPARTMENT MANAGER | PROJECT <br> Land Use Development Plan <br> above 190m Contour |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fire Flow Calculations | DESIGNED | PROJECT MANAGER | REFERENCE |
|  | DEPARTMENT | DATE |  |

## APARTMENT BUILDINGS (low-density, therefore max 3 storeys)

Assume footprint of building $=1000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
Assume max. 3 storeys in height
Total Area $=3,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
Assume non-combustible construction
$F=220 * C * \sqrt{ } A=220 * 0.8^{*} \sqrt{ } 3,000=9,640 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$
Therefore, use 10,000 L/min
Assume Limited Combustible contents, reduction factor $=15 \%=1,500 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$
Therefore revised Fire Flow $=8,500 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$
Assume automatic sprinklers, reduction factor $=30 \%=2,550 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$
Exposure
side 1 assume 3.1 to 10 m charge $=20 \%$
side2 assume 3.1 to $10 \mathrm{~m} \quad$ charge $=20 \%$
front greater than $45 \mathrm{~m} \quad$ charge $=0 \%$
back assume 20.1 to 30 m charge $=10 \%$
TOTAL CHARGE $=50 \%=4,250 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$
Therefore revised Fire Flow $=10,200 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$
FIRE FLOW REQUIRED = 10,000 L/min = $167 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$

| DESCRRPTION | CHECKED | DEPARTMENT MANAGER | PROJECT <br> Land Use Development Plan <br> above 190m Contour |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fire Flow Calculations | DESIGNED | PROJECT MANAGER | REFERENCE |
|  | DEPARTMENT | DATE |  |

## COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL BUILDINGS

Assume footprint of building $=5,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}(100 \mathrm{~m} \times 50 \mathrm{~m})$
Assume single storey
Total Area $=5,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
Assume non-combustible construction
$F=220^{*} C^{*} \sqrt{ } A=220^{*} 0 \cdot 8^{*} \sqrt{ } 5,000=12,445 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$
Therefore, use 12,000 L/min
Assume Combustible contents, reduction factor $=0 \%$
Assume automatic sprinklers, reduction factor $=30 \%=3,600 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$
Exposure
side 1 assume 10.1 to 20 m charge $=15 \%$
side2 assume 10.1 to $20 \mathrm{~m} \quad$ charge $=15 \%$
front assume 30.1 to $45 \mathrm{~m} \quad$ charge $=5 \%$
back assume 20.1 to $30 \mathrm{~m} \quad$ charge $=10 \%$
TOTAL CHARGE $=45 \%=5,400 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$
Therefore revised Fire Flow $=13,800 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$
FIRE FLOW REQUIRED $=14,000 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}=233 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$

Fire Flow Analysis - Fire within Area 1

|  | Fire Flow Node ${ }^{1}$ | Required Fire Flow ${ }^{1}$ (L/s) | Required Fire Flow Available (Y/N) | Min. System Residual Pressure @ Req'd Flow (kPa) | Max. Fire Flow Available ${ }^{2}$ (L/s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire Flow Area 1 | J-74 | 167 | Y | 196 | 187 |

${ }^{1}$ Location and Required Fire Flow based on proximity to apartment buildings
${ }^{2}$ Maximum fire flow available while maintaining minimum system pressure of 150 kPa .


PRV Parameters during Area 1 Fire Flow

| Label | Flow (L/s) | Is Closed? | Is Open? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRV-1 | 40 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-2 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-3 | 17 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-4 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-5 | 29 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-6 | 146 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-7 | 199 | FALSE | TRUE |

Fire Flow Analysis - Fire within Area 2

|  |  |  | Required Fire <br> Required Fire Flow |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fire Flow Node ${ }^{1}$ | Min. System Residual <br> Flow Available <br> $(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{s})$ | Max. Fire Flow <br> Pressure @ Req'd Flow <br> (kPa) | Available ${ }^{2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s})$ |  |
| Fire Flow Area 2 | $\mathrm{J}-17$ | 167 | Y | 158 | 170 |

${ }^{1}$ Location and Required Fire Flow based on proximity to apartment buildings (model not developed further into Area 2)
${ }^{2}$ Maximum fire flow available while maintaining minimum system pressure of 150 kPa


PRV Parameters during Area 2 Fire Flow

| Label | Flow (L/s) | Is Closed? | Is Open? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRV-1 | 40 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-2 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-3 | 17 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-4 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-5 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-6 | 8 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-7 | 199 | FALSE | TRUE |

Fire Flow Analysis - Fire within Area 3

|  | Fire Flow Node ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Required Fire Flow }{ }^{1} \\ & \text { (L/s) } \end{aligned}$ | Required Fire Flow Available (Y/N) | Min. System Residual Pressure @ Req'd Flow (kPa) | Max. Fire Flow Available ${ }^{2}$ (L/s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire Flow Area 3 | J-55 | 167 | Y | 269 | 251 |

${ }^{1}$ Location and Required Fire Flow based on proximity to apartment buildings
${ }^{2}$ Maximum fire flow available while maintaining minimum system pressure of 150 kPa .


PRV Parameters during Area 3 Fire Flow

| Label | Flow (L/s) | Is Closed? | Is Open? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRV-1 | 40 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-2 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-3 | 17 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-4 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-5 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-6 | 8 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-7 | 32 | FALSE | TRUE |

Fire Flow Analysis - Fire within Area 4

|  | Fire Flow Node ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Required Fire Flow }{ }^{1} \\ & (L / s) \end{aligned}$ | Required Fire Flow Available (Y/N) | Min. System Residual Pressure @ Req'd Flow (kPa) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Max. Fire Flow } \\ & \text { Available }^{2} \\ & \text { (L/s) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire Flow Area 4 | J-33 | 233 | Y | 172 | 248 |

${ }^{1}$ Location and Required Fire Flow based on being central to commericial/industruial core
${ }^{2}$ Maximum fire flow available while maintaining minimum system pressure of 150 kPa .


PRV Parameters during Area 4 Fire Flow

| Label | Flow (L/s) | Is Closed? | Is Open? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRV-1 | 40 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-2 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-3 | 17 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-4 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-5 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-6 | 8 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-7 | 32 | FALSE | TRUE |

Fire Flow Analysis - Fire within Area 5

|  |  | Fire Flow Node ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ | Required Fire Flow <br> (L/s) | Required Fire <br> Flow Available <br> (Y/N) | Min. System Residual <br> Pressure @ Req'd Flow <br> (kPa) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire Flow Area 5 | $\mathrm{J}-116$ | 167 | Y | Max. Fire Flow <br> Available ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{s})$ |  |

${ }^{1}$ Location and Required Fire Flow based on proximity to apartment buildings
${ }^{2}$ Maximum fire flow available while maintaining minimum system pressure of 150 kPa .


PRV Parameters during Area 5 Fire Flow

| Label | Flow (L/s) | Is Closed? | Is Open? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRV-1 | 40 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-2 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-3 | 17 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-4 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-5 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-6 | 8 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-7 | 32 | FALSE | TRUE |

Fire Flow Analysis - Fire within Area 6

|  | Fire Flow Node ${ }^{1}$ | Required Fire Flow ${ }^{1}$ (L/s) | Required Fire Flow Available (Y/N) | Min. System Residual Pressure @ Req'd Flow (kPa) | Max. Fire Flow Available ${ }^{2}$ (L/s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire Flow Area 6 | J-49 | 167 | Y | 308 | 371 |

${ }^{1}$ Location and Required Fire Flow based on proximity to apartment buildings
${ }^{2}$ Maximum fire flow available while maintaining minimum system pressure of 150 kPa .


PRV Parameters during Area 6 Fire Flow

| Label | Flow (L/s) | Is Closed? | Is Open? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRV-1 | 44 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-2 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-3 | 180 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-4 | 4 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-5 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-6 | 8 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-7 | 32 | FALSE | TRUE |

Fire Flow Analysis - Fire within Area 7

|  | Fire Flow Node ${ }^{1}$ | Required Fire Flow ${ }^{1}$ (L/s) | Required Fire Flow Available (Y/N) | Min. System Residual Pressure @ Req'd Flow (kPa) | Max. Fire Flow Available ${ }^{2}$ (L/s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire Flow Area 7 | J-86 | 233 | Y | 298 | 429 |

${ }^{1}$ Location and Required Fire Flow based on proximity to apartment buildings
${ }^{2}$ Maximum fire flow available while maintaining minimum system pressure of 150 kPa


PRV Parameters during Area 7 Fire Flow

| Label | Flow (L/s) | Is Closed? | Is Open? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRV-1 | 126 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-2 | 147 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-3 | 17 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-4 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-5 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-6 | 8 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-7 | 32 | FALSE | TRUE |

PRV Parameters during Low Flow Scenario

| Label | Flow (L/s) | Is Closed? | Is Open? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRV-1 | 10 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-2 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-3 | 3 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-4 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-5 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-6 | 2 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-7 | 6 | FALSE | TRUE |

PRV Parameters during Maximum Daily Flow Scenario

| Label | Flow (L/s) | Is Closed? | Is Open? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRV-1 | 40 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-2 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-3 | 17 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-4 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-5 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-6 | 8 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-7 | 32 | FALSE | TRUE |

PRV Parameters during Peak Hourly Flow Scenario

| Label | Flow (L/s) | Is Closed? | Is Open? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRV-1 | 60 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-2 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-3 | 25 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-4 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-5 | 0 | TRUE | FALSE |
| PRV-6 | 12 | FALSE | TRUE |
| PRV-7 | 49 | FALSE | TRUE |

Note:
In scenarios presented above, PRV-2, PRV-4 and PRV-5 are closed. These PRVs will be open under the following scenarios;

PRV-2: Open under Area 7 Fire Flow conditions
PRV-4: Open under Area 6 Fire Flow conditions
PRV-5: Open under Area 1 Fire Flow conditions


## City of St. Johns

## St. John's Land Use Development Plan "A" <br> HMM Project No: 325052 <br> Preliminary Cost Estimate - Class "D"

The quantities set out in this schedule are estimated quantities only and are not to be taken as final quantities by the Contractor.
Only pipe sizes 300 mm and greater have been included in this estimate, with lengths extracted from WaterGEMS model.
The unit prices bid shall include all labour, plant, materials, overhead, duties, and profit and all other obligations and liabilities under the contract. HST is to be applied in accordance with SGC 1.0. Totals shall be determined by multiplying the quantity by the tendered unit price.

| SECTION | DESCRIPTION | UNIT | QUANTITY | UNIT PRICE | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIVISION \#1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underline{01005}$ | Maintain Existing Systems |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Maintain Existing Water System | L.S. | Unit | \$25,000.00 | \$25,000.00 |
| 01010 | Mobilization \& Demobilization | L.S. | Unit | \$500,000.00 | \$500,000.00 |
| 01020 | Cash Allowance |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pole Re-location/Shoring/bracing | Allow. |  | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 |
|  | Reinstatment Allowance | Allow. |  | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 |
|  | Public Announcements | Allow. |  | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000.00 |
|  | Contribution in Aid of Hydro | Allow. |  | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000.00 |
| 01500 | Temporary Facilities |  |  |  |  |
|  | Engineers Site Office | L.S. | Unit | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 |
| 01560 | Environmental Requirements |  |  |  |  |
|  | Silt Fence | m | 500 | \$10.00 | \$5,000.00 |
| 01570 | Traffic Regulations |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flagpersons Wages | Hour | 2000 | \$18.50 | \$37,000.00 |
| 01710 | Reinstatement and Cleaning |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ditching | m | 3000 | \$20.00 | \$60,000.00 |
| DIVISION \#2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02111 | Clearing \& Grubbing |  |  |  |  |
|  | Clearing | ha. | 16 | \$10,000.00 | \$160,000.00 |
|  | Grubbing | ha. | 16 | \$10,000.00 | \$160,000.00 |
| $\underline{02223}$ | Excavation, Trenching \& Backfill |  |  |  |  |
|  | Main Trench Excavation |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Rock | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 7000 | \$90.00 | \$630,000.00 |
|  | 2. Common | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 35000 | \$28.00 | \$980,000.00 |
|  | Imported Backfill |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Common | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 1000 | \$18.00 | \$18,000.00 |
|  | Granular Pipe Bedding |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Type 1 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 14500 | \$30.00 | \$435,000.00 |
|  | Rock Underbedding | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 1000 | \$50.00 | \$50,000.00 |
|  | Supply \& Placement of Marking Tape |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Plastic Tape <br> 2. Metallic Tape | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{m} \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11175 \\ \hline 11175 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1.50 \\ & \$ 1.50 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 16,762.50 \\ & \hline \$ 16,762.50 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 02233 | Selected Granular Base \& Sub Base |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Class A Granular Base | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 500 | \$45.00 | \$22,500.00 |
| 02270 | Rip-Rap Protection |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rip-Rap Hand Laid With Sod | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 50 | \$150.00 | \$7,500.00 |

Supply \& Placement of Pipe Culvert

$$
\text { 1. } 600 \mathrm{~mm} \text { dia. HDPE } 320 \mathrm{kPa}
$$

Supply \& Placement of Debris Rack
Supply \& Placement of Concrete Headwall
02574 Reshaping \& Patching of Asphalt Pavement
Removal of Asphalt Pavement
Patching of Asphalt Pavement (Includes 100mm Class "A")
Cutting of Asphalt Pavement
$\underline{02713}$
Water Mains
Supply \& Installation of Water Mains

1. 300 mm dia. PVC DR 18
2. 400 mm dia. PVC DR 18
3. 500 mm dia. PVC DR 18

Supply \& Install Fittings C/W Restaining Flanges

1. 300 mm dia. Fittings
2. 400 mm dia. Fittings
3. 500 mm dia. Fittings

Concrete Thrust Blocks
Supply and Install Fire Hydrant c/w 10 m of 150 mm water main and 150mm dia. Gate Valve.

Supply \& Install 300 mm dia. Gate Valve
Supply \& Install 400 mm dia. Gate Valve
Supply \& Install 500 mm dia. Butterfly Valve

Supply \& Install air release chamber including all civil, structural, mechanical and watermain fittings within foundation walls

Supply \& Install PRV and chamber
Swabbing of Water Mains

1. 300 mm
2. 400 mm
3. 500 mm

Locate \& Connect to Existing System

Water Booster Pumping Station
Water Storage Tank
Booster Chlorination Station

| m | 50 | \$175.00 | \$8,750.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Each | 8 | \$1,000.00 | \$8,000.00 |
| $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 10 | \$2,000.00 | \$20,000.00 |
| $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 200 | \$20.00 | \$4,000.00 |
| $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 200 | \$60.00 | \$12,000.00 |
| m | 100 | \$15.00 | \$1,500.00 |


| m | 9735 | \$320.00 | \$3,115,200.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | 3540 | \$425.00 | \$1,504,500.00 |
| m | 3875 | \$525.00 | \$2,034,375.00 |
| Each | 30 | \$750.00 | \$22,500.00 |
| Each | 15 | \$1,500.00 | \$22,500.00 |
|  | 15 | \$3,000.00 | \$45,000.00 |
| $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 50 | \$500.00 | \$25,000.00 |
| Each | 75 | \$10,000.00 | \$750,000.00 |
| Each | 35 | \$7,500.00 | \$262,500.00 |
| Each | 16 | \$8,500.00 | \$136,000.00 |
| Each | 12 | \$12,000.00 | \$144,000.00 |


| Each | 3 | \$25,000.00 | \$75,000.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Each | 7 | \$300,000.00 | \$2,100,000.00 |
| m | 9735 | \$7.50 | \$73,012.50 |
| m | 3540 | \$7.50 | \$26,550.00 |
| m | 3875 | \$7.50 | \$29,062.50 |

Each $4<\$ 2,500.00 \longrightarrow \$ 10,000.00$

| Each | 1 | \$750,000.00 | \$750,000.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Each | 2 | \$1,500,000.00 | \$3,000,000.00 |
| Each | 1 | \$80,000.00 | \$80,000.00 |


| a. Subtotal: | $\$ 17,487,975.00$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| b. HST @ 13\%: | $\$ 2,273,436.75$ |
| c. Contingency @ 20\%: | $\$ 3,497,595.00$ |
| d. Total: | $\$ 23,259,006.75$ |

